IN THE MATTER OF

Georgia State Conference of the NAACP, et al vs Kemp

Transcript of Deposition of

Gina H. Wright

On November 20, 2017

Reported by Joel P. Moyer Certified Court Reporter



DONOVAN REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

GEORGIA STATE CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP, as an organization; LAVELLE LEMON, MARLON REID, LAURETHA CELESTE SIMS, PATRICIA SMITH, COLEY TYSON,

NAACP Plaintiffs,

AUSTIN THOMPSON, WAYNE SWANSON, DARRYL PAYTON, AUDRA CUNNINGHAM, SABRINA MCKENZIE, JAMIDA ORANGE, ANDREA SNOW, SAMMY ARREYMBI, LYNNE ANDERSON, CORETTA JACKSON,

Thompson Plaintiffs,

vs. CASE NO. 1:17-cv-01427-TCB-WSD-BBM

BRAIN KEMP, in his official capacity as Secretary of the State for the State of Georgia,

Defendant.

30(b)(6) Deposition of Georgia Legislative & Congressional Reapportionment Office, through GINA H. WRIGHT,

Taken by Jon M. Greenbaum,

Before Joel P. Moyer, Certified Court Reporter,

At the Offices of Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP, Atlanta, Georgia,

On Monday, November 20, 2017, Beginning at 9:37 a.m. & ending at 5:17 p.m.

Volume of Testimony (Exhibits Contained in Separate Volume)

```
APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
1
2
     For the Georgia State Conference
        of the NAACP Plaintiffs:
 3
                 JON M. GREENBAUM
 4
                 JOHN POWERS
                 Lawyers' Committee for
 5
                   Civil Rights Under Law
                 Suite 400
6
                 1401 New York Avenue, NW
                 Washington DC 20005
 7
                 202.662.8391
                 WILLIAM V. CUSTER IV
8
                    (Via Telephone)
9
                 Bryan Cave LLP
                 Fourteenth Floor
10
                 1201 West Peachtree Street, NW
                 Atlanta, GA 30309-3488
                 404.572.6828
11
12
     For the Thompson Plaintiffs:
                 ARIA C. BRANCH
13
                    (Via Telephone)
14
                 Perkins Coie LLP
                 Suite 600
15
                 700 13th Street, NW
                 Washington, DC 20005-3960
                 202.654.6200
16
17
     For the Defendant:
                 FRANK B. STRICKLAND
18
                 JOHN J. PARK JR.
19
                 Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
                 Suite 2200
20
                 1170 Peachtree Street, NE
                 Atlanta, GA 30309-7200
21
                 678.347.2200
22
2.3
2.4
25
      (Continued on Next Page)
```

```
1
      For the Witness:
 2
                  DAVID C. WILL
                  Royal - Will Law Firms
                   4799 Sugarloaf Parkway, Building J
 3
                  Lawrenceville, GA 30044
                  770.814.8022
 4
 5
      ALSO PRESENT:
                  David Tobelman, Videographer
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS	
	VIDEO FILE INDEX	
	deo File One	10
	deo File Two deo File Three	69 138
	deo File Infee	188
	deo File Five	221
Vio	deo File Six	267
	EXAMINATION INDEX	
O.T.		
GII	NA H. WRIGHT	
	Examination by Mr. Greenbaum	10
	<u> -</u>	278
	Re-Examination by Mr. Greenbaum	281
Er	cata Sheet	285
Cer	ctificate Page	287
	EXHIBIT INDEX	
Pla	aintiff's Exhibits	
	Subpoena to Testify at a	14
	Deposition in a Civil Action	
	LinkedIn profile for Gina Wright	35
	B Map labeled Gwinnett County House	47
	Districts - as passed 2012	
	Map labeled Gwinnett County House	48
1	Districts - as passed 2015	40
	Map labeled Henry County	49
	Districts - as of 2012	
(Map labeled Henry County Districts - as passed 2015	49
	-	ΕO
	Printout from the Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment	50
	Office website	
1	ontinued on Next Page)	

1 2	8 9	Defendants' Initial Disclosures US Census Bureau Profile of	60 87
3		General Demographic Characteristics: 2000, of Gwinnett County	
4		-	
5	10	Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics: 2010, for Gwinnett County	87
6	11	-	95
7	11	Characteristics: 2000, for Henry County	95
8	12	Profile of General Population and	95
9	12	Housing Characteristics: 2010, for Henry County	93
10	13	-	110
11	13	Letter to Honorable Roger Lane from Jimmy McDonald, 2-15-2011, with attached procedures for	113
12	1 4	requesting redistricting services	1.00
13 14	14	Letter to Christopher Herren from Sam Olens, 10-21-2011, Submission Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act - Request For Expedited	123
15		Review (1362-1385)	
16	15	Exhibit A - Benchmark House Plan (1392-1404)	130
1718	16	Exhibit A - Benchmark House Plan (1411-1416)	135
19	17		138
20		Between Districts (1417-1437)	
21	18	(1552-1506) and title page of	139
22		Exhibit K - Committee Transcripts (2014)	
23	19	Exhibit B - 2011 House Plan	142
24	, =	(1540-1546)	
25	(Cont	inued on Next Page)	

	Gina H. Wright	Georgia State Conference of the NAACP, et al vs Kemp	November 20, 2017
1	20	Map labeled Georgia House of Representatives: 2006 (1388)	144
3	21	Map labeled Georgia House of Representatives: 2006 (1390)	144
4	22	Map labeled Proposed Georgia House Districts (1523)	e 144
5	23	Map labeled Proposed Georgia House Districts (1525)	e 144
7	24	Exhibit B - 2011 House Plan (1527-1539)	147
9	25	NAACP versus Kemp, Document Production Volume 3 (nonconsecutive Bates numbers)	147
101112	26	NAACP versus Kemp, Document Production Volume 3 HsePrp1_Turnout_Stats (9297-9316 and 9331-9347)	149
13	27	Document labeled Core Constituencies Report	149
1415	28	Georgia Cities by Legislative and Congressional District	153
16	29	Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics: 2010, for	154
1718	30	Lawrenceville, Georgia Excerpts from the transcript of	155
19		the Meeting of the Georgia House of Representatives Reapportionment Committee (nonconsecutive Bates	_
20		numbers)	
21	31	Various maps and stat sheets for 2012	158
222324			
25	(Cont	inued on Next Page)	

			1
1	32	Spreadsheet with categories labeled Precincts Covering	161
2		District 105 from the 2012 House	
3		Plan and Precincts Covering District 111 from the 2012 House	
3		Plan	
4	2.2		1.6.4
5	33	Email thread ending with email to Gina Wright from Gary Cooley,	164
•		1-9-2015 (1065-1068)	
6	34	Email thread ending with email to	170
7		Gina Wright from Erica Hamilton,	
8		1-6-2015, with attached report of Active Voters by Race/Gender	
		Within County as of November 1,	
9	2.5	2010 (1059-1060) Email thread anding with amail to	173
10	35	Email thread ending with email to Gina Wright from Dale Rutledge,	1/3
11		2-27-2015 (GA000112-113)	
12	36	Official County Results of General Election on 11-4-2014	178
13			
14	37	Meeting Notice (GA000003-4)	188
	38	Email thread ending with email to	192
15		Dan O'Connor from Steve Henson, 4-28-2015 (GA000026-27)	
16		1 20 2013 (011000020 27)	
17	39	Email thread ending with email to Gina Wright from Randy Nix,	197
1 /		4-29-2015 (GA000079-80)	
18	4.0	Email throad anding with amail to	107
19	40	Email thread ending with email to Gina Wright and Spiro Amburn from	197
2.0		Randy Nix, 4-30-2015	
2021	41	(GA000100-101) Georgia Cities by Legislative and	199
	7.1	Congressional District, effective	100
22		2017 Session	
2324			
25	(Cont	inued on Next Page)	

			1
1	42	Email thread ending with email to	200
2		Maptitude and Tina Lunsford from Gina Wright, 5-13-2015	
3		(GA000095-97)	
4	43	Various maps and stat sheets for 2015	201
5	44	Email to David Knight from Dan O'Connor, 10-8-2015, with	202
6		attachments (GA000034-39)	
7	45	Email to David Knight from Dan O'Connor, 10-8-2015, with	206
8 9	46	attachments (GA000030-33) Spreadsheet with categories	211
10	40	labeled Precincts Covering District 105 and Precincts	211
1 1		Covering District 111	
11	47	Document titled Information	235
12	- /	Midterm Redistricting and HB566 (State House Redistricting	
13	4.0	Bill-2015) (GA000001-2)	239
14 15	48	Email thread ending with email to Dan O'Connor from Caulder Harvill-Childs, 12-14-2016	239
16		(GA00006-8)	
10	49	Email thread ending with email to	241
17		Dan O'Connor from Caulder Harvill-Childs, 1-5-2017	
18		(GA00009-13)	
19	50	Email to Gina Wright from Dan O'Connor, 2-1-2017 (GA000077-78)	247
20	51	Email to Chuck Efstration from Dan	250
21	ΟI	O'Connor, 2-14-2017, with attachments (GA000014-25)	∠ ∪ ∪
22	E 2		252
23	52	Email thread ending with email to Dan O'Connor from Jan Jones, 2-24-2017 (GA000028-29)	252
24		•	
25	(Cont	inued on Next Page)	

1 2 3	53	Email thread ending with email to 253 Gina Wright from Dan O'Connor, 3-16-2017, with attached Minutes of House Legislative & Congressional Reapportionment Committee meeting on March 1, 2017 (GA000092-94)
5	54	Map labeled Proposed Georgia House 255 Districts with stat sheet
6	55	Email thread ending with email to 265 Alex Azarian from Gina Wright, 6-19-2017 (GA000086-90)
9	56	Email to awelch@smithwelchlaw.com 266 from Gina Wright, 7-20-2017, with attached maps and stat sheets
10		(GA000040-59)
11		
12	/DED 0	
13	conta	RTER'S NOTE: The above exhibits are ined in a separate volume of exhibits and ttached to the transcript.)
14		± ,
15		
16	(End	of Index)
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	November 20, 2017
2	9:37 a.m.
3	(Whereupon the reporter provided a written
4	disclosure to all counsel pursuant to
5	Article 8.B. of the Rules and Regulations
6	of the Board of Court Reporting.)
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on
8	video record. This is the beginning of file
9	number one. The date is November
10	MR. WILL: 20th.
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 20th, 2017.
12	The time is 9:37 a.m.
13	THE COURT REPORTER: Swear in the
14	witness?
15	MR. GREENBAUM: Yes.
16	GINA H. WRIGHT,
17	being first duly sworn, was examined and
18	testified as follows:
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. GREENBAUM:
21	Q Good morning, Ms. Wright.
22	A Good morning.
23	Q I introduced myself to you a few
24	minutes ago. My name is Jon Greenbaum. I'm
25	one of the attorneys representing the Georgia

```
1
     NAACP in the case involving the Georgia
2
     redistricting plan. Good morning.
3
          Α
                 Good morning.
                 Have you ever had your deposition
 4
 5
     taken before?
6
          Α
                 Yes, I have.
 7
                 On how many occasions?
          Q
8
          Α
                 Two or three.
9
                 Two or three? Were they -- did they
10
     all relate to professional, your job?
          Α
11
                 Yes.
12
                 Could you describe each time you've
13
     had your deposition taken?
14
                 The most recent was a local
15
     redistricting case where I was the technical
16
     expert for a federal judge. That was the
17
     Fayette County case.
18
                 Very further back, I think the
     Larios case in 2004. And I'm not sure if there
19
20
     were any others.
                 Okay. And in the Fayette County
21
          Q
22
     case, is it correct that you didn't represent
23
     any party, that the judge had appointed you as
2.4
     an, as an expert --
25
          Α
                 That's correct.
```

```
1
                 -- for the maps? For the remedy in
2
     the case?
3
           Α
                 Yes.
                 And then in the Larios county case,
 4
           Q
5
     were you deposed on -- were you representing
6
     the part of the State in that case, or what was
 7
     your role?
8
           Α
                 I was a member of the staff, and the
9
     staff that worked on various proposals were all
10
     deposed.
                 And that had to do with statewide
11
12
     redistricting in Georgia?
13
           Α
                 Yes.
14
                 Which plans were at issue in Larios?
           Q
15
                 The State House and State Senate.
           Α
16
                 And what was your role with respect
17
     to the State House plan?
                 As staff, we worked with various
18
19
     members of the General Assembly. My role was
20
     pretty minimal at that point.
                 So you wouldn't consider yourself to
21
           Q
22
     be a primary map drawer of those plans?
23
           Α
                 In 2004?
2.4
           Q
                 Yes.
25
           Α
                 No.
```

1	Q Okay. All right. So just to
2	refresh your recollection about some of the
3	rules of deposition, one of the things to note
4	is that everything that you say and I say and
5	the other people in the room and on the phone
6	say will be recorded by a stenographer here as
7	well as in this case we're being videotaped as
8	well. Do you understand that?
9	A Yes, I do.
10	Q And you understand you're under
11	oath?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And at the end of at the end of
14	the day, the court reporter will come up with a
15	transcript. You'll have the opportunity to
16	review the transcript and
17	MR. WILL: She would like to read
18	and sign.
19	MR. GREENBAUM: Okay.
20	Q And note that if you have if you
21	make any changes to the transcript, that's
22	something that I can note as well later on in
23	the case or any of my co-counsel. Do you
24	understand that?
25	A Yes.

1	Q One thing you're doing a good job
2	of so far is we're not talking over each
3	other. It's very important in terms of
4	getting a clear record that I finish asking
5	the question, even if you think you know where
6	I'm going, before you answer and that I let
7	you finish your answer before I ask the next
8	question. Do you understand that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. Is there any reason why your
11	deposition can't be taken today?
12	A Not that I know of.
13	(Whereupon a document was identified
14	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.)
15	Q Okay. Great. So I'm going to show
16	you a document or, actually, I'm going to show
17	you I'm going to show you some documents
18	that were produced to us from Mr. Will last
19	Friday. And I'm going to be asking you
20	questions about these documents as a whole in
21	a couple minutes, and I'm also going to be
22	asking you during the course of the deposition
23	about a number of the documents that are
24	contained within that.
25	And I want to go back for a second

```
and I want to mark as Exhibit 1 a document
1
2
     entitled Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in
     a Civil Action.
3
 4
                 MR. WILL: Thank you.
 5
                 Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
          Q
6
     document?
7
          Α
                 Yes.
8
                 Did you receive this document at
          0
9
     some point in the past?
10
          Α
                 Yes.
                 Okay. And you notice that on -- if
11
12
     you look at the, the top part of the page, it
     has some page numbers on it. If you go to page
13
14
     seven, it lists a series of deposition topics.
15
     And can you talk about your knowledge of topic
16
     one, which involves the drawing of the
17
     redistricting plans considered or enacted by
18
     the Georgia Legislature in Act number 251 in
19
     2015, HB 566, and HB 515 in 2017.
20
                 I'm not sure. I don't know what you
21
     want me to say.
22
          Q
                 Okay.
23
                 MR. WILL: Nor did I. I was going
2.4
     to say --
25
          Q
                 Okay. Sure. What was, what was
```

your role with respect to the drawing of the 1 redistricting plan in 2015? 2 I worked with members of the General 3 Assembly, primarily with the chairman of our 4 5 House committee, to create districts as they, 6 you know, were looking to see what they, you 7 know, might look like as a part of what would 8 make up that bill. 9 And did you use a particular 10 redistricting software in 2015? Yes. Our office uses Maptitude for 11 12 redistricting. 13 Okay. And in terms of who, who else 14 other than you actually physically used the 15 Maptitude program in terms of drawing the 16 plans? 17 At any time or specifically for 18 this? 19 For what ended up being HB 566. 20 Primarily, me. My staff may have 21 met with members to look at options, but as it 22 related to what was final and what was put into 23 the bill, that would be me. 24 Okay. At any time in the process in 25 2015, did anybody present any maps to you?

1	A	I	don't	recall	that	happening.
---	---	---	-------	--------	------	------------

- Q Okay. And going on to 2017, what was your role in terms of the maps that were part of HB 515 in 2017?
- A I would say my role was the same. We work with members to, you know, draw a draft of a district, see what we can do, if that's something they want to do or not.
- Q With respect to either HB 566 or HB 515, were there any alternative maps that you drew at any time?
- A As far as for the bill as a whole or exactly what --
- Q At any point during the process, were you, were you coming up with drafts of things that you were showing to members or to your staff?
- A Typically, when we work on a plan like this that is not a map to redraw the entire state, we work with, you know, a member and whatever their criteria are, and we work on it and work on it and work on it. So there's not a multiple string of alternate plans. It's a process by which we create what it is that they're looking for, so there's not a trail of

alternate plans.

Q So during this process, I take it that you probably -- let's take the 2015 plan. You didn't drop -- draw the map in one, the revised map, in one sitting, did you?

A No.

Q During the course of time, do you save drafts of what you're working on?

A It depends.

Q And --

A Typically, I would say no. It just, these particular changes that were done were usually very small, minimal changes that were given -- I was given criteria. If I could try to draw something that would be what that member was looking for, then I work within that particular area to do that.

So I don't have, like I said before, multiple plans because most of these changes were not -- if there was another -- like in another example, had there been a wide sweep change of, you know, totally different variation, then, yes, I would say those are different plans, but I did not have that happen during this process.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1	Q	Were there	any so	at no time did
2	you save a	version and	then you	went on and
3	started a	new version	or revised	version?

A There could have been one or two versions like that for a specific area, not for the whole bill as a whole, no.

But if in one area there were two options that they looked at, then there may be two different plans that would be, basically just that area to those two districts or however many districts, there would be a different version for that.

Q And are there particular -- do you, do you create a system of organizing plans so that when you go back to when you're working on a set of changes related to a plan that they're all kept in some electronic folder?

A The organization in our system is by member numbers.

Q Okay.

A So it's through -- whatever that particular member works on, work is saved into, into their library.

Q Okay. So if you, if you were -- if, let's say, the house member in 111 asked you to

19

2.4

make some proposed changes to 111, you would have, you would have a series of -- if they existed, you would have a series of files all related to 111?

A Yes. If they existed, I would have a plan perhaps named whatever I named it with a one or a two or whatever in his particular folder that would be designated as his work which would be private for him.

Q Okay. And let's say, let's say -let's take 111 again as an example, and let's
say that that member wanted to have changes in
2015 and wanted to have changes again in 2017
and there were multiple drafts of that. Would
that all be -- would those drafts all be under
the 111 folder, or would there be a separation
based on what year it was?

A It would all be under 111.

Q Okay. I want to move on next and ask you -- you mentioned a couple minutes ago that you -- you referenced redistricting criteria and taking into account redistricting criteria when you're drawing a plan.

Can you tell me what the redistricting criteria was with respect to the

2015 house plan?

ever do is to comply with all state and federal law, which would include the Voting Rights Act. It would also include criteria such as contiguity, compactness, keeping counties/precincts whole as much as possible, communities of interest, if that's something that we can take into account. Further down would be incumbency. Those types of issues are always kind of at the -- on the list but lower down.

Q And with respect to the changes in 2015, what were you told that house members wanted to do?

A It varied in terms of which house member I was talking to --

Q Okay.

A -- or who, you know, had spoken with our chairman. So it would have to -- you would have to be specific on --

Q Sure. Let's start with the, with the changes in Gwinnett County 104 and 105. What were you told the House members wanted to achieve?

1	A They were looking for a political
2	advantage to see if there was any way to, to
3	give any political boost to District 105.
4	Q How about with respect to 111?
5	A The same.
6	Q With respect to District 105, who
7	talked to you about trying to create a
8	political advantage?
9	A At the first conversation that I
10	ever had, I believe was with Representative
11	Chandler, and that would have been prior to the
12	'14 election, so it was further back. I
13	believe at some point I spoke with Chairman
14	Nix.
15	Q Anyone else with respect to 105?
16	A Probably Representative Efstration.
17	Q And why would you have probably
18	spoken to Representative Efstration?
19	A His district was the district
20	adjoining Representative Chandler's, so any
21	change we made would be between the two members
22	and would have impacted his district.
23	Q And then with respect to Chairman
24	Nix, do you recall your first conversation with
2.5	Chairman Nix about District 105 being before or

```
after the 2014 election?
1
2
                 I don't recall.
                 It could have been either one?
3
                 I don't recall.
 4
          Α
 5
                 All right. So your -- so you do
6
     know -- you did testify a minute ago that your
 7
     first conversation with Representative Chandler
8
     was prior to the 2014 election; correct?
9
          Α
                 That's correct.
10
                 And do you recall where that
     conversation took place?
11
12
                 In my office. I say that.
                                              We had
13
     an email as well, but I think she came to my
14
     house before there was an email, but you've
15
     seen that email I think, so.
16
                 Okay. And do you recall the
17
     substance of what Representative Chandler told
18
     you during that conversation?
                 I don't remember the details.
19
20
                 Do you remember anything -- I take
     it part of the conversation was about her
21
22
     trying to maximize the chances of her being
23
     able to retain her seat; is that correct?
2.4
           Α
                 Yes.
25
           Q
                 During the course of the
```

1	conversations about District 105 with		
2	Representative Chandler, anybody else, was the		
3	issue of the changing demographics in Gwinnett		
4	County brought up?		
5	A Can you repeat that again		
6	Q Yes.		
7	A the beginning?		
8	Q Sure. In the course of the whole		
9	process of making changes to District 105, did		
10	anybody reference the changing demographics		
11	within Gwinnett County?		
12	A Yes.		
13	Q Do you recall who referenced the		
14	changing demographics of Gwinnett County?		
15	A Most likely me.		
16	Q And what is your understanding about		
17	the changing demographics in Gwinnett County?		
18	A Well, you can look at political		
19	data. You can look at a lot of other data that		
20	shows from different election cycles that some		
21	of the areas in the county that used to vote		
22	Republican are now voting Democratic.		
23	You can see that moving across, even		
24	if you look at the most recent election data		
25	throughout the county, so that's an indicator		

that there's change going, you know, going on 1 2 throughout the county definitely in that 3 respect. And do you look at race data as 4 5 well? 6 I do. 7 And is there any correlation between 8 the race data and the political data? Well, the data that we have in our 9 10 system to use for redistricting is solely from the 2010 census, so the most recent comparison 11 12 we would be able to make would be from 2010 to 13 2000. And you can definitely see changes in 14 that particular data from 2010 to 2000 in the 15 changes in the demographics at that point. 16 don't have data on race that is newer than 17 that. 18 Now, is it your understanding that 19 Georgia keeps voter registration and turn-out 20 data by race? 21 Yes, I think so. 22 And is that something that you or Q 23 anybody in your office looks at when, when 2.4 looking at trying to create redistricting

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

plans?

25

A That is part of -- when we create a precinct layer that we work on, we have a precinct file we update every two years to correspond to the general election, and that data is a part of that file. So it is built in to our political data layer that we can use in our system, so it is there. It can be looked at, yeah, sure.

Q So what, what political, what political data do you look at in your system on Maptitude?

When we pull in political data that we get from the Secretary of State's office, what we are doing is matching that to -- those precinct codes to what precinct codes we have in our geographic layer that we correct with the counties to accurately represent what they have for their voting precincts so we can keep that up to date. For an overarching reason is to keep us up with when we have to update with the Census Bureau every decade. That keeps us on pace.

What we do is pull files from that.

The data that we use is always statewide

contested races, and we pull those in to match

1 with the precincts because those are races that 2 are across the board for the entire state. it's a balanced look at how the state voted as 3 a whole by precinct. 4 5 Okay. I'm going to come back to 6 this a little bit later on in the, in the 7 deposition. I want to move now to District 111 8 in 2015. Who did you have conversations with 9 in terms of District 111? 10 Throughout the process or at what 11 point? 12 At any point during the process. 13 I spoke with all the members there 14 that were involved in having their district 15 affected by any change. So that would be -- do 16 you want me to name them, or do you know? 17 Why don't you go ahead and name them 18 for the record. 19 Representative Rutledge. 20 Representative Welch. Oh, and sorry to interrupt you. 21 22 When you say their names, can you say the 23 district as well that they represent? 2.4 Okay. Representative Rutledge is 25 109. This is like a quiz. Representative

1	Welch is 110. Representative Strickland is	
2	111. Representative Knight was 130.	
3	Representative Yates was 73. And I would be	
4	certain that I spoke with Chairman Nix on that	
5	too, and his number was 69, I think.	
6	Q Okay. And in, in communicating with	
7	these representatives, what did they tell you?	
8	What did they tell you that they wanted to try	
9	to accomplish or avoid? Let's start with, so	
10	you mentioned before trying to maintain that	
11	the purpose of this was trying to maintain	
12	political advantage; correct?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q And would it be fair to say that the	
15	goal was for Representative Strickland in 111	
16	to be able to maintain his seat?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q And Representative Yates, Rutledge,	
19	Welch, and Knight are also white Republicans	
20	who have portions of their districts in Henry	
21	County; correct?	
22	A Correct.	
23	Q And was the goal to and, and the	
24	plan that was passed in 2015 affected all five	
25	of those districts; correct?	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

7\	Correct
Δ	(α_r, r, α_r)

Q And would it be fair to say that what you were trying to also accomplish in improving the likelihood that Representative Strickland would be reelected would be to not do any harm in terms of the likelihood that Representatives Yates, Rutledge, Welch, and Knight would not be reelected?

A I think I understood that question, and I think that's yes, if you're saying we didn't want to politically harm those other districts as well. Is that what you're asking?

- Q Uh-huh (affirmative).
- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q And in doing so, did you look at political data?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Did you also look at racial data?

A Racial data, when I worked on both of these districts, was not the first thing that I ever looked at. It was something that I did consider down the line, but all of these criteria were, you know, in a particular order that I usually review when I'm working on

29

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

something.

Since my objective was to make these districts, if at all possible anyway, better for these incumbents to get reelected, then that political data was my primary objective to look at. To say that I never looked at race data, no, I did eventually look at it to make sure that I did not do significant harm in that respect as well. So, yes, I did look at race data.

Q What do you mean by significant harm in that respect?

Mell, throughout my years of drawing maps, obviously, you wouldn't want to do anything that would make a significant change for a district. These districts were in the 30-percent range, I would say, for their African-American population, so you wouldn't want to take it down to, say, 10 percent, obviously. There's — that's clearly doing something, you know, moving up, people who live in that area, too much.

But any time you make a change to a district in order to make changes in the population, you're going to make changes in the numbers, so you want to just review those

```
numbers and make sure they are comparable to
1
2
     where you started from.
3
                 You also didn't want to -- you also
     didn't want to make the districts more black;
 4
 5
     correct?
6
                 I'm sorry?
 7
                 You also didn't want to make the
8
     district, any of the districts, let's say,
9
     40-percent black?
10
          Α
                 That was never told to me.
                 Is that something that you
11
           0
12
     considered when you're drawing these plans?
                 I'm not sure I understand exactly
13
14
     what you're asking me.
15
                 Sure. Well, let me ask a different
16
     question. Is there any correlation between
17
     race and partisanship in Georgia?
18
                 There have been studies of that, and
19
     they seem to show that there is some
20
     correlation. I don't have any evidence in my
     hand that I can say this defines it completely.
21
22
     It's all speculation.
23
                 What's your understanding of what
2.4
     that correlation is?
25
          Α
                 Well, if you look across any of the
```

races locally, you can see that the districts 1 2 that are majority-minority districts tend to elect Democratic candidates. 3 Okay. And the districts that are, 4 Q 5 let's say, 65 percent or more white tend to 6 elect Republican candidates? 7 On the current map, that would probably be true. 8 9 Okay. And you were aware of that 10 when you redrew the map in 2015; correct? Α Yes. 11 12 Okay. Now I want to move on to 13 Exhibit B, which is on page eight of -- I'm 14 sorry. Exhibit B of Exhibit 1, so page eight 15 of Exhibit 1, the very next page. Do you see 16 where I'm looking at? 17 Α I do. 18 Okay. And these were documents that 19 you were or that your office was asked to 20 produce with respect to this deposition. that your understanding? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 And what did, what did your office 2.4 do to look for documents that would be 25 responsive to Exhibit B?

2.4

A For the first portion with the shape
files, we went through the libraries of the
affected members, anything we had related to
these two bills that were considered or
enacted, saved them to a folder so they could
be burned to a CD.

Second portion, I contacted my staff, had them review their emails for anything related to what this asks for within Gwinnett or Henry counties for the House districts and the members and anyone else who may have asked for anything related to that since that date, had them save them to a folder, burn them to a CD.

Q Okay. Oops. Just a second.

All right. Now I'm going back to
that other stack of documents that I showed you earlier.

A Okay.

Q Do you have any sense in terms of what the volume was of documents that you provided with respect to Exhibit B, question two?

A What do you mean in terms of volume?

Q Well, in front of you, you've got

```
about 200 pages worth of documents that I'm
1
2
     trying to, trying to figure out how to get
3
     confirmation that, that that is what you
     provided to your counsel to provide to us.
 4
 5
                 I never printed it, so I wouldn't
6
     know --
 7
                 Okay.
8
                 -- what it would constitute in terms
9
     of a paper stack, so, I mean, do you want me to
10
     go through the whole stack?
                 Probably, probably not. I don't
11
12
     think that would be very good use of your time.
13
     I might ask your counsel a question about it
14
     during, during the break.
15
          Α
                 Okay.
16
                 During the course of the deposition,
17
     I'm going to be pulling -- I'm going to ask you
     to pull out some of the pages from that stack
18
19
     as we get into the deposition, and I'm asking
20
     you questions --
21
          Α
                 Okay.
22
                 -- related to some of the
23
     communications that were in there.
24
                 In terms of going back to number one
25
     in Exhibit B, do you recall there being any
```

Electronically signed by Joel Moyer (501-161-376-4513)

```
alternative plans in the folders of the
1
2
     legislators that you burned to the CD?
3
          Α
                 There were some, yes.
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
 4
 5
          Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.)
6
                 Okay. All right. All right.
 7
     going to go back in time a little bit and go
8
     through your professional history now.
9
     going to mark as Exhibit 2 what appears to be
10
     your LinkedIn profile; is that correct?
                 That's correct.
11
          Α
12
                 And so you went to work doing
13
     redistricting out of college?
14
          Α
                 Yes.
15
                 And at the time you started doing
16
     redistricting for Georgia, where were you
17
     working out of? Were you working in Atlanta?
18
          Α
                 Yes.
19
                 And were you working for the Georgia
20
     General Assembly at the time?
                 Yes. At the time our office was
21
          Α
22
     contracted through the University of Georgia;
23
     however, we served the Georgia General
24
     Assembly, so our office was in the same place
25
     that it is now.
```

1	Q Okay. So your office hasn't moved
2	from 2000 to 2017?
3	A No.
4	Q And you mentioned before that it was
5	contracted through the University of Georgia.
6	Was there a component of the University of
7	Georgia that the office was part of?
8	A Yes. They were we were
9	underneath the division called ITOS,
- 0	Information Technology Outreach Services, and
1	then sometime during those years, I couldn't
.2	tell you which year it was, the whole ITOS
_3	division was moved under the Vincent Institute
_4	of Government.
_5	Q Now, at the time you went to, first
6	went to work in the Reapportionment Office, who
_7	was the executive director at the time?
8 .	A Linda Meggers.
9	Q And who else worked in the office
0.2	when you were first there?
21	A Let's see. Joe Stanton, Rob
22	Strangia, Shantée El, Angela Kennedy, Heather
23	Hammond.
24	Q Okay. And Mr. Stanton, what was his
25	role in the office?

1	A He was the assistant director.
2	Q And is it correct to say that
3	Ms. Meggers and Mr. Stanton don't work in the
4	office anymore?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q Do you recall about at what point
7	Ms. Meggers left?
8	A She left in January of 2005.
9	Q And how about Mr. Stanton?
_ 0	A I would say it was summer of that
1	same year.
.2	Q And when Ms. Meggers left, who
_3	became the executive director of the office?
4	A We had a time period where we really
.5	didn't have one for a while, but following
-6	that, when they hired someone, Shantée El was
_7	named the director.
- 8	Q And is it correct that Ms. El
_9	doesn't work in the office anymore?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q Do you recall when about she left?
22	A I think it was the end of 2012.
23	Q Was there a period of time in which
24	the executive director became Jimmy McDonald?
25	A Yes.

```
1
                 Do you recall when Jimmy McDonald
2
      came in?
3
                 That would have been the beginning
           Α
     of 2011.
 4
 5
                 So Ms. El continued to work in the
           Q
6
     office in 2011 and much of 2012, but now she
7
     was under Mr. McDonald?
8
           Α
                 Yes.
9
                 And Mr. Strangia still works in the
10
     office; correct?
11
           Α
                 Yes.
12
                 What is his role?
13
                 He is my GIS manager.
           Α
14
                 Is he drawing maps as part of that
           Q
15
     job?
16
                 Typically, no.
           Α
17
                 He is more of what would be your
18
     tech person?
19
                 More or less, yes.
           Α
20
           Q
                 Okay. And then what did Ms. Kennedy
21
     do?
22
                 She was a redistricting analyst,
           Α
23
     worked with members, worked with county
24
     governments and local governments.
25
                 And how about Ms. Hammond?
           Q
```

Gina H. Wright

2.4

1	А	She	was	our	person	who	answered	the
2	phone,	administ	trati	ive a	assistar	nt.		

- Q Now, going back to Exhibit 2, the LinkedIn page, in terms of, in terms of the executive director on your profile, you don't have any text below that, but underneath redistricting services specialist, you have about five lines of text; correct?
 - A Uh-huh (affirmative).
- Q And were those the duties that you performed when you were the redistricting service specialist, services specialist?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. One of the things that you mentioned in there is that you created redistricting plans for statewide and local district maps. What was the first time that you had a significant role in drawing a statewide map?
- A Well, that would depend on how you define a significant role.
- Q Okay. So let's go back to 2004 in the Larios case. You said, you said that your role in terms of that redistricting plan that was at issue there was relatively minor; is

that correct?

A The districts that were being -- I don't know what the correct word is -- that were being disputed or whatnot in that case, yes, because those were the maps that had been adopted prior to that, you know, cycle. That would have been maps from '02, '01 and '02 that had been put into place. So during that time period, yes, I would say my role was very minor.

As far as related to the case itself, the staff worked with -- of course, in that case they had a special master and his technical expert who worked on the plans who weren't from Georgia, and we worked as staff to kind of help them know a little bit about where -- Georgia and to know where things were and to kind of help them with the basics.

I also for that case took the special master's plan and went through it to basically try to make corrections, technical corrections, to it because they focused primarily on city limit lines and used a lot of city limit lines for district boundaries, which city limits in Georgia are probably to most

election supervisors of lesser importance than, 1 2 say, the precinct boundary lines. 3 So I went through that plan to try and fix it to the point of moving the lines 4 5 from city lines to precinct lines where I could to make it, you know, a little bit more 6 7 efficient for our county election 8 administrators to handle. 9 And that plan was presented as an 10 option, but it was not accepted. I believe, I believe Dennis Dunn I worked with on that who 11 12 is one of the assistant attorney generals now. 13 Okay. And eventually Georgia had a 14 new House plan that was created in 2006? 15 It was created in 2004 as a Α 16 result of the case. 17 Okay. So the plan was created in 18 Was it first in effect in 2006? 19 No. It was in effect in 2004. 20 Okay. And then there was another plan that came into effect in 2006? 21 22 No. Well, the 2006 map, both in the Α 23 House and Senate, were slight adjustments that

the members made to the court drawn plan.

think in the Senate it was only in one area.

41

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

2.4

25

In the House, I believe it was in three areas.

Q Okay. All right. Next on your profile it says that you "Maintained a current statewide precinct file for all 159 counties in Georgia through frequent contact with county election supervisors."

Is that something that you did in your role as a redistricting services specialist?

A Yes.

Q And then it says you "Coordinated the Block Boundary Suggestion Program for the US Census Bureau in preparation for the 2010 census for Georgia." Did you do that?

A Yes.

Q And what did that entail?

A We worked through all 159 counties using the geography provided from the Bureau to get down to the geographic layer in the blocks and make corrections where there needed to be corrections within each county. It's very technical and very detailed.

We would find areas where it would be on a railroad, it would jump off the railroad onto something else, the block

boundary, that is, and then it would come back onto the railroad. So we wanted to make a continuous feature -- make corrections so that we would have continuous features. Features that are no longer there, we would want to remove, you know, such as a dried up creek bed or a railroad that was destroyed or whatever, things like that.

Q And so you would take that information that, that you received from the counties and suggested the census changes in the census blocks?

A Right. Most of the information isn't necessarily received from the counties. If we, if we had something that the county had told us, this is here and we need to have this creek — this river is here and we'll not be able to use it, then, yes, we would definitely mark those to be changes to the block lines.

Some of it was just us working down to that level and looking for geographical differences and things that needed to be corrected.

Q And then what is the -- what's a VTD?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

A A VTD is a voting district as defined by the Census Bureau. For us, it corresponds with voting precincts.

We are -- we submit to the Bureau whatever files we want to use for our VTDs. We try to submit the most recent file that we have so that when that is -- the data is allocated to those VTDs that it is at least as close to the most current precinct layer that we have at that point in time.

But they do not change throughout the decade. The VTDs from the Bureau are still the VTDs as they are in the year that ended in zero for the file.

- Q So the VTDs don't change?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q After the, after the decade begins?
- 18 A Correct.
- 20 So the VTD in 2010 would be the same as the VTD in 2016?
- A If you're referring to the census, how the Census Bureau defines it, yes.
 - Q But one of the things that may
 happen in Georgia is that election officials
 may want to adjust the boundaries of a precinct

44

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

23

2.4

25

```
1
     in between censuses; correct?
2
                 Definitely.
                 Do you know, with respect to
3
     Districts 105 and 111, whether there have been
 4
 5
     any changes in the precinct boundaries since
6
     2010?
 7
                 I can't say for certain.
8
          0
                 You don't recall any?
9
                 I'm not sure. I work with so many
10
     of them and we do this every two years, so I
     can't pinpoint.
11
12
                 As far as the most recent two years,
13
     no, I don't believe that either Henry or
14
     Gwinnett have made any changes to their
15
     precincts since that's the most recent file
16
     that I worked on was the '16 file.
17
                        I just want to make sure I
                 Okay.
18
     understand the timing. So are you saying there
19
     have been no changes since 2016 for sure, or
20
     are you saying there have been no changes since
     2014?
21
22
                 With -- I can say with certainty
23
     that I don't believe Gwinnett or Henry have
24
     made any precinct changes since '16 -- or,
25
     actually, what's -- leading up to '16, so I
```

```
guess from -- since '14 leading up to the '16
1
2
     file, they did not have any changes.
3
                 But it is possible that they made
     changes prior to that. The file would have
 4
5
     been updated in '10, '12, '14, '16, et cetera,
6
     every two years.
7
                 You brought some maps with you
8
     today.
9
           Α
                 Yes.
10
           Q
                 Would you mind bringing the maps
     out?
11
12
                 Sure. Where would you like me to
           Α
13
     put them?
14
                 Why don't you go ahead and let's see
           Q
15
     if we can get them to fit on the table.
16
           Α
                 They're large.
17
                 And I very much appreciate you
18
     creating these maps or bringing these maps to
19
     the deposition.
20
                 Okay. So the first map says
21
     Gwinnett County House Districts - as passed
22
     2012; is that correct?
23
           Α
                 Yes.
24
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Why don't we go
25
     ahead and mark this one as Exhibit 3.
```

```
1
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
2
          Plaintiff's Exhibit 3.)
3
           (Whereupon off-the-record discussions
          ensued.)
 4
 5
                 And, Ms. Wright, could you describe
6
     what Exhibit 3 is?
 7
                 Exhibit 3 is a map of the State
8
     House districts as they were drawn in 2012 of
9
     Gwinnett County and all the House districts
10
     within it and some of the surrounding.
                 And in the bottom right-hand corner,
11
12
     there, there's a set of layers that are used
13
     for the map; is that correct?
14
          Α
                 Yes.
15
                 And those set of layers are things
16
     that are items that show up on the map itself;
17
     correct?
18
                 Correct.
19
                 And could you list what the layers
20
     are for this particular map?
                 It says map layers, districts,
21
22
     census place, river, railroad, streets,
23
     freeway, highway, VTD 2014, county, and then it
2.4
     has a little mileage thing.
25
          Q
                 Okay. Thank you.
```

1	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
2	(Whereupon a document was identified as
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 4.)
4	Q We'll be coming back to this.
5	I want to go ahead and for now mark
6	each map, so I want to go next to the next map
7	and mark as Exhibit 4 Gwinnett County House
8	districts - as passed 2015.
9	Do you recognize this map,
10	Ms. Wright?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And could you explain what this map
13	is?
14	A This is a map of the State House
15	districts as they were following the 2015 map
16	changes of the State House districts of
17	Gwinnett County and a little bit of the
18	surrounding.
19	Q Okay. And so if somebody wanted to
20	look at the changes in District 105 between
21	2012 and 2015, one of the things that they
22	could do is look at the at Exhibit 3 and
23	Exhibit 4 and see how they are different?
24	A Sure.
25	(Whereupon a document was identified as

```
Plaintiff's Exhibit 5.)
1
2
                 All right. Why don't we go, go on
3
     to the next map. I want to mark as Exhibit 5 a
     document entitled Henry County House
 4
 5
     Districts - as of 2012.
6
                 Do you recognize this document,
 7
     Ms. Wright?
8
           Α
                 Yes.
9
                 And what is this document?
10
                 This is a map of the Georgia House
     districts as of 2012. It's Henry County, all
11
     the districts within, and some of the
12
13
     surrounding.
14
           Q
                 Okay. Thank you.
15
                 You're welcome.
16
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
17
           Plaintiff's Exhibit 6.)
                 Why don't we go ahead and move on to
18
19
     Exhibit 6. Exhibit -- I just marked as
20
     Exhibit 6 Henry County House Districts - as
21
     passed 2015.
22
                 Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
23
     document?
2.4
           Α
                 Yes.
25
                 And what is this document?
           Q
```

1	A This is a map of the State House
2	districts following the changes in 2015 of
3	Henry County, the districts that are contained
4	within, and some of the surrounding.
5	Q Okay. And, again, if you wanted to
6	see the changes in District 111 between 2012
7	and 2015, one of the things that you could do
8	is compare Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6; is that
9	correct?
10	A You could do that.
11	Q Okay. Thank you.
12	A You're welcome.
13	(Whereupon a document was identified
14	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7.)
15	Q We can actually maybe put all the
16	maps back on top just so that it will give you
17	room to maneuver.
18	Thank you. I wanted to mark as
19	Exhibit 7 a document entitled Georgia General
20	Assembly, Welcome to the Legislative &
21	Congressional Reapportionment Office.
22	Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
23	document?
24	A I do.
25	Q What is it?

```
1
                 It appears to be a printout of our
2
     web page.
3
                 Okay. And I had asked you about
     previous staff before. I want to ask you some
 4
 5
     questions about the current staff. And I guess
6
     the first thing to note is that you are
7
     currently the executive director; correct?
8
          Α
                 Correct.
9
                 And you became the executive
10
     director at some point in 2012?
          Α
11
                 Yes.
12
                 And then it lists four other people
13
     on the staff. Could you -- and the first is
14
     Tonya Cooper. Can you describe what Ms. Cooper
15
     does?
16
                 She's our office manager.
          Α
17
                 And then how about Mr. Knight?
          0
18
                 He's a redistricting services
19
     specialist.
20
                 And what does -- and that's -- that
     was similar to the job that you had previously?
21
22
          Α
                 Yes.
23
                 How about Mr. O'Connor, Dan
2.4
     O'Connor?
25
          Α
                 Dan is a data specialist,
```

```
redistricting data specialist.
1
2
                 Prior to Mr. O'Connor, was there a
     data specialist that worked in the office?
3
           Α
                 No.
 4
 5
                 So this was, this was a role that
6
     was created during your tenure as executive
 7
     director?
8
           Α
                 No, not specifically. Dan has been
9
     on the staff off and on, I guess, mostly since
     2005.
10
11
           Q
                 Okay.
12
                 The title, we may have streamed the
     title a certain way, but that's -- he's always
13
14
     kind of done what he's done in the office,
15
     which is he knows the data very well.
16
                 And when you talk about the data,
17
     what do you mean specifically?
18
                 Geography, political trends,
19
     election returns and results, pretty much
20
     anything, history.
                 How about demographic changes?
21
22
           Α
                 To some degree.
23
           0
                 And then we mentioned Mr. Strangia
     hefore.
2.4
25
                 So I take it at the, at the -- right
```

before there's a census, the office is probably 1 2 pretty busy in preparation for the data that's 3 going to come out for the census; correct? It's a process that is spread out 4 Α 5 over several years leading up to the census, so 6 it's not too crazy right, right then, but it 7 is -- over time, we do a lot of things. 8 Okay. And then after the census 9 comes out, the office is extremely busy because 10 it's drawing plans for the Congressional State House and State Senate; correct? 11 12 Well, initially, we're bringing the 13 data in and putting the data to the current 14 maps and analyzing what the data shows for the 15 state. 16 And the office also draws maps when 17 requested for local jurisdictions? That's correct. 18 19 And the bulk of the redistricting 20 is, is done in the first two years after the census comes out? Is that fair to say? 21 22 I'd say that's fair to say. Α 23 What are the main thing that the

office does? Let's say -- let's take this

decade. What are the main things the office

53

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

24

25

does -- has done between, let's say, 2013 and 2 2017?

A Okay. We are still doing a lot of local redistricting in 2013. I'd say we're still, still working with some of the cities especially who have not redrawn their district lines but some counties as well.

I believe in 2013 there were a lot of federal cases going on with counties whose districts had not been decided upon, so I worked with quite a few federal courts on that. We are still maintaining work with the counties on the precincts. Every — that's constant, all the time. That would have been going on in 2013 through those years.

We've been heavily involved with creation of new cities across the state, creating not just their city boundary lines but also working with them to have districts within their cities.

I don't know. I'm sure there's other stuff. Of course, we're constantly doing map requests, printouts and requests for not just incumbent members but anyone who orders a map. When they're running for any district of

2.4

any kind, they order maps from our office, so we're doing requests for those things.

We've done customer requests for groups across the country who want files from our office and maps from our office and whoever. And, of course, at this point we've begun work with the Census Bureau on the VDSP.

Q Okay. On the second page of
Exhibit 7 toward the bottom, it talks about
Joint Reports For Current Plans. And the first
that's listed is the House and Senate in
Congressional Districts. What's the purpose
for -- what -- actually, strike that.

What, what does that joint report consist of?

A That report is a report that lists each of the congressional districts, and then it has a column for each, the State House and the State Senate, that includes a listing of districts by number that are within that congressional district by any -- whether it's one census block or completely within that congressional district.

Q And why -- what's the purpose of creating that report?

It's very much used when the House 1 2 and Senate are making appointments to different boards. They have -- if they have within 3 that -- if their district is within a certain 4 5 congressional district, then they are, they are able to vote on appointments and whatnot, 6 7 people like the DOT boards and things like 8 that. 9 And then the next one that's listed 10 is City Districts. Could you describe what, what report that is? 11 12 That is a report that lists each 13 city in Georgia, and then it lists the district 14 assignments that it would have for that 15 particular city. So it would list the House, 16 the Senate, and the congressional districts 17 that are a part of that city. Okay. And why is that report 18 19 created? 20 It's just a useful reference. Α 21 Q And then underneath that, it says

report?

A That's the same kind of report as

the city report except it's just for the

Joint County Districts 2015. What is that

22

23

2.4

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4

25

county, which districts are a part of those delegations for each county.

- Q And you referenced before the importance of county delegations in terms of appointments?
 - A Uh-huh (affirmative).
- Q What is the significance in terms of the county delegation in terms of appointments?
- A Well, it's not so much the county, but it's the delegation where they -- with the congressional districts, it's everyone who is inside of that congressional district.
- With the county report, any local legislation or anything that the House or Senate takes up, if their district is within a certain county, then they are allowed to vote or whatnot with that particular -- not just vote, but they're -- they have to make decisions on that local legislation as a group.
- Q Does a county delegation also appoint certain people to positions?
- 22 A I don't know.
 - Q Do you have an understanding that it is important for a particular party to have a majority of seats that are in a particular

57

```
1
     county for the delegation because there are
2
     certain things that the county delegation has
3
     authority to do?
                 I think I followed your question.
 4
 5
                 I know. Sorry it's so complicated.
          Q
6
                 Are you just asking if I understand
7
     the county delegations are important?
8
                 Yeah. Well, let me ask you this
          Q
9
     question. Do you have an understanding that
10
     the partisan makeup of a county delegation is
     important?
11
12
          Α
                 Yes.
13
                 And why is it important?
14
                 Well, sometimes they don't agree.
          Α
15
     If all of the members of the delegation agree,
16
     then they move forward with whatever it is that
17
     they're wanting to do. If they don't agree,
     then they do -- you know, sometimes it's a
18
19
     party line vote. Sometimes it's not.
20
                 Sometimes it could be regional
     within that county. It could be other issues
21
22
     that they take opinions upon. But sometimes it
23
     is a party line issue in the counties.
2.4
                 Has it been the case that if a
25
     particular party's in control during a
```

redistricting cycle that a priority for them is 1 2 to maintain a majority in the county 3 delegations to the greatest extent possible? I don't know that it's a high 4 Α 5 priority, and I would not venture to say that 6 it's a priority in every county. It's 7 something that some of the counties consider, 8 I'm sure, but I don't know that it's to the 9 extreme of the number one thing they're trying 10 to achieve. Has Chairman Lewis ever expressed to 11 12 you the interest in, in any county maintaining 13 a majority of Republican members in the county 14 delegation? 15 I'm not sure who you're asking me 16 about. 17 MR. WILL: Yeah, I'm not sure who 18 Chairman Lewis is either. 19 I'm getting, I'm getting my people 20 mixed up. Okay. 21 Has, has any legislator ever come to 22 you and said that they want to maintain a 23 majority of legislators in the county 24 delegation that is of the same party that they, 25 they are?

1	A I don't know that it's ever been
2	brought to me like that.
3	Q How has it been brought to you?
4	A It may come up in discussions of a
5	county, creating districts within a county, but
6	I don't recall ever having a member come to me
7	and say that, that that was the thing they
8	wanted to do.
9	Q But it's something that's come up
10	during the redistricting process that someone
11	has at least mentioned the partisan split in

has at least mentioned the partisan split in terms of the county delegation?

> Yes. Α

Do you recall any particulars as to who has mentioned that?

I don't want to make a guess or just Α try to, you know, throw things out, so I'm not sure that I can name specific individuals that that may have come up with.

> (Whereupon a document was identified as Defendant's Exhibit 8.)

Okay. All right. I want to move on to the next exhibit. I'm going to mark it as It's entitled, it's entitled Defendants' Initial Disclosures. Ms. Wright, have you seen

60

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 this document before?

A No.

Q I'm going to ask you to go to the third page under number five. It, it says, "Provide the name of the people who are likely to have discoverable information that are used to support your claims or defenses," and here we're talking about the Defendants in this case. And then it refers to attachment A, which is, if you to go page seven, you'll see attachment A.

A Okay.

Q And then if you turn to page eight, you'll see your name. Were you aware that the State had identified you as a potential witness in this case?

A In a document, no, but I would just figure that.

Q Okay. All right. Now, if you, you go several more pages on, it's -- you got to go all the way to Exhibit 2.

A Okay.

Q Okay. And the first page after Exhibit 2 is 2011-2012 Guidelines for the Senate Reapportionment and Redistricting

1	Committee. And that goes on for five pages.
2	Do you recognize those guidelines?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And could you describe what
5	this document is, the Guidelines for the Senate
6	Reapportionment and Redistricting Committee?
7	A This was a document I wasn't
8	involved in putting together, but I have seen
9	it, that was done for the committee as far as
- 0	how they were going to go through the process
1	of redrawing the lines during the special
.2	session of 2011 for use for districts for 2012.
_3	Q And then I want to move on to then
4	what is Exhibit 3 in this document. And it's
.5	2012 Guidelines for the House Legislative and
-6	Congressional Reapportionment Committee. Do
_7	you recognize that document, Ms. Wright?
8 .	A Yes.
_9	Q And what is this document?
20	A The same document they used for the
21	House committee.
22	Q Do you, do you know if there are any
23	differences between the House document and the
24	Senate document?
25	A I do not know.

Okay. On -- we'll go back to the 1 2 Senate document. If you look under Roman 3 numeral III, it talks about Redistricting Plans, and then it says General Principles For 4 5 Drafting Plans. And it then -- there are nine 6 general principles; correct? 7 Α Yes. 8 And the, the House has nine 0 9 principles as well, and as far as we could tell, the principles are identical. 10 Is that your understanding? 11 12 I have not read them both like that, 13 so I don't know. 14 Okay. In drawing plans -- or, Q 15 actually, let me, let me go back and ask the 16 question. What was your role in terms of 17 drawing the plans -- drawing the plan that the 18 House passed in 2011? 19 In 2011, is that what you said? Α 20 Q Yes. 2011, I worked with members, a lot 21 22 of members. I worked with our chairman. Wе 23 drew maps of various parts of the state, worked

on fitting them together to a statewide plan.

Were you the point person in your

63

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

Q

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

office in terms of working on the plan? 1 2

Which plan? The House?

The 2011 House plan.

Α As far as the House plan, I don't believe there was a point person.

> 0 Okay.

There were, you know, staff members, several staff members, who worked in part with that and on different areas, worked with different members. It was a collaborative effort.

To the extent that you can recall, could you set forth what each person's role was in the office with respect to that plan? Start with you. What, what --

Well, I just told you what I did.

Was there a particular portion of the state that you focused on, or how, how was the work divided up within the office? was the division of labor?

It wasn't, really. We worked with pretty much whoever came in, whoever called. Whoever wanted to work on a map, you know, they scheduled a appointment. They would sit down and work with us. Sometimes it was a group of

64

members; sometimes it was a single member. We worked with whoever came in. And all of us kind of did whichever part happened to be, you know, whoever came in.

Q How did you keep track, you know, given that Georgia's got a lot of legislative districts and a lot of counties, how did you keep track of what the plan was going to be as a whole?

A I don't know. No.

As we talked about the libraries, so individual members had their library, and so their plan would be saved in that library, whatever they were working on at that time.

And any staff member could open that should one not be available or be present when someone came back in to look.

The chairman was ultimately involved in what went into the building of what would be the, the plan that we would adopt, so.

Q And which chair are you referring to?

A In 2011, that would be Roger Lane.

Q And was there -- I'm trying to figure out, you -- each member has a separate

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

folder, and there are in the House, is it 180 members? Did I get that number right?

A That's correct.

Q So if you had 180 individual folders, let's say, how would you -- how is it done that it would all come together? What was the process of it coming together?

A Well, members would work on what they wanted, whether it was an individual or a group. As I said, it could be a whole area. It could be a regional thing if it was a bunch of members who worked together on it.

But they would ultimately take that to the chairman, and the chairman would decide, you know, if the members were in agreement this is what they wanted to do, this is how they wanted that area to look, then that would be pieced together in a plan that was in his folder.

Q Okay. So in terms of kind of where the plan was going as a whole, you would have looked in Chairman Lane's folder?

A Right.

Q You mentioned before meeting with various delegations. I take it that that could

66

```
include a delegation from the House, a
1
     delegation -- strike that.
2
3
                 Could you give me examples of
     delegations? For example, did you ever meet
 4
5
     with the Black Caucus, or did anybody from your
6
     office meet with the Black Caucus to your
7
     awareness, to your knowledge?
8
           Α
                 I don't recall actually meeting with
9
     the Caucus in --
10
           Q
                 Okay.
                 -- in a group form. Members of the
11
           Α
12
     Black Caucus, yes, we did meet with, sometimes
13
      singular, sometimes several of them.
14
                 And when you meet with people, do
15
     you meet in a place, in a room, where you can
16
     actually draw maps as you're talking to them?
17
           Α
                 Yes.
18
                 Will you meet with county
19
     delegations sometimes?
20
                 You mean delegations of House
     members or Senate members?
21
22
           Q
                 Yes.
23
           Α
                 Yes.
2.4
                 So for example --
           Q
25
           Α
                 If they come in together.
```

```
1
                 Yeah.
                        And so are there times in
2
     which the members of a delegation that are
3
     House and Senate members from a particular
     county, do they sometimes come in only with
 4
 5
     people from the same party?
6
                 Can you restate that?
 7
                        In other words, are there
                 Sure.
8
     times in which, let's say, if you're meeting
     with a delegation of Senators and House members
9
10
     from Gwinnett County that you would -- there
     would only be the Republican members that would
11
12
     come in or only the Democratic members?
13
                 I don't know that I recall meeting
14
     with a delegation the size of the Gwinnett
15
     County delegation as a whole, whether in part
16
     or as a whole, and if they come in, it would
17
     probably be a few members at a time. And I
18
     can't say for certain whether they were always
19
     of one party or if it was bipartisan.
20
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Okay.
21
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Is this a good time
22
     for a break?
23
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Yeah, let's take a
2.4
     break.
25
                 MR. STRICKLAND: All right.
```

```
1
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off video
2
     record at 10:51 a.m.
3
           (Proceedings in recess, 10:51 a.m. to
          11:01 a.m.)
 4
 5
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on
6
     video record at 11:01 a.m.
7
                 So, Ms. Wright, before the break, we
8
     were talking about the redistricting guidelines
9
     that the Senate and the House used in the 2011
     redistricting cycle. To what extent did you
10
     pay attention to these what they call general
11
12
     principles for drafting plans when you were
13
     working on the 2011 House plan?
14
          Α
                 These are basically common
15
     principles of redistricting, so this is pretty
16
     much what I would have done.
17
                 Okay. So you didn't -- you weren't
18
     referring to the particular document, but you
19
     were, because of your knowledge of
20
     redistricting, relying on similar principles;
     is that correct?
21
22
          Α
                 Yes.
                 All right. So let's -- I'm going to
23
24
     ask you about several of the principles.
25
                 Principle number two says, "Each
```

legislative district of the General Assembly 1 2 should be drawn to achieve a total population 3 that is substantially equal as practicable, considering the principles listed below." 4 5 When you're drawing a map for the 6 General Assembly, what level of population 7 equality are you trying to achieve? 8 Α We try to draw them as close to zero 9 as we can, but within the realm of some of the 10 other principles, obviously, we will allow for that range to deviate from zero whether it's 11 12 normally within a plus or minus one percent, thereabouts. It's not hard and fast, but. 13 14 And with respect to the 2011 plan, Q 15 do you recall what the maximum deviation was of 16 that? 17 I don't recall specifically, no. 18 Okay. In the 2011 time frame, what 19 was your understanding, going to principle 20 number three, about what compliance with the Voting Rights Act of 1965 entailed? 21 22 Can you state that again? Α 23 Sure. Principle three says that 24 "All plans adopted by the committee will comply 25 with the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as

2.4

1 amended."

During the 2011 time frame, what was your understanding as to what it meant to comply with the Voting Rights Act?

A With the Voting Rights Act, you're dealing with your majority-minority districts. You are trying to maintain the ones that you have and not -- what's the word I'm looking for?

Q Retrogress?

A Right. I mean, yeah, that's the big word, but, yeah. You don't want to retrogress. You don't want to lose seats that are already — that are majority—minority districts, if at all possible, based on the population changes and growth in whatever areas that you're in.

And in 2011 when we were working with a statewide map, we are keeping in mind the number of districts overall for the state that we had and then, when applying the new data to that, how many districts then reflected a majority-minority status.

Even if they were not within the deviation range or not anywhere close, we're

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

still acknowledging the fact that there were,

you know, districts here that may have been

before, may not been have been before that have

majority-minority population in them.

And then in terms of Georgia, when we look at that, that population specifically, we are focused on the African-American population because those were the districts in Georgia. Prior, we had not had any majority districts that were of another racial category.

Q In drawing the plan, was there -- to what degree did the office look at issues under section two of the Voting Rights Act?

MR. WILL: And you're still talking 2011?

MR. GREENBAUM: 2011.

A I don't know that, that we were focused on the specific sections or anything like that. We, we understood retrogression. We understood all the basic principles of redistricting. So we were focused on all of those as a whole. And of course there were, you know, legal advisors who were consulting on maps as well.

Q And in the 2011 cycle, what legal

```
1
     advisors were you working with?
2
           Α
                 That would have been Anne Lewis and
3
     Bryan Tyson.
                 And Ms. Lewis was at a law firm at
 4
           Q
 5
     the time?
6
                 What was that question?
 7
                 Was Ms. Lewis at a law firm at the
8
     time?
9
                 Yes, I believe so.
           Α
10
           0
                 And what law firm was that?
                 That would be Strickland Brockington
11
           Α
12
     Lewis.
                 And how about Mr. Tyson?
13
14
           Α
                 He was with the same firm.
15
                 For the 2012 House redistricting,
           0
16
     did your office rely on legal advisors at all
17
     for that one?
                 I don't recall.
18
19
                 How about with respect to 2015 and
     the House plan?
20
21
                 I don't recall any outside legal
22
     counsel other than legislative counsel who
23
     draft the bill and work on that.
2.4
                 Okay. How about 2017?
25
                 I don't recall our office
           Α
```

specifically working with any outside 1 2 attorneys, no. 3 How about, how about -- maybe I should be more precise. Were there attorneys 4 5 in the state Attorney General's Office that you 6 worked, worked with in addition to law firm 7 attorneys? 8 Α Which, at which time? 9 Let's start with '11. 10 I don't recall working with anyone from the Attorney General's Office then. 11 12 Okav. How about '12? 13 Until it came time to do the 14 preclearance submission, we usually weren't 15 working closely with the AG's office. 16 So going back to the issue of 17 compliance with the Voting Rights Act in the 18 2011 time frame, would it be fair to say that 19 what you were primarily focused on was maintaining at least the same number of 20 majority-minority districts? 21 22 Can you say that one more time? Α 23 Sure. I'm trying to sort of 2.4 summarize with respect to compliance with the 25 Voting Rights Act what you were trying -- what

your office was trying to accomplish or what 1 2 you felt like you needed to do or what the --3 to comply. 4 Α In relation to the Voting Rights 5 Act? 6 0 Yes. 7 That was, that was, yes, probably 8 the most important objective, yes, was to not 9 retrogress. 10 That the key objective was to at least maintain the same number of 11 12 majority-minority districts? 13 Right, related to the Voting Rights 14 Act. 15 Okay. Moving on to number 0 Correct. 16 four, complying with the United States and 17 Georgia Constitutions, what, what were you -what in particular were you looking at trying 18 19 to do to make sure that you complied with the 20 United States and Georgia Constitutions? 21 Well, the United States 22 Constitution, you know, of course, speaks to 23 the congressional districting in specific, but 24 as far as keeping them -- the equal population, 25 one-person/one-vote, those types of things, we

tried to focus on that.

The Georgia Constitution addresses contiguities. Of course, we were focusing on that, making sure that all the districts were contiguous. And outside of the broad realm of redistricting, we would rely upon attorneys for their expertise on those areas.

Q With respect to you mentioned contiguity a second ago. What is your understanding of what contiguity is?

A Contiguity being all parts of the district touch each other and at more than a single point.

Q How do you, when you're drawing a map, determine whether there's contiguity?

A Maptitude has a particular test you can run that will tell you if there is any noncontiguous pieces. However, Georgia has a few counties that have noncontiguous -- or they're not -- they're point contiguity. They're not noncontiguous, but they're at a point.

And we do allow for that to be used for those county lines if it becomes an issue. If that particular county is split in such a

2.4

way or grouped with another district but it's not an issue, then it's not an issue. But if it comes up, we prioritize holding that county line and keeping it whole.

Q Okay. I want to move on to number seven now. It talks about three concepts the committee should consider. One is the boundaries of counties and precincts. In drawing the plans, to what extent are you accounting for the boundaries of counties and precincts?

A I don't have a gauge to measure exactly how much I'm putting a weight on that. Anyone who's ever worked on a map with me knows that I value county and precinct lines greatly because I know how difficult it is and what a challenge it is for these local counties to work through what it causes them when the precincts are all divided up for their elections and the ballot combos and things that they have to do. It makes it a whole lot more difficult for them.

So in any case, if I can keep a precinct whole or put a whole -- put a precinct back together, I'm going to do that because I

1	know that that's beneficial to all the local
2	governments.
3	Q Under what circumstances will you
4	split counties?
5	A Normally, counties are split because
6	of population. If they are larger than
7	whatever the district size is that we're
8	drawing, then, obviously, you have to have more
9	than one district that makes up that county.
10	Sometimes it goes into the history
11	of a county. Maybe it's always had two members
12	that have represented it or more. There's a
13	lot of reasons that can go into play, but I
14	would say population is the number one.
15	Q So population, history of the
16	county. Can you think of any others?
17	A Of why we would split a county?
18	Q Yes.
19	A Off the top of my head, I don't
20	know.
21	Q Okay. And when you're using
22	Maptitude, will it let you know as you're
23	working on a plan how many counties are being
24	split by that plan?
25	A You can run certain reports that

would tell you that.

Q And how about splitting precincts?

Under what circumstances -- you mentioned

before that you try to the extent possible to

keep precincts intact. Under what

circumstances would you split precincts?

A Again, if you're trying to balance population and you can't do it within the realms of keeping precincts whole, which is always what I try to do first, sometimes it happens that you have to divide a precinct.

Sometimes the precinct may be divided because an incumbent lived in a precinct and had a reason for wanting it split. That could happen. Normally, they want their whole precinct though, so if we can do that, we do.

Sometimes it may be geographical.

If there's a good reason there to divide that precinct to make it more — to make more sense for the balance of the district, maybe it's a major interstate that divides it, maybe the precinct — in some cases the precincts have noncontiguous geography, and they follow city limit lines or they have islands and pieces

2.4

that make up that precinct, and because we can't have noncontiguous districts, we split the precinct then to account for the way that the precinct is drawn.

I'm sure there may be more.

Q Well, I'd like -- so the three that you mentioned so far, let me make sure I have this correct first, is you'd split to balance population? You'd split having to do with the residence of an incumbent?

A Could happen.

Q And the third that you mentioned was geographical. And examples you gave was division by an interstate or where, where there are noncontiguous boundaries. Can you think of any other instances in which you'd split a precinct?

A I mean, I suppose, if you were trying to keep a particular city or community together, you might would do that then. If that precinct was sufficiently large and had different regions or sections in it that were different communities, you might do that for that.

Q Any others?

A I don't know. I'm sure there may be others, but that's what's coming to me right now.

Q Okay. And when you're in Maptitude, will it let you know when precincts are being split?

A Maptitude as it is will run a report based on the VTDs, so that report would be accurate with the VTD layer. However, if there have been changes to precinct boundaries in a county -- which we do have changes that went in.

In 2010, prior to the redistricting cycle, we had a new precinct layer already. So you kind of have to keep that in mind as well that those won't show up in the report necessarily, but you can kind of get a ballpark idea from using that.

The further you get away from the VTD layer and the census data, you know, from 2010, the more counties have made precinct changes and the more that report becomes a little bit less useful.

Q And then 7b references compactness. What is your understanding of compactness?

1	A Compactness being how closely
2	related to a center point or the size of the
3	district, the shape of the district.
4	Q How do you go about, when you're
5	working on a plan, ensuring compactness?
6	A There I know that there are
7	things, tests that you can run, for
8	compactness. I have not used those
9	traditionally. Compactness, I guess, in my
10	opinion can sometimes be in the eye of the
11	beholder. So if I'm the beholder, I look at
12	it.
13	Q Is compactness something that you
14	prioritize when you're drawing plans?
15	A It depends on what the other
16	criteria are for a particular area or district.
17	Yes, I mean, it's important, but I think there
18	are other factors that would probably take a
19	higher precedence if I was ranking them.
20	Q Okay. Well, along those lines,
21	could you tell me what your ranking is of
22	factors?
23	A I don't really have one, but I know
24	complying with the law is obviously at the top
25	of that list, so you would want to make sure

```
you're complying with the law. That's the
1
2
     number one thing.
                 And then outside of that, it's not
3
     really that there's a hierarchy or anything. I
 4
5
     work with all of the principles together.
6
     any given case, one may be more, more easily
 7
     done than another.
8
                 So you mentioned before that you
           Q
9
     don't use quantitative measures of compactness;
10
     correct?
                 That's correct.
11
           Α
12
                 Do you know if Maptitude has certain
13
     measures of compactness within the program?
14
           Α
                 I believe it does.
15
                 Do you know what any of them are
           Q
16
     called?
17
                 Yes, maybe.
           Α
18
           Q
                 Okay. Have you heard of Reock
19
     before?
20
           Α
                 Yes.
                 And is that something that Maptitude
21
           Q
22
     uses?
23
           Α
                 I believe so.
2.4
           Q
                 Okay.
25
           Α
                 I think there is -- think there's a
```

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

list in there that you can pull down a menu to use them, but I don't use that.

Q And do you have an opinion on using any of these measures one way or the other?

A No. I don't use them.

Q Are you in a position to evaluate whether any of those measures are legitimate or illegitimate?

A I don't use them, so I'm not in that position.

Q And then moving on to number nine -I mean, I'm sorry, 7c, communities of interest,
are communities of interest something that you
consider when you're drawing a plan?

A Communities of interest is a hard principle to define. Our state's really large, obviously. I don't know every community of interest across the state and where they are and how they would define themselves.

As a community of interest can be people who shop at a certain area or people who attend a certain church or people who travel certain areas. That's very difficult. There's not a cut and dry way to define it.

So those types of measures would be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

something that is a little bit more from the members and from the local delegations and from the local people that they can define that and say to us, this area is, you know, they all have kids at this school or they, whatever, you know. They know that area, that feature, more than we would.

So if it's brought to our attention for a reason from an individual member or from someone from the community that is involved in doing the work on this, then, yes, we would look at that.

- Q But it's not something that you go out and try to determine yourself? You sort of rely on, to the extent that a member or somebody else identifies something as a community of interest --
 - A Right.
- 19 Q -- that you'll use that?
- 20 A Right, if possible.
- 21 Q And in Maptitude, is there any way 22 to measure communities of interest?
- A Not that I'm aware of.
- 24 Q Now, we were talking a little 25 earlier about demographic change in Gwinnett

```
County; correct?
1
2
           Α
                 Yes.
3
                 And you mentioned the difference
     between the 2000 and the 2000 [sic] census
 4
5
     data in Gwinnett County with respect to
6
     demographics; correct?
7
                 2000/2010, yes.
           Α
8
           0
                 And is it fair to say that, first
9
     of all, that total population in Gwinnett
10
     County grew tremendously between 2000 and
     2010?
11
12
                 Yes, it grew a lot.
                 Do you use American FactFinder by
13
14
     the way in terms of looking at census data?
15
                 Do I, or have I?
           Α
16
                 Have you?
           Q
17
           Α
                 I have.
18
                 Did you with respect to
19
     redistrictings for any of the state
20
     legislative plans?
21
                 Not that I recall.
22
                 Okay. But in any event, you knew
           Q
23
     that, because of the growth of population in
24
     Gwinnett County, it would likely have more
25
     districts in the 2011 plan than it had
```

```
previously?
1
2
          Α
                 Yes.
3
           Q
                 Okay. Would it also be the case
     that the, that the growth in population in
 4
 5
     Gwinnett County was driven by the growth of
6
     minority population --
 7
          Α
                 Do you --
                 -- between 2000 and 2010?
8
          0
9
                 I don't know the specific amounts of
10
     growth and what categories they fell in.
     know they had a lot of growth, but I don't know
11
12
     the details.
                 MR. WILL: 10 and 11?
13
14
                 THE WITNESS:
                               2010 --
15
                 MR. WILL: No, exhibit numbers.
                                                   10
16
     and 11?
17
                 THE WITNESS: 9 and 10.
           (Whereupon documents were identified
18
          as Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 and Plaintiff's
19
20
          Exhibit 10.)
                 All right. I'm marking as Exhibit 9
21
22
     the Census 2000 Summary File 1 profile of
23
     Gwinnett County and Exhibit 10 is the 2010
24
     census profile of Gwinnett County.
25
                 Ms. Wright, are you -- not talking
```

about these documents in particular, but have 1 2 you seen similar printouts from the census in 3 terms of laying out population information for counties under the census data? 4 5 I've looked at it online before. 6 Okay. I want to call your attention 7 to the second page of this document. 8 Well, let's start with the overall 9 population. So you see that in 2000 the 10 population is 588,488; correct? Correct. 11 Α 12 And in 2010 the population jumps up 13 to 805,321; correct? 14 Α Correct. 15 And what I want to do is compare the 16 white non-Hispanic numbers, so in 2000, if you 17 go to the second page and under Hispanic or 18 Latino and race, it breaks it up between --19 there's a portion that talks about the Hispanic

A Uh-huh (affirmative).

Q And then under that, it has the white non-Hispanic and Latino population, and you see that that number is 394,164?

population, and then it says non-Hispanic or

20

21

22

23

24

25

Latino population.

1	А	Yes

Q Okay. And then if you look at 2010 and you go to the third page toward the bottom, it has Hispanic or Latino and race, and there's a whole section on non-Hispanic or Latino, and the white population you see is 354,316; correct?

A Yes.

Q And so the county population increased by over 200,000 people between 2000 and 2010; correct?

A Yes.

Q And the white non-Hispanic population decreased from roughly 394,000 to 354,000; correct?

A Yes.

Q And so the growth in population in Gwinnett County between 2000 and 2010 was driven by the Latino population, by the -- by the nonwhite population; correct?

A According to what you're showing here, that looks to be very much of an impact. It made a very big impact, yes.

Q Now, were you aware of that at all when you were working on the House plans in

1 2011?

A Yes. We knew there was a growth in Hispanic population. We drew a majority Hispanic district in the house.

Q Did you also know that there was a large growth in the black population?

A I don't know that I knew the numbers of it, but as we mentioned before, yes, we knew there was changing demographics and growth in different areas, so.

Q So, I mean, we'll just look at this really briefly. If you go to 2000 at the top of the second page -- actually, it's at the bottom of the first page, it has race, and it has black or African-American, and it's 78,224 for -- bottom of the first page for 2000.

A Okay. I see that.

Q And if you go to -- and for 2000, they didn't have black non-Hispanic, so that would include people who black and Hispanic and black and non-Hispanic.

For 2010, they did, they did break that down, and you see that at the bottom of the third page next to the white population that the black or African-American non-Hispanic

```
population is 184,122?
1
2
           Α
                 Yes, I see that.
3
                 So fair to say that the black
     population went from 78,000 to 184,000?
 4
 5
          Α
                 Yes.
6
                 And on a percentage basis, the black
7
     population went from 13 percent to 22.9
8
     percent; correct?
9
                 Looks to be correct.
10
          0
                 Now, you mentioned before the
     increase in the Hispanic population in Gwinnett
11
12
     County between 2000 and 2010; correct?
                 Actually, you did, but yes.
13
          Α
14
          Q
                 But you, acknowledged that --
15
          Α
                 Yes.
16
          Q
                 -- correct?
17
                 Uh-oh. Something just came
          Α
18
     unplugged, I think. Maybe not.
19
                 MR. WILL: You're good.
                 We're good. Okay. Sorry.
20
          Α
                 And in drawing the districts in
21
          Q
22
     2011, did your office take into account that
23
     increase in Hispanic population in Gwinnett?
24
                 As much as we were aware of what is
25
     shown with the data, yes, Hispanic data that we
```

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
have always used for analysis has always been
that the Hispanic is an ethnicity, so it can be
used in combination, you know, with other
races.

So keeping that in mind when we were
looking at it, we have not in the past dug down
to the level of the non-Hispanic this, that,
```

looking at it, we have not in the past dug down to the level of the non-Hispanic this, that, and the other and all these categories. It's not been something that we ever -- we never used the data that way.

Q Now, in Georgia, people who are -when people fill out their registration forms,
it asks them to list a race or ethnicity or
indicate a race or ethnicity; correct?

A When they register to vote, you mean?

Q Yes.

A I believe it's a combined question. It's one or the other. It's altogether. It's what is your race/ethnicity, and there are options.

Q And Hispanic is treated as a different option than anything else, correct, a separate and distinct option?

A No, not that I -- to my knowledge,

92

```
1
     Hispanic is included in that one question, so
2
     they either pick those -- one of those options.
3
                 Right. And Hispanic would be a
     different option than, let's say, white or
 4
 5
     black --
6
          Α
                 Right.
 7
          Q
                 -- correct?
8
          Α
                 Right.
9
                 Sorry if I didn't make that clear
10
     enough the first time.
                 Now, with respect to the 2011 plan,
11
12
     did you work on the districts in Gwinnett or
     Henry County at all to your recollection?
13
14
                 I did work on Henry County. I'm not
15
     real clear about how much work I did on
16
     Gwinnett in the 2011 cycle.
17
          Q
                 Okay.
18
                 Don't remember.
19
                 All right. So let's, let's, let's
20
     move on to Henry County. And by the way, in
     Gwinnett and Henry County for the State House
21
22
     districts, there was no difference between the
23
     2011 House plan and the 2012 House plan;
2.4
     correct?
25
          Α
                 No, that's not correct.
```

```
1
                 Oh, there was.
           0
2
           Α
                 In Gwinnett, the northern part of
     Gwinnett was changed some in the '12 plan.
3
 4
           Q
                 Okay.
 5
                 As a result of the changes that were
6
     made in the Gainesville area, they trickled
7
     down into the northern part of Gwinnett.
8
           Q
                 All right. But it didn't affect 104
9
     or 105?
                 It did affect 104.
10
           Α
                 Okay. It didn't affect 105;
11
           Q
12
     correct?
                 It did not affect 105.
13
           Α
14
                 All right. And with respect to
           Q
15
     Henry County, were those districts the same in
16
     2011 and 2012?
17
                 Yes, they were.
           Α
18
                 Okay. So let's talk about Henry
19
     County, which you said you worked on with
20
     respect to the 2011 redistricting.
21
           Α
                 Yes.
22
                 Were you aware that the overall
23
     population in Henry County increased
24
     dramatically between 2000 and 2010?
25
           Α
                 Yes.
```

```
(Whereupon documents were identified as
1
2
          Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 and Plaintiff's
3
          Exhibit 12.)
 4
                 Okay. We'll look at, we'll look at
5
     some of the numbers.
6
                 Oh, I'm sorry.
7
                 All right. I'm going to mark as
8
     Exhibit 11 the 2000 census profile in Henry
9
     County and as 12 the 2010 census profile for
10
     Henry County.
                 This is 2000. There's several --
11
12
     there's more of these here.
                 MR. WILL: 2000 is 11, and 2010 is
13
14
     12?
15
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Correct.
16
                 Ms. Wright, you see that the
          Q
17
     population in Henry County increased
     dramatically between 2000 and 2010; correct?
18
19
          Α
                 Yes.
20
                 And it went from 119,341 in 2000 to
21
     203,922 in 2010; correct?
22
          Α
                 That's what this shows, yes.
23
                 What was, in terms of total
24
     population for the, for the, for the 2000
25
     census plans, what was the approximate amount
```

```
of the number of people in the district?
1
2
          Α
                 For the average district size?
3
          0
                 Yes.
                 It was about 50, 50-something
 4
          Α
 5
     thousand. 54,000 maybe rounded.
                For 2000 or 2010?
6
                 '10.
 7
          Α
8
                 Okay. 2010. And do you recall what
          0
     it was for 2000?
9
10
          Α
                 40-something thousand, I think.
                                                   I'd
     have to go back and look.
11
12
                 So let's say it's 40-something
                So in Henry County, if you kept --
13
14
     let's say, you try to keep county boundaries
15
     intact as much as possible. In 2000, it would
16
     have a little bit less than three districts'
17
     worth of population?
                 Say that again.
18
19
                 Sure. Let's say that, let's say
20
     that your overriding goal was to keep
21
     counties -- to keep districts contained within
22
     one county when you were redistricting. Would
23
     it be fair to say that for 2000 Henry County
2.4
     had a little bit less than three full districts
25
     of population? Because if each district had
```

```
40,000 people, the county as a whole has
1
2
     119,000.
3
           Α
                 I think it was closer to 45 to
      50,000.
 4
 5
           Q
                 Okay.
                 It wasn't -- it was on the middle to
6
7
     the upper end, but if you could just strap it
8
     within the county and limit it to the
9
     boundaries, then it would divide to about
10
     three, but that's not ever really possible.
                 A little bit, a little bit less than
11
12
     three; right?
13
           Α
                 Right.
14
                 And then for 2010, if the average
15
     district is about 54,000 and the total
16
     population is 203,000, it's somewhere
17
     between -- it's close to four full districts'
18
     worth of population; correct?
19
                 If you, if you're dividing it by
     that, yes.
20
                 Okay. In Henry County, how many
21
           Q
22
     full districts or portions of districts are in
23
     the county?
2.4
                 On the House map?
25
           Q
                 On the House map.
```

```
1
                 In the 2011/2012 version?
           Α
2
                 Let's take them both. For 2012, how
3
     many are there?
                 I believe there's eight.
 4
           Α
 5
                 And three of those eight are
           0
6
     majority-minority districts; is that correct?
7
                 Yes, I think so.
8
                 And do you know the race of the
           Q
9
     people that represent those districts?
10
           Α
                 Of the three districts?
                 Uh-huh (affirmative.)
11
           Q
12
                 I think all three are
13
     African-American.
14
           Q
                 And do you know what party those
15
     three representatives are from?
16
                 They are from the Democratic party.
           Α
17
                 Okay. And then the other five
18
     districts, what are the race of the people who
19
     represent those districts?
20
                 They are white.
           Α
                 Okay. And then what party are they
21
           Q
22
     from?
23
           Α
                 Republican.
24
                 I want to -- it will help me, it may
25
     not help you, but I'd like to take a look at
```

```
the Henry County maps while we're talking
1
2
     about -- keep these documents out.
                 You want the most recent?
3
                 Let's start with '12, and then we'll
 4
5
     go to '15. So in '12, what are the three
6
     districts that are majority-minority?
                 It would be 76, 78, and 90.
7
           Α
8
           Q
                 And 76, what other county is 76 in?
9
           Α
                 Clayton.
10
           Q
                 And is Clayton majority black
     county?
11
12
           Α
                 Yes.
                 And how about 78?
13
           Q
14
           Α
                 What county --
15
                 What county in addition to Henry
           Q
16
     County?
17
                 Oh, it's also in Clayton.
           Α
18
                 And then District 90, is that --
19
     what, what other county is District 90 in, if
20
     any?
21
                 It has part of Rockdale and part of
22
     DeKalb.
23
                 And then of the, of the eight
24
     districts that are in Henry County in 2012, how
25
     many of them were only in Henry County?
```

```
1
                 One.
          Α
2
          Q
                 And that's 111?
3
          Α
                 Yes.
                 And then today is that also the
 4
          Q
 5
     case?
6
          Α
                 Yes.
 7
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me,
8
     Mr. Greenbaum. Could you please flip your
9
     microphone over? It's upside down.
10
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Sorry about that.
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's okay.
11
                                                 Thank
12
     you.
                 All right. So going, going back to
13
14
     Henry County, I want to take a look at -- we
15
     talked before -- or maybe we didn't talk
16
     before. Henry County, the overall population
17
     increased by about 84,000 people between 2000
18
     and 2010; correct?
19
          Α
                 Yes.
20
                 Okay. And I wanted to look at the
21
     non-Hispanic white population. If you go to
22
     the second page of 2000, you'll see under
23
     Hispanic and Latino and race, you'll see that
24
     the white non-Hispanic population was 95,550;
25
     is that correct?
```

```
1
           Α
                 Yes.
2
                 Okay. And then for 2010, you see
           Q
     that the white population is 107,000; correct?
3
           Α
 4
                 Yes.
 5
                 And that -- so there's a slight
6
     increase, but as a percentage, the white
7
     non-Hispanic population goes from 80.1 percent
8
     to 36 -- sorry. You see that between 2000 and
9
     2010 the white non-Hispanic population goes
10
     from 80.1 percent to 52.5 percent; correct?
11
           Α
                 I see that, yes.
12
                 And so Henry County goes from being
13
     roughly four-fifths white to being roughly half
14
     white; correct?
15
                 Yes.
           Α
16
                 And that correspondingly for the
17
     black population, you have to go back to the
     first page for 2000 under race, and you see
18
19
     that the black population in 2000 was at
20
     17,000; is that correct?
21
           Α
                 Yes.
22
                 And that in 2010 the black
           Q
23
     non-Hispanic population is at 74,000; is that
24
     correct?
25
           Α
                 Yes.
```

1	Q And so it more than quadrupled
2	during those ten years?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And that the percentage went from
5	14.7 percent to 36.3 percent; is that correct.
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. And so there's a lot more
8	black population in Henry County in 2010 than
9	2000. Do you know essentially where all these
10	people came from?
11	A They didn't tell me.
12	Q Okay. Do you know why the black
13	population increased by that, by that amount?
14	A They moved into the county.
15	Q Do you know if have you studied
16	the demographic trends to see whether people
17	are moving from places like Clayton County and
18	other adjoining counties into Henry County?
19	A I have not studied that, no.
20	Q Okay. Did you, did you pay
21	attention when you were working on Henry County
22	in 2011 as to where the concentrations of black
23	population are in the county?
24	A I would, I would say that I knew
25	more or less where they were, yes.

1	Q Okay. And what was your
2	understanding of where the, where the black
3	population was predominantly concentrated?
4	A There are some heavier areas that
5	are concentrated in the northern parts of the
6	county and then along that side of the county
7	that borders Clayton County.
8	Q Okay. So the closer people were to
9	the northern part of the county to Clayton
- 0	County, those were the areas that had the
1	larger black populations; correct?
.2	A I would yes. And I would say
_3	they also have had larger growth in general, of
_4	all kinds of growth.
.5	Q Okay. And when you were so you
-6	had, you had the VTDs and the precincts in 2010
_7	when you were working on the portion of the
8 -	county in Henry County, a portion of the plan
_9	that was in Henry County; correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q What, what legislators did you work
22	with respect, with respect to Henry County for
23	the 2011 plan?
24	A In the House, is that what you're
25	asking?

2.4

Q Yes.

A I recall meeting with Steve Davis.

I believe I met with Andy Welch. At some point, everyone on the delegation met with me, which would include representatives from those districts from Clayton County and also from the 90th. I met with all of them at some point, but some of those members are not the same members that we have now.

Q Did you, did you have an understanding at the time as to -- when you drew the plan in 2011 in Henry County, did you have, in addition to census data, voter registration data that you looked at?

A I don't recall looking at voter registration data. We probably had it in our file, but I don't remember looking at it.

Q You mentioned before that -- strike that.

So with respect to Maptitude, do you have in Maptitude the ability, when you're drawing around in a plan, the ability to look at what the racial data is?

A In what capacity?

Q Let's, let's say you're -- let's say

```
you're working on a plan in Henry County and
1
2
     you're drawing out districts, and I suspect
     that each of the districts as you're drawing it
3
     will have certain metrics that will go with
 4
 5
     that district. It will have total population,
6
     for example; right?
 7
                 Uh-huh (affirmative).
          Α
8
           0
                 You have to -- you have to --
9
          Α
                 Right.
10
                 Will it also have racial data with
     respect to that district?
11
12
                 When I work on a plan, I use the
13
     pending changes box, which is a feature in
14
     Maptitude that shows you how your numbers
15
     change as you select what geography you're
16
     selecting.
17
          Q
                 Okay.
18
                 And you can set and move which
19
     fields you want to have in that change box as
20
     you go. So for me I usually keep a
21
     combination. I like to have the political data
22
     there as well as other data, racial data,
23
     population data, all of those other things.
2.4
                 Now, the political -- so, so the,
25
     the race data you will have at the block level;
```

correct?

A Yes.

Q In terms of the political data, what will be the level of geography that you'll have that at?

A I have it at the block level also. It's an estimate. When we bring in our file from the Secretary of State's office, it is completely accurate to the precinct, but when we allo -- we allocate that data from them to the block level, it estimates that figure to the blocks based on the percentage and proportions of the population.

registration numbers and allocate that to correspond to however many — if you have, for example, if you have a block where, or several blocks, in a precinct where you have a lot of population right here in these blocks and then nobody, you have zeros out here, it's going to obviously allocate that proportion there of the voter data, not here because nobody lives here. So it spreads it out through the blocks.

Q And when you're talking about political data, what political data do you

What political data do you get? 1 have? 2 I think we kind of hit on it 3 earlier, but it's the -- we have the registration numbers from those fields, and 4 5 then we have contested races, statewide 6 contested races, that we pull in from that 7 cycle before. 8 So when we drew in '11, that would 9 have had the 2010 precinct layer that we build 10 with the precinct changes in that as well as that data from the 2010 election cycle. 11 12 So, so you'll have things like 13 statewide races in there that are contested? 14 Α Yes. 15 And then will you also have local 16 races in there --17 Α No. 18 -- that are contested? So it's 19 only, it's only the statewide races that you'll 20 have? It's a statewide layer that's built. 21 22 We build it for the, the entire state, all the 23 precincts in the state. So, yes, we have to 24 have something that is universal that we can 25 apply across the entire plan.

So, right, it's the only statewide races, so if it was a governor's race or a US Senate race or whatever, those are the kinds of things that will be on there.

Q Will you also have the voter registration data on that level in terms of -- will you have the voter registration data?

A Yes.

Q Will you have the voter registration data broken out by race?

A I don't know that it breaks down all of the race categories. I don't, I don't think that it does, not to the extent of what these categories are. It may break down -- I don't remember if it breaks down all of the ones that are in the selection field.

O How about black?

A Yes.

Q Now, I want to ask you a little bit more about the estimation component, so let's say that you have a precinct that has a thousand voters in the precinct, and let's say that the Democratic candidate receives 50 percent of the vote in the precinct and the Republican candidate receives 50 percent of the

2.4

vote in the precinct, and you're splitting the precinct between two different districts.

Will it assume that every block is a 50-50 vote, or how will it count for the fact that it's unlikely that you're going to have that sort of split in a particular county?

A It is my understanding, and I'm not the person who handles doing this aspect of creating the layer, but it is my understanding that there is — the formulas that are built within to the way that it allocates that data that it's going to use the voter registration number in conjunction with the VAP numbers.

So if you have a portion of the block that has a higher percentage of -- say, that particular 50-50 block, say, there's a portion there -- or it's not a block. It's a precinct, I guess.

There's a block that has, you know, completely white VAP. Well, it's not going to allocate black registered voter numbers to a block that's got no black people in it, if that makes sense.

Q Yeah.

A So there's some way in the

formula -- and I don't, I don't know the ins and outs. That's not what I do. But that it allocates the VAP. It can -- it uses the VAP in conjunction with the voter registration number to work on -- to work out how it allocates.

Q So in terms of the, in terms of the political -- I'm interested in terms of the political calculation.

Let's say that you have the block that has 50 white people, it has zero black people, and the overall precinct is a 50-50 split between Democrats and Republicans. For that block that has 50 white voters and zero black voters, will it assume, in terms of the political layer, that it's a 50-50 result?

A I would think it would, yes, because I don't think it -- it doesn't have a way to know. Obviously, we don't know how those voters voted, so it's going to divide it up using that formula if the computer -- it's what computers do. So it's going to divide it the way that it sees with the data that's there.

Q So every, so every precinct that's within again -- or strike that.

```
Every block within, going back to my
1
2
     50-50 example, every block within the precinct
3
     that splits Democratic voter -- Democratic
     candidate 50 percent, Republican candidate 50
 4
 5
     percent, every block in there for the political
6
     calculation is going to assume that it's a
 7
     50-50 split?
8
           Α
                 I would assume so.
9
           0
                 You don't know?
10
                 I don't know for certain.
11
           Q
                 Okay.
12
                 I'd have to go -- I don't know that
13
     I've ever seen one like that, so I would have
14
     to go look.
15
                 Who --
           0
16
           Α
                 So I don't know.
17
                 Who would be the person in your
18
     office that would know the answer to that?
19
                 That would Rob Strangia.
           Α
20
           Q
                 Okay.
                 As we always say with the blocks,
21
22
     it's an estimate. I mean, the political data
23
     at the block level is an estimate.
                                           It's a
24
     pretty decent estimate, but it's an estimate.
25
     At the precinct level though, it is, it is
```

```
1
     completely connected to what those precinct
2
     boundaries were and how they voted for the
3
     previous election cycle.
 4
                 Okay. So you, so you won't, you
 5
     won't be able to tell within a precinct as to
6
     which portions of the precinct primarily went
7
     Democrat and which portions of the precinct
8
     primarily went Republican compared to the
9
     average?
10
          Α
                 Say that one more time?
                 Yeah. It's just, it's just back
11
          Q
12
     to --
                 I'm starting to feel like this.
13
14
     Yeah.
15
                 It's sort of the same question,
16
     that if you have, let's -- you know, if you
17
     have 200 blocks in a precinct --
18
          Α
                 Okay.
19
                 -- and the -- and it's -- and the
20
     precinct as a whole splits 50-50, it's
21
     unlikely that in every census block the actual
22
     vote is 50-50.
23
          Α
                 Correct.
24
                 But it's being assumed for the
25
     purposes of doing the political calculation
```

1 that it's breaking down 50-50.

A In an unusual case such as that, yes, I would think it would calculate it that way.

Again, when I discuss communities of interest, this is another area where an incumbent member and people from the county, they know where the voters lie, where the voters live, or people vote here or they people don't vote here.

So if we needed a consultation for them to say this portion of a precinct has no voters in it or it's not developed yet or it's, you know, whatever, they would know that at the local level more than we would. But like I said, the estimate is a pretty good estimate.

(Whereupon a document was identified as Plaintiff's Exhibit 13.)

Q All right. Why don't we go on to -earlier, you referenced a section five
submission. And I'm going to next show you -actually, strike that. I'm going to go -- I'm
going to cover something else really quickly.

All right. I'm going to mark as
Exhibit 13 a document where the first page is a

1	letter from Jimmy McDonald to the Honorable
2	Roger Lane, and it's dated February 15th, 2011.
3	I only have two copies of this one.
4	Ms. Wright, you mentioned earlier
5	that Jimmy McDonald was the executive director
6	of the Legislative & Congressional
7	Reapportionment Office in 2011; correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And was Mr. Lane the chair of the
- 0	redistricting committee?
1	A He was. I'm not sure when he
_2	received a chair appointment, but yes.
_3	Q But it was sometime in for the
4	2011 redistricting, he was the chair; correct?
. 5	A Yes, that's correct.
-6	Q All right. And the first sentence
_7	of this letter says, as you "As I'm sure you
8 -	have heard, the Legislative & Congressional
_9	Reapportionment Office was recently created as
20	a joint legislative services office to provide
21	redistricting services to the General
22	Assembly."
23	Is that your recollection is that
24	around 2011 the Legislative & Congressional
25	Reapportionment Office was created?

2.4

A I would disagree with that.

Q As a joint legislative services office to provide redistricting services to the General Assembly?

A What we were modified to be is a joint legislative services office. They decided not to renew the contract with the University of Georgia and brought us completely under the wing of the General Assembly.

Q So the General Assembly decided not to renew the contract?

A Right. They opted to -- it was a cost saving and other things to have us to be a staff office there. We were there already, but to pay our salaries and whatnot through that route rather than have to go through the, the cycle of the contract.

Q Now, the last sentence of the first paragraph says, "I am humbled to have been hired as the executive director of that office." Is it your recollection that this modification that you just referenced was done sort of in conjunction with Mr. McDonald becoming the executive director?

A I believe it was all around the same

1	time,
2	Q Do you know whether or not those two
3	things were connected to one another?
4	A In what way?
5	Q That as part of doing the
6	modification that there was a decision to bring
7	in a new executive director and that executive
8	director was Mr. McDonald?
9	A I wasn't privy to any of those
10	decisions.
11	Q Okay. All right. I want to move on
12	to the next page, which says Proposed Project
13	Procedures for the Legislative & Congressional
14	Reapportionment Office. And I think that goes
15	on for three pages. Do you recognize that
16	document?
17	A No.
18	Q Do you recall being told that
19	legislators can schedule a two-hour appointment
20	to discuss a plan with a member of the staff?
21	A No. May have happened, but I don't
22	recall it.
23	Q Okay.
24	A If you're asking if I recall it, I
25	don't recall it.

1	Q You don't recall the document? You
2	don't recall these specific procedures?
3	A No, I don't.
4	Q Okay. I'm going to ask you about
5	one procedure in particular. It's on the third
6	page, it's under Roman numeral IV, number one,
7	and it talks about hard copies of maps.
8	Do you recall this procedure of
9	"Legislator-drawn maps shall require the
_ 0	signature of the legislature legislator"?
1	A Can I have a minute to read this?
_2	Q Absolutely.
_3	A Can you repeat the question?
4	Q Sure. Do you recall this procedure
. 5	related to legislator-drawn maps requiring the
-6	signature of the legislator prior to the
_7	legislator being able to pick up the map?
8 -	A I do not recall them having to sign
_9	for it, but having read this, I believe this is
20	in relation to the local redistricting
21	Q Okay.
22	A as opposed to statewide work
23	because sometimes you would have legislators
24	drawing local maps, but sometimes the
25	legislator would authorize a local delegation

to do that work, so I think that's what that is 1 2 in conjunction with. 3 Okay. Okay. All right. And then I want to go to, to the staff page, if you go a 4 5 couple, a couple more. And then there's a -- I think the only new name on here is Kade 6 7 Cullefer? 8 Α Uh-huh, yes. 9 Kade is a man or a woman? 10 Α A man. So Mr. Cullefer, what was he 11 0 A man. 12 doing as a redistricting specialist that was 13 different than what you were doing at the time? 14 In 2011 through that cycle, I was Α 15 primarily working on the statewide maps. Most 16 of the other staff members who worked on 17 redistricting were involved in local redistricting or a combination thereof, local 18 19 as well as some of the legislative work. 20 So in terms of the people that were, 21 were working on the plans themselves within the 22 office, and I'm talking about the state plans, 23 was Mr. McDonald working on them? 2.4 How do you define working? 25 Q Well, what did Mr. McDonald do in

118

1	relationship to the State House map plan?
2	A I really don't know.
3	Q Okay. So I take it from your answer
4	he didn't he did not have much involvement,
5	to your knowledge?
6	A I don't in terms of the technical
7	end of it, that would be correct.
8	Q What end of it would did he have
9	more of a role?
10	A Um I know I'm not supposed to say
11	um.
12	He did a lot of talking. I mean, he
13	conversed with a lot of people. He was doing
14	more of the discussion end of it, I think,
15	meeting with people and having conversations
16	and those sorts of things.
17	Q Was he taking information from those
18	conversations back to you and the other people
19	that were actually working on the plan?
20	A Yes.
21	Q All right. And it says that with
22	respect to Ms. El that she was focusing on
23	local redistricting. Did she have any
24	involvement in the State House plan
25	development?

A No.

Q And I think we mentioned that

Ms. Cooper and Mr. Strangia, they weren't

really -- they aren't really plan drawers in

terms of what they do?

A That's correct.

Q So the primary plan drawers within the office with respect to the statewide plan were you and Mr. Cullefer; is that correct?

A That is correct. This list was prior to Mr. Knight being hired. That would have been still in 2011, but it was -- and it was still before we were deep, you know, into the process. So he, he worked a lot on local, but he also assisted some with some of the House work.

Q So you -- but you and Mr. Cullefer would have done more on the House plan than Mr. Knight?

A As I said before, I think the House plan was a group effort. Mr. Knight worked on it some. Mr. O'Connor was not yet, I guess, established and back into our office at that point, and he worked on that some as well.

Q Where was Mr. O'Connor working at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 the time?

A He was an employee of the General Assembly. He was with -- he was the committee, what, analyst or redistricting committee analyst or research person. I don't know what the title of that is, but.

Q Okay. And was he primarily working for one party or the other? You know like, for example, in Congress, staffers are usually, even if they don't have an official title, they're usually working for a particular member or a particular party.

A That's not the case with the Georgia General Assembly, no. He worked for everybody.

Q Okay. I want to go to the page that is the next page of -- it's an email dated February 21st, 2011.

A 23rd?

Q February 23rd, 2011.

A Okay. I thought you said 21st.

Q My mistake.

Is it the case that one of the things your office was asking for was the, the residence address of each member?

A Yes.

121

1	Q And why is that?
2	A So we know where they live. Because
3	if incumbency is an issue that they want to
4	address, then we have to know where the
5	incumbents' addresses are in order so we don't
6	pair incumbents together and whatnot.
7	Q And then if you look a couple pages
8	on, there's an email on June 20th to, it looks
9	like, from Mr. McDonald to all of the, all of
10	the members. Was it the way that it worked
11	that members, if they wanted to talk to the
12	staff about the redistricting plan, that they
13	needed to make an appointment through
14	Ms. Cooper?
15	A I believe that was the case, yes.
16	Q The email, there's a person that
17	cc'd whose name is Spiro Amburn.
18	A Spiro.
19	Q Spiro Amburn.
20	A Uh-huh (affirmative).
21	Q My mistake. And Mr. Amburn, what
22	was his role at the time?
23	A He is the chief of staff to the
24	speaker of the house.
25	Q He was and is?

1	A He is, yes.
2	Q Do you recall whether he was in
3	2011?
4	A I believe he was, yes.
5	Q Okay. And then the last page has a
6	redistricting timeline on it. Have you seen
7	that timeline before?
8	A I probably have. I don't recall it.
9	Q Was this basic schedule sort of
10	consistent with the timeline you were working
11	on?
12	A Seems to be accurate.
13	(Whereupon a document was identified as
14	Plaintiff's Exhibit 14.)
15	Q Okay. Thank you. All right. Now I
16	want to move on to the redistricting
17	submission.
18	All right. I'm going to mark as
19	Exhibit 14 a document entitled Submission Under
20	Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act - Request
21	For Expedited Review.
22	Ms. Wright, did your office play a
23	role in the Section 5 submission to the
24	Department of Justice in October 2011?
25	A How would you define "play a role"?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1 Q Do you provide -- did you write any 2 of it? Did you provide information for it? 3 That's what I mean.

A I did not write any of it. We did provide maps, reports, and whatnot.

Q Did you review it before it went in?

A No.

Q And we're going to be, we're going to be going through some of the exhibits. Have you seen this submission prior to today?

A I don't recall.

Q Okay.

MR. WILL: Just as a matter of edification for the lawyer who's not a member of the parties, what -- how does this relate to the claims in the suit, and how does it relate to what she was designated to testify to as a 30(b)(6) witness?

MR. GREENBAUM: It relates in that a lot of the data that the office relies upon I think is data that -- as I talked about in terms of the exhibits, I'm not going to ask so much about the content of the data in the submission, but the content and what the office -- some of the exhibits and what the

124

```
office relies --
1
2
                MR. WILL: I'm trying to give you
3
     every benefit of the doubt, but --
                MR. GREENBAUM: I understand.
 4
5
                MR. WILL: -- also when you have
6
     30(b)(6) witnesses, you like them to be
7
     generally prepared to respond to what they're
8
     asked to respond to, and I'm not sure that 2011
9
     fell within those categories.
10
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Sure. You know --
                MR. WILL: So I don't want to -- I
11
12
     don't want to say -- have a witness saying I
     don't know, I don't know, I haven't seen them,
13
14
     not familiar with it because we weren't asked
15
     to prepare for that, so.
16
                MR. GREENBAUM: Fair enough.
17
                 MR. WILL: Okay?
18
                MR. GREENBAUM: You know, part of my
19
     intent too was to avoid having the witness come
20
     back in her own capacity and get it all done at
21
     once.
22
                MR. WILL: I think we've all in
23
     agreement on that.
24
                MR. GREENBAUM: Great.
25
                MR. WILL: Thank you, Mr. Greenbaum.
```

```
1
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Appreciate it,
2
     Mr. Strickland. All right.
                MR. WILL: He's Strickland. I'm
3
     Mr. Will.
 4
 5
                MR. GREENBAUM: Mr. Will.
6
                 MR. WILL: That's okay. People get
7
     us confused all the time.
8
                MR. STRICKLAND: That's all right.
                MR. GREENBAUM: I will, I will --
9
10
                MR. WILL: Old guys with glasses.
     We get confused a lot.
11
12
                MR. GREENBAUM: I will get to know
13
     you better during the course of this case. My
14
     apologies, Mr. Will.
15
                All right. So I'm just going to ask
16
     you a few questions about this document.
17
                 On page nine -- and if you use the
18
     numbers in the right-hand corner, it's 1370.
19
     Up in terms of number one in the equal
20
     apportionment, is it your recollection that the
21
     deviations of the House and Senate plans were
22
     plus or minus one percent from the ideal
23
     district size?
2.4
                 I believe they were, yes.
25
          Q
                Now, toward the bottom of that same
```

1	page, right before no retrogressive effect, it
2	says, "In the Senate, the Democratic Caucus
3	took a similar position of lockstep
4	opposition."
5	And the sentence before talked about
6	the Democrats being voting against the
7	plans. Is that consistent with your
8	recollection?
9	A Can you say that one more time?
10	Q Yeah. Do you recall the Democrats
11	voting all voting against the House plan,
12	both the House members and the Senate members
13	voting against the House plan?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Do you recall what their
16	articulation was as to why?
17	A Why they voted against it?
18	Q Yeah.
19	A They didn't really tell me why they
20	voted against a map, but there was widespread
21	knowledge of an email that was sent out to the
22	minority caucus threatening them primary
23	opposition if they didn't vote against it.
24	I know, from my personal working
25	with a lot of them, I had been told from quite

```
a few members of the Democratic Caucus that
1
2
     they were going to vote for the map prior to
3
     that email being sent out.
                 Okay. I'm going to move on to page
 4
          Q
5
     19.
6
          Α
                 What number is that?
7
          Q
                 1380.
8
          Α
                 I don't think I have that far.
                                                  Ιt
9
     stops at 74.
10
                 MR. WILL: There you go. Try mine.
                 THE WITNESS: That one has the
11
12
     sticker on it.
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Yeah. We'll have to
13
14
     switch it out. The next break we'll -- or if
15
     you can do it.
16
           (Whereupon off-the-record discussions
17
          ensued.)
18
                 So just to make sure you've got a
19
     full copy, is 1385 the last page?
20
                      It goes into 87, 40-something.
                 No.
21
     Oh, it's clipped to this maybe.
22
                 Oh, that's the problem. All right.
          Q
23
          Α
                 And what was the one you said?
2.4
     3084?
25
          Q
                 The last page, 1385.
```

```
1
          Α
                 Oh.
2
                MR. WILL: Yes.
3
                 THE WITNESS: Is that the back copy?
     Yes.
 4
 5
                MR. WILL: Yes.
6
                 Okay. Great. All right. So page
7
     19, Exhibit J-5 talks about "district level
8
     voter registration and credit for voting
9
     information, by race, for the November general
     elections held in 2006, 2008, 2010."
10
                Do you know what that's in reference
11
12
     to?
13
          Α
                No.
14
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Greenbaum
15
     could you please bring your mic up a little
16
     bit? Every time you go forward, it hits the
17
     table.
                MR. GREENBAUM: All right.
18
19
                MR. WILL: Those football officials
20
     that have those coaches where they have one guy
     right behind him to make sure they stay off the
21
22
     field? We're going to have somebody run with
23
     you to move your mic.
24
                MR. GREENBAUM: Well, David, what
25
     can I do? All right.
```

1	All right. Number 20.
2	(Whereupon a document was identified as
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 15.)
4	Q Okay. All right. Ms. Wright, I'm
5	marking as Exhibit 20 a document that in the
6	upper right-hand corner
7	A It says 15.
8	THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 15.
9	Q That's right. I have a different
0 ـ	numbering system here. Exhibit 15 is a
1	document that's numbered 1392 in the upper
.2	right-hand corner. It says
_3	Exhibit A - Benchmark House Plan. Do you
4	recognize this document, Ms. Wright?
.5	A Yes.
-6	Q What is the document?
_7	A This is a standard stat sheet that
8 -	we produce in our office. This would be the
_9	Benchmark House Plan, which would have been the
0.2	House districts as they were in 2010 with the
21	new census data applied to those district
22	boundaries.
23	Q Okay. I'm going to ask you about
24	some of the and this is is this a kind of
25	standard report that your office creates with a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1 new redistricting plan?

A Yes. This is the standard stat sheet we create for most all of our redistricting plans.

Q And is this something that you do through Maptitude or you do it through another means?

A It is done in Maptitude. It is a custom report that we had built through Maptitude to use.

Q Okay. And I want to ask you about the various categories.

A Okay.

Q At least some of them. Now, one of the things that the report appears to do is it has a -- I think for each district the first row is total population. The second row is voting age population. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And what's the significance of having both total population and voting age population in there?

A We redistrict using total population that all of it is included when you draw districts for the size of the districts, but

131

2.4

sometimes to know the voting age population is, you know, eligible pool of voters, so it's, it's useful knowledge. People like to know how many people are in that district are 18 and up and eligible to vote.

Q Okay. And then it has a Deviation,
Deviation Percent, and what's the significance
of having that in there?

A Deviation is the whole number figure, number of people away from what the ideal district size is. If it's no sign, it's above the ideal. If it's a minus number, it's below the ideal. And the percent is just the percentage of that.

Q Okay. And then why do you have Black and Percentage Black total population and voting population stats for each district?

A Because we have districts in Georgia that are minority, majority-minority districts, and so that gives us the ability to know which districts those are.

Q Okay. And then what's Black Combo?

A Black Combo would be anyone who filled out their census form and said that they were black in combination with another race.

1	Q And then you have a Total Black, so
2	is that the Black plus Black Combo?
3	A It is. It would be equivalent to
4	the AP Black.
5	Q And then you have Percent Total
6	Black in addition to Percent Black; correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And when you're coming up with a
9	plan, do you pay more attention to the Percent
10	Black or to the Percent Total Black?
11	A So we pay attention to both, but
12	typically, we pay more attention to the Percent
13	Total Black.
14	Q And why is that?
15	A Because that would include anyone
16	who said that they were in any black in any
17	way, shape, or form, that they were or they
18	identified themselves as either all or part
19	black.
20	Q Okay. And then with respect to
21	Hispanic or Latino, why is that and Percent
22	Hispanic, why are those columns included here?
23	A They are included because they are
24	the, like I said, they are divided off because
25	of a different question, they being an

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

ethnicity and not the race category. But as
through the years Georgia has had an increasing
Hispanic population in certain areas, so that
would let us be able to see where that is.

Q In looking at -- did you consider at all adding together or considering Percent Black plus Percent Hispanic?

A No.

Q Why not?

A Well, as I've already explained,
Hispanic -- the Hispanic question is an
ethnicity, and so the people who are of that
category could also be any other race as well
as also identifying themselves as Hispanic. So
in all of my years working in this office,
we've never added the two together because it
would be like adding apples and oranges. They
are a race question versus an ethnicity
question.

Q Is your, is your Percent Black and Percent Total Black, is that all black, or is it black non-Hispanic?

A I would have to double-check. As far as I'm aware, it would be all, and not the, and not Hispanic.

134

(Whereupon a document was identified as 1 2 Plaintiff's Exhibit 16.) Okay. All right. I'm going to mark 3 as Exhibit 16 a document that's numbered 1411 4 5 in the upper right-hand corner. Ms. Wright, do 6 you recognize this document? 7 I do not. Α 8 Okay. Now, have you seen a report 0 9 like this before? 10 No, not really. I mean --Now, at the bottom of the page, it 11 12 says that it's a Report Prepared by the 13 Legislative & Congressional Reapportionment 14 Office; correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 Now, at the top, it says the Plan is 17 House 06 and the Type is House and the User is Is that -- what does that mean in the 18 19 context of your office when it says staff as 20 the user? It just means one of us did it. 21 22 Okay. And I know you're not Q 23 familiar with this particular document or this 24 particular report, but who would be the person 25 in your office that would be -- or people that

would be able to generate the report? I'm talking about as of 2011.

A As of when in 2011? I mean, prior to the redrawing or at the beginning or that staff list you had earlier?

Q Let's, let's say at -- well, this was part of the redistricting submission, so October 21st, 2011.

A So it would be myself. It could be Shantée El, Brian Knight, Dan O'Connor, Kade Cullefer.

This looks like a custom report, something that was probably either requested or that someone wanted to have. This isn't something that's a standard report. So it would just be something that we put together for someone probably. I would guess. That's spec -- I'm just guessing. I don't know.

Q Have you ever seen a report like this that has -- it looks like, on the right-hand side, it looks like it has, in addition to total population and voting age population, numbers for African-Americans, also of black reg 10 and a black reg 10 percent.

1	A Uh-huh (affirmative). I'm sorry.
2	What?
3	Q Have you seen a report like that
4	before that your office has created that blends
5	together total population and voting population
6	with black registration numbers for each
7	district?
8	A I don't see every custom report that
9	gets created, but this would be, you know, not
_0	unlikely or unusual to see if someone requested
.1	that information.
_2	Q Okay. So I want to go back really
_3	quickly to the submission document, which is
4	Exhibit 14. And if you go to page 1376 in
. 5	there and you look at A-6, and it references a
-6	"summary statistical report showing black
_7	population figures and voter registration for
8 -	the current plan, sorted by district."
_9	Would you agree with me that
20	Exhibit 16 seems to be what they're referencing
21	here as Exhibit Number A-6?
22	A That seems likely.
23	MR. GREENBAUM: All right. Why
24	don't we take a break because the tape needs to
25	be changed.

Electronically signed by Joel Moyer (501-161-376-4513)

```
1
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
2
     video record at 12:26 p.m.
3
           (Proceedings in recess, 12:26 p.m. to
 4
           1:14 p.m.)
 5
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back
6
     on video record at 1:14 p.m. This is the
7
     beginning of file number three.
8
           (Whereupon a document was identified
9
           as Plaintiff's Exhibit 17.)
                 All right. Ms. Wright, I'm going
10
     to mark as the next Exhibit 17 a document
11
12
     entitled Political Subdivisions Split Between
     Districts for the House 2006 Plan.
13
14
                 Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
15
     form of report?
16
           Α
                 Yes.
17
                 Is this something that is created
18
     by Maptitude?
19
           Α
                 Yes.
20
                 And is this something that's
21
     typically done when you are creating a
22
     statewide plan?
23
           Α
                 Not always, but occasionally.
24
                 Okay. And what's the significance
25
     of showing how many sub -- how many
```

2.4

subdivisions are split and not split?

A This is showing the number of counties that are split and then beneath that the number of voting districts that are split as well. And then below that it shows the ones that are split that do not affect any populations, so just to know those statistics.

Q So for the voting district split, do you know if this was using the 2000 VTDs or the 2010 VTDs?

version, I would assume that we ran this using the 2010 geography, which would mean those were the VTDs as of 2010, but I can't say that for certain. It could be that they wanted to know what it was when it was drawn. So we would have used it on an older version, but I don't have a way to know because Maptitude doesn't designate it that way.

(Whereupon a document was identified as Plaintiff's Exhibit 18.)

Q Okay. All right. I want to mark as Exhibit 18 a document entitled Exhibit B - 2011 House Plan, Political Subdivision Split Between Districts.

1	MR. WILL: Thank you, Joel.
2	THE COURT REPORTER: You're welcome.
3	Q Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
4	document?
5	A It's the same type of report run on
6	a different plan.
7	Q For the 2011 House Plan?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. And when you're in the
10	process of creating a plan, do you also sort of
11	look at how many counties and voting districts
12	are being split?
13	A Not necessarily in the process.
14	Maybe at the end of when you've finished
15	working on a plan you might would run that,
16	kind of an end-of-the-process sort of review.
17	It's not really something you do in the middle.
18	Q And what are you looking for when
19	you do it at the end?
20	A Just the totals, total number that
21	you, that you would have split counties.
22	Sometimes you want to look at these subdivision
23	splits affecting no population to see if those
24	are done in a way that you could fix those,
25	that you could put those back together, make

1	that precinct whole for one reason or another.
2	You can sometimes you could catch a mistake
3	that way if there was a technical mistake.
4	Q And according to this, the 2000
5	House Plan had 191 split voting districts, and
6	25 of those affected no population; correct?
7	A That's what this says, yes.
8	Q And if I wanted to know, prior to
9	the 2015 House Plan, how many precincts were
10	split in Districts 105 and 111, could I look at
11	this report and find that out?
12	A If you wanted to know how many were
13	split in 105 and 111?
14	Q Yes.
15	A When it was drawn in 2011, you could
16	use this, yes.
17	Q Would I be able to use were those
18	precincts split up prior to 2015? Do you know?
19	Strike that.
20	Do you know if there were other
21	precincts that were split in 105 and 111 prior
22	to 2015?
23	A I'm not sure I understand the
24	question.
25	Q Okay. What I'm trying sorry.

Electronically signed by Joel Moyer (501-161-376-4513)

```
What I'm trying to get at is, if I wanted to
1
2
     know whether -- if I wanted to know whether
     105 -- strike that.
3
                 105 and 111 did not change between
 4
5
     2011 and 2014; correct?
                 The district lines you mean?
6
          Α
 7
                 The district lines.
8
                 Right, they did not change between
9
     those years.
10
          0
                 And you're not sure whether there
     were precinct changes between 105 and --
11
12
                 That's correct, I'm not sure, yeah.
13
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
14
          Plaintiff's Exhibit 19.)
15
                 All right. I'm going to mark as
16
     Exhibit 19 a document that at the top says 2011
17
     House Plan, and the first number on the right
     hand corner is 1540.
18
19
                 MR. STRICKLAND: What is the number
20
     on this again?
21
                 MR. WILL: 19.
22
                 MR. STRICKLAND:
                                  Okay.
23
                 Ms. Wright, have you seen this
2.4
     document before?
25
          Α
                 Possibly.
```

```
1
                 Okav.
                        When it says plan name
2
     hseprop1, what does that mean?
                 That's referring to the plan for the
3
     State House districts before it was adopted.
 4
 5
                 It's intended to be House proposed
6
     one, is that what the shorthand's for?
 7
                 Right, House proposal one.
8
                 And House -- this plan was the plan
          0
9
     that went into effect in 2011; correct?
10
          Α
                 I believe that is the case.
11
           0
                 Okay. Also up at the top, it says
12
     Administrator: H167. Do you know who that
13
     might be in reference to?
14
          Α
                 That would be Chairman Roger Lane.
15
                 And so does that mean that this
           0
16
     would be found in his folder?
17
                 Yes, at that point.
          Α
18
                 Okay. And then at the bottom, it
19
     says, Report Prepared by the Legislative &
20
     Congressional Reapportionment Office. That's
     the office that you worked in; correct?
21
22
          Α
                 Yes.
23
          0
                 And still work in to this day;
2.4
     correct?
25
          Α
                 Yes.
```

1	Q And is this document created in the
2	ordinary course of business of your office?
3	A No. I would think this was
4	probably a specially requested report. It's
5	not something we normally standard produce.
6	(Whereupon documents were identified
7	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 20, Plaintiff's
8	Exhibit 21, Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, and
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 23.)
10	Q I'm going to go quickly through
11	I'm going to show you four plans. I'm going
12	to mark the first as 20. It says Georgia
13	House of Representatives: 2006. The number in
14	the right-hand corner is 1388.
15	21 is marked in the upper
16	right-hand corner 1390, Georgia House of
17	D
L /	Representatives: 2006.
18	Representatives: 2006. 1523, I want to mark as 21, and it
18	1523, I want to mark as 21, and it
18	1523, I want to mark as 21, and it says proposed Georgia House Districts.
18 19 20	1523, I want to mark as 21, and it says proposed Georgia House Districts. And then 22 has 1525 in the upper
18 19 20 21	1523, I want to mark as 21, and it says proposed Georgia House Districts. And then 22 has 1525 in the upper right-hand corner, and it says
18 19 20 21	1523, I want to mark as 21, and it says proposed Georgia House Districts. And then 22 has 1525 in the upper right-hand corner, and it says Exhibit B - 2011 House Plan.
18 19 20 21 22	1523, I want to mark as 21, and it says proposed Georgia House Districts. And then 22 has 1525 in the upper right-hand corner, and it says Exhibit B - 2011 House Plan. And I don't have a full set of

```
starting from 20.
1
2
                 MR. WILL: 20, 21, 22, 23.
                 MR. GREENBAUM: All right. So 1388
3
     is 20; 1390 is 21; 1523 is 22; and 1525 is 23.
 4
5
                 MR. WILL: Actually, you have a
6
     set. Thanks.
7
                All right. Ms. Wright, let's start
8
     with Exhibit 20. Do you recognize number 20?
9
           Α
                 Yes.
10
           0
                 And what is it?
11
          Α
                 That is the State House map from
12
     2006.
                 Okay. And how about number 21?
13
14
                 That is the same map zoomed in at
          Α
     the Atlanta metro area.
15
16
                 And in this plan can you tell how
17
     many House districts were in Henry County? I
18
     count five.
19
                 Six.
          Α
20
                 Six?
           Q
21
          Α
                 Uh-huh (affirmative).
22
           Q
                 Can you name the six?
23
                 90, 91, 78, 109, 110, 73.
           Α
24
                 Okay. 78, 109.
           Q
25
           Α
                 The oranges are similar, but the
```

```
one in 78 is a little darker.
1
2
                 So let's go back through that.
3
     you have 78, which is orange?
                 Uh-huh (affirmative).
 4
           Α
5
                 109, which is a different type of
           Q
6
     orange?
7
                 Uh-huh (affirmative).
           Α
8
           Q
                 91, which is purplish?
9
           Α
                 Correct.
10
           0
                 90, which is blue?
                 Blue.
11
           Α
12
                 73, which is kind of a pinkish?
           0
                 No. It's white looking, or I guess
13
           Α
14
     maybe it depends on your printer. Maybe it
15
     was a pale pink. It looks white on mine.
16
                 Okay. And what's the sixth one?
           Q
17
                 110.
           Α
18
           Q
                 Oh, I see it in the green?
19
           Α
                 Green.
20
                 All right. Thank you.
           Q
21
                 Uh-huh (affirmative).
           Α
22
                 All right. And then moving on to
           Q
23
     22, do you recognize that?
24
           Α
                 I do.
25
           Q
                 What is it?
```

```
1
           Α
                 This was the 2011 House map.
2
           Q
                 Okay. And then 23, do you
3
     recognize that?
 4
           Α
                 Yes.
 5
                 And what is that?
           0
                 The metro Atlanta zoom-in of that
6
7
     same plan.
8
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
           Plaintiff's Exhibit 24.)
9
10
                 Okay. I'm going to mark as
     Exhibit 24 a document entitled 2011 House map,
11
12
     and I'm going to give you my version because
     the other copies are messed up on the last
13
14
     page.
15
                 MR. WILL: Thank you.
16
                 Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
           Q
17
     document?
18
           Α
                 Yes.
19
                 What is it?
           0
20
                 This is the stat sheet that
21
     corresponds to the map that you showed me on
     22 and 23.
22
23
           (Whereupon a document was identified
2.4
           as Plaintiff's Exhibit 25.)
25
           Q
                 Okay. Then I want to mark as 25 a
```

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

document entitled NAACP versus Kemp, Document
Production Volume 3, and at the top it says
it's page 8747, and then it says

J_4_2010_VTD_Layer_With_Gen.

And I will tell you that this come

And I will tell you that this comes from a spreadsheet that was several hundred pages long, and all I was interested in was the headings. So I've just taken the portions, the pages from the spreadsheet that has the heading across the top.

Ms. Wright, have you ever seen a spreadsheet that across the top has this level of information and it appears that it has election results in 2010 combined with racial demographics with respect to registration?

A I have not seen this report before, no.

Q Okay. Now, if -- when information, when data information, comes from the Secretary of State's Office to your office, is that something that they would send the data to Mr. Strangia who would then be the one that would input the data?

A Yes.

(Whereupon a document was identified

148

1	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 26.)
2	Q Next, Ms. Wright, I am going to
3	show you a document, mark it as Exhibit 26.
4	It starts on page 9297 in the upper right-hand
5	corner and goes through 9347, and it says
6	House Prop1 Turnout Stats on the top of it.
7	And this, again, is a spreadsheet,
8	and so roughly every four pages across the top
9	you'll see headings. Have you ever seen a
10	spreadsheet like this before, Ms. Wright?
11	A I've seen the data but not a report
12	like this.
13	Q Okay. And this data, is it correct
14	to say that it has black and white and
15	Hispanic registration and turnout numbers?
16	A Let me look at it a minute.
17	Q Like I said, if you go every four
18	pages, you'll see the
19	A Yes, that appears to be what it
20	has. I haven't gone all the way through it,
21	but.
22	(Whereupon a document was identified
23	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 27.)
24	Q Okay. Next document is 27. It's a
25	document entitled Core Constituencies Report.

```
Ms. Wright, does this document look familiar
1
2
     at all to you?
                 I know what it is. I don't recall
3
 4
     seeing it before, but that doesn't mean I
5
     didn't, but I don't remember seeing it before.
6
           0
                 Okay.
7
                 But I know what it is.
           Α
8
           0
                 What is it?
9
                 It's a report, standard Maptitude
10
     report, that you can run to compare a district
11
     to another -- or a plan to another plan to see
12
     how much of the district is within the
     proposed district, you know, on that other
13
14
     plan.
15
                 And is it your understanding that
16
     this particular report that's in 27 is a
17
     comparison between the 2011 House Plan and the
     2006 House plan?
18
19
                 That looks to be what it is, yes.
           Α
20
                 And then I want to, if you could go
21
     to -- I'm going to ask you about 105 and 111.
22
                 Oh.
           Α
23
                 I can't give you a page number in
24
     terms of where they are, but the districts,
25
     the districts are in order.
```

2.4

A	Okay
---	------

Q And with respect to House

District 105, would it be accurate to say that

House District 105 was taken from three

districts in the 2006 plan, Districts 104,

106, and 107?

A It's a little difficult to use this report to compare two plans such as these simply because your numbers of districts change so drastically in the House based on where the population growth is.

So House District 105 was a district that was created there where there was no incumbent. There was a new district added to the county. So, of course, because it was not previously existing where it is now, it would be coming from three other districts because it didn't come from itself.

Q Okay. And then moving on to 111, is it the case that there were portions of six districts in the 2006 plan that made up the geography of House District 111?

A Yes. And that's the same situation with 111 that was with 105. The district did not previously exist there, so in order to

2.4

create a new district that was an open seat that had no incumbent that had not previously been in that location, all of that district's population and area was taken from other districts previously to create a new seat there.

Q Do you recall when Representative Strickland was first elected to the house?

A I believe he was elected in 2012.

The other thing to note with the House districts in particular is that House district numbering is redone when we redraw a state map.

So whereas the Senate districts maintain their numbers in wherever, you know, they, they are, if the district relocates because of growth in one part of the state, the district number goes with where the new district is.

The House does not do that. The House, we start from the top left corner, and we start renumbering again. So the numbers oftentimes change significantly from one decade to the next based on where the growth is and where there are more districts

```
concentrated than before.
1
2
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
3
          Plaintiff's Exhibit 28.)
 4
                 Thank you. I want to mark as
5
     Exhibit 28 Georgia Cities by Legislative and
6
     Congressional District. Ms. Wright, do you
 7
     recognize this document?
8
          Α
                 I do.
9
                 What is it?
           0
10
          Α
                 This is our joint city report.
                 And what does it show?
11
           Q
12
                 This would have been the version
     that was done in 2012. It shows a list of all
13
14
     the cities in Georgia and the House, Senate,
15
     and Congressional districts that are within
16
     that city.
17
                 So if you go to what would be the
18
     seventh page, I'm interested in Lawrenceville.
19
     And do -- and does this reflect the city of
20
     Lawrenceville as divided up into six
21
     districts?
22
          Α
                 Yes.
23
                 And are you aware that the
24
     population of Lawrenceville as of the 2010
25
     census was less than 30,000?
```

1	A No.
2	(Whereupon a document was identified as
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 29.)
4	Q I want to mark as Exhibit 29 the
5	2010 Democratic demographic profile for
6	Lawrenceville. Is it correct, Ms. Wright,
7	that the population of Lawrenceville as of the
8	2010 census was less than 30,000?
9	A Shows it to be so, yes.
0	Q And is it correct that it's about
1	the, population size, about the size of half
2	the district in terms of the population?
_3	A More or less, yes.
4	Q Were you aware at the time that the
_5	House plan was produced that the mayor of
6	Lawrenceville objected to the dividing of
_7	Lawrenceville into six districts?
8 .	A No, I was not.
9	Q Were you aware that in strike
20	that.
21	Did you go to any of the hearings
22	that the General Assembly held with respect to
23	the 2011 plan?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you recall at any of those

```
hearings anybody raising the issue of the
1
2
     division of Lawrenceville into multiple
3
     districts?
 4
          Α
                 No.
 5
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
6
           Plaintiff's Exhibit 30.)
7
                 I want to mark as Exhibit 9
8
     excerpts of --
9
                 MR. POWERS: 29.
10
           0
                 29, I want to mark as Exhibit 29 --
                 MR. WILL: How about 30? That last
11
12
     one was 29.
13
                 MR. POWERS: Oh, okay.
14
                 MR. GREENBAUM: All right. Sorry.
15
                 MR. WILL: No problem.
16
                 I want to mark as Exhibit 30
           Q
17
     excerpts of the meeting -- the transcript of
     the Meeting of the Georgia House of
18
19
     Representatives Reapportionment Committee on
20
     August 6th, 2011.
                 Ms. Wright, do you recall one way
21
     or the other whether you attended that
22
23
     meeting?
2.4
                 I do not recall.
           Α
25
           Q
                 Okay. I want to -- if you'll look
```

```
1
     at, using the pages on the bottom right, if
2
     you look on the bottom of page 177 and up to
3
     page 178, there's a gentleman named Lee
 4
     Thompson.
 5
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Wait a minute.
6
     Could you give those page numbers again?
7
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Yes. Bottom of 177
8
     onto 178.
9
                 MR. STRICKLAND:
                                  Sorry.
10
                 MR. WILL: It's the transcript page
     177. It's 2190 Bates stamp.
11
12
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Got it.
13
                 And you see that he testified that
14
     Lawrenceville had currently been contained in
15
     one legislative district? Do you see that?
16
                 Uh-huh (affirmative).
          Α
17
                 Do you recall whether you attended
18
     the meeting?
19
                 I do not.
          Α
20
                 Okay. And it noted the
21
     demographics of Lawrenceville being 38 percent
22
     white, 31 percent African-American, 22 percent
23
     Hispanic. Do you see that?
2.4
                 Uh-huh (affirmative), I do.
          Α
25
          Q
                 And you see the statement that the
```

```
city has been divided into six legislative
1
2
     districts?
3
           Α
                 Yes.
 4
                 And that's in fact what happened
5
     was that the city was divided into six
6
     legislative districts?
 7
                 Is that a question?
           Α
8
                 Was the city divided into six
           0
9
     legislative districts in fact?
10
          Α
                 Yes.
                 And is it a fair characterization
11
12
     of Mr. Thompson's testimony that he was
     opposed to the city of Lawrenceville being
13
14
     divided into several districts?
15
                 It appears that he did not like it
           Α
16
     being divided into that many districts, yes.
17
                 MR. GREENBAUM:
                                  Thank you.
18
                 MR. POWERS: Can we go off the
19
     record for just a second?
20
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off video
21
     record.
22
           (Whereupon off-the-record discussions
23
           ensued.)
2.4
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on video
25
     record.
```

```
(Whereupon a document was identified as
1
2
           Plaintiff's Exhibit 31.)
3
                 Ms. Wright, I want to show you a
 4
     document entitled Georgia House Districts -
 5
     2012.
6
                 MR. POWERS: Is there an extra?
7
                 MR. WILL: Yes, sir.
8
                 Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
           Q
9
     document?
10
          Α
                 Yes.
                 What is it?
11
           Q
12
                 This would be the State House map
13
     after changes were made during session,
14
     regular session of 2012.
15
                 And the map, there appears to be a
16
     state map and then following it a series of
17
     regional maps; is that correct?
18
           Α
                 Yes.
19
                 And then the demographic data and a
20
     report following that?
21
                 Yes, that's correct.
22
                 All right. All right. We're going
           Q
23
     to start looking at the big plans now, the
24
     2012 plan. I'm going to -- we'll start with
25
     Gwinnett County on Exhibit 3. And,
```

```
Ms. Wright, according to the 2012 plan,
1
2
     Exhibit 3, and pre -- and District 105, what,
3
     what precincts were split in District 105?
 4
                 Baycreek H, Lawrenceville M, and
 5
     Lawrenceville D.
                 Do you know why Baycreek H, why
6
7
     that precinct was split?
8
          Α
                 Population would be my only
9
     justification for that.
10
                 So only to keep population equal?
11
          Α
                 I would think so, yes.
12
                 How about with respect to
     Lawrenceville M?
13
14
                 Most likely the same thing, but I
          Α
     can't say that. I mean --
15
16
                 And how about Lawrenceville D?
17
                 The same most likely, for
18
     population reasons.
19
                 Okay. Do you, do you have a way
20
     of -- is there a report that you can generate
     that would show for split precincts what the
21
22
     demographics are in terms of, you know, let's
     take Lawrenceville D, for example, what the
23
24
     population including the racial demographics
25
     are in the 104 section of Lawrenceville D
```

```
versus the 105 section of Lawrenceville D?
1
2
                 I'm not aware of a basic report we
3
     have to do that.
                 Do you, do you know who made the
 4
5
     decisions in terms of splitting those
6
     precincts in 2012?
7
                 I do not.
          Α
8
          Q
                 All right. Let's, let's move on to
9
     the Henry County 2012 map.
10
                 MR. WILL: You see it okay?
                 THE WITNESS:
11
                               It's okay.
12
                 And I take it you might be more
13
     familiar with Henry County in the 2011/2012
14
     time, those plans, with respect to 111 than
15
     you were with Gwinnett?
16
                 Probably so, yes.
          Α
17
                 Okay. And then what precincts in
     Henry County were split? Strike that.
18
19
                 What precincts in District 111 were
20
     split?
21
                 McDonough Central, North Hampton.
22
     That looks to be the only two that I can see.
23
                 How about Grove Park?
          Q
24
                 No, it was not split. Well, that's
25
     the little quirk thing. Yeah, I guess it is
```

```
split with the precinct line and the city
1
2
     limit thing. That's -- I don't know that
3
     there were any population involved in that
 4
     area, but it's a --
5
                 It is a little bit of a line issue
6
     there with the way the precinct line falls on
7
     the new version. I think we had some
8
     corrections with, with that with the city
9
     limit line.
10
                 So in terms of Grove Park, part of
     the explanation might have had to do with the
11
12
     city limits in terms of why that precinct was
13
     split?
14
          Α
                 Yes.
15
                 What about the split with North
16
     Hampton?
17
                 That too was population related, I
          Α
18
     believe.
19
                 And then what about McDonough
           0
20
     Central?
21
                 I think that was also population
22
     related.
23
           (Whereupon a document was identified
2.4
           as Plaintiff's Exhibit 32.)
25
           Q
                 I'm going to mark as Exhibit 32 a
```

one-page spreadsheet, and this is something 1 2 that the Plaintiffs have created that attempts to show for 2012 in 105 and 111 what the 3 4 demographics were of each precinct. 5 Now, according to this -- we'll 6 start with Baycreek H -- and actually we'll 7 start with Lawrenceville D. And you see that, 8 as you said, it's split between 104 and 105. 9 And if you look way over in the 10 bottom right-hand -- not the bottom, but all 11 the way in the right-hand side, it has the Any 12 Part Black VAP numbers. Yeah, if you need 13 to -- if you need to look at that, that's 14 fine. 15 And according to this, the black 16 population for this split precinct that is in 17 104 has a higher percentage than the black

population for Lawrenceville D that is in 105. Do you know one way or the other if that was in fact the case for the 2012 plan?

> Α I do not know.

Okay. So you don't, you don't have Q any cause to know one way or the other whether these numbers are correct?

Α No, I don't.

162

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1	Q Okay. And then, you know, at the
2	bottom for District 105, you have
3	Lawrenceville M, and, again, that's, that is a
4	split precinct. And according to this, the
5	percentage of black population that is in the
6	104 section of Lawrenceville M is higher than
7	the percentage of black population that is in
8	the 105 portion of Lawrenceville M.
9	Do you have reason to know one way
10	or another whether these numbers are accurate?
11	A No, I don't know.
12	Q Okay. Okay. So let's, let's move
13	to, let's move to Henry County. I want to
14	focus on the North Hampton split between 73
15	and 111.
16	And according to this, the black
17	population the percentage of black
18	population in the District 73 portion of North
19	Hampton is, if I'm reading this right, about
20	40 percent, and for that of the 111 portion,
21	it's 28.5 percent; is that correct?
22	A That's what this report shows.
23	Q Okay. And, you know, looking at
24	North Hampton, the North Hampton precinct, can
25	you okay.

```
1
                 Do you have an understanding in
2
     terms of, in that North Hampton area, where
3
     the black population is primarily housed?
 4
          Α
                 No, I don't know that.
 5
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Okay. You know
6
           Can we take, can we take a two-minute
7
     break --
8
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Sure.
9
                 MR. GREENBAUM: -- to get
10
     reorganized a little bit?
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
11
12
     video record at 2:00 p.m.
           (Proceedings in recess, 2:00 p.m. to
13
14
          2:10 p.m.)
15
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We are
16
     now back on video record at 2:10 p.m.
17
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
18
          Plaintiff's Exhibit 33.)
19
                Ms. Wright, I am going to mark as
20
     Exhibit 33, it's a chain of four pages of
21
     emails numbered in the upper right-hand corner
22
     1065 to 1068. Ms. Wright, do you recognize
23
     this email chain?
2.4
                 Yes.
          Α
25
          Q
                 And what is this email chain
```

1	concerning?

A This is emailing with the Secretary of State's office to, to obtain -- the process of obtaining the, the file for them for, looks like, the 2014 layer, precinct layer.

Q Okay. So the first, the first one of these emails is on October 21st, 2014; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And it's sent from you to Linda
Ford and Erica Hamilton. Who are Ms. Ford and
Ms. Hamilton?

A Linda Ford was the director of elections for the Secretary of State at that time, and Erica Hamilton worked in the elections office there.

Q Okay. So I'll ask you some things about this initial email. It talks about wanting to go ahead and put in the request for the 2014 election data in the same format as usual. What, what's the format?

A I don't know.

Q Mr. Strangia would be the person?

A They knew -- right, because every two years we would request that file, so they

knew what it was that we needed from them, so.

Q And so typically the Secretary of State's office and Strangia would work out the details. You just knew that it was a standard file that was sent to Mr. Strangia to input after every election. Correct?

A Right.

Q In the second paragraph towards the end, you say, "it is most important this year especially that we have the file before the end of the calendar year." Why was it especially important in 2014?

A Where are you?

Q On the bottom of 1067.

A We've typically had difficulty getting the file from them so that we can have that into our system in time to review, and if the members want to review that for whatever purposes, they like to see the data following an election.

By asking for it by the end of the calendar year, I had hoped that that would speed along the process and get the file to us quicker. I'm not so sure that it worked, but it was an attempt.

1	MR. WILL: That was the plan.
2	THE WITNESS: That was the plan.
3	Q Was, was did any strike that.
4	By October 21st, were you aware
5	that some legislators wanted to do a
6	redistricting after the 2014 election?
7	A At that point, I knew they wanted
8	to review the data.
9	Q Now, you mentioned before that the
10	incumbent in District 105, Ms. Chandler,
11	before the election had indicated to you that
12	she was interested in redistricting her
13	district; correct?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Had there been other legislators
16	that had reached out to you in terms of
17	expressing interest in a redistricting in
18	2015?
19	A I believe there were. Like I said,
20	there were some who wanted to look at the
21	data, so I believe there were several who
22	I'm not sure who exactly, but there were some,
23	I think, that just wanted to be able to see
24	that.
25	Q Okay. If they wanted to see it,

would they necessarily reach out to you, or is 1 2 it possible they could have reached out to 3 someone else in your office? 4 It's possible they could have 5 reached out to someone else in the office. 6 Is there a standard operating 7 procedure with respect to that? 8 Α No. 9 Now, in the last sentence in the 10 first paragraph of the next page, 1068, it 11 says, "We simply cannot wait until March to 12 get this data knowing we need the time to 13 allocate this to our geography and be able to 14 review it before session." Why was it important that it be 15 16 reviewed before session? 17 Well, as you just said, you alluded 18 to the fact there were members who wanted to 19 review the data, so if they were going to review the data, see if there was anything 20 21 that was concerning to them, they wanted to 22 have that while they were in session. 23 Otherwise, it wouldn't be -- there would be no 2.4 time to do anything if they wanted to.

All right. So you get a response

168

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

Q

25

1	book from Morritt Dearron, is that someout?
1	back from Merritt Beaver; is that correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q On the 22nd. And what was
4	Mr. Beaver's role in the Secretary of State's
5	office?
6	A He's the chief information officer
7	for Secretary Kemp, or he was. I assume he
8	still is.
9	Q And then you email him back on
0 ـ	December 5th seeking to follow up, correct,
1	because you hadn't gotten a response?
_2	A Yes, that's correct.
_3	Q And then he gets back to you on the
_4	17th of December and says they're hoping to
.5	have it complete by the end of the month;
-6	correct?
_7	A Yes.
8 -	Q Okay. And then he gets back to you
9	on January 7th; correct?
20	A Yes. There could have been an
21	email between the two where I was not copied
22	it looks like. I don't know that for a fact,
23	but I would assume so because he's referring
24	to precinct name differences that we had.
25	(Whereupon a document was identified as

1	Plaintiff's Exhibit 34.)
2	Q Okay. Then I'm going to mark as
3	the next exhibit, I think it's 34. Thank you.
4	I want to mark as Exhibit 34 a document that
5	is numbered in the upper right-hand corner
6	1059 to 1063.
7	Actually, I'm going to go ahead
8	and, before you look at that, finish up with
9	33, the document that you were just looking
10	at.
11	Cooley ultimate Gary Cooley,
12	who's assistant programer for the Secretary of
13	State; correct?
14	A He was, yes.
15	Q And he says that he attaches the
16	general election results and voter
17	registration demographic and voting history
18	numbers by precinct; correct?
19	A Yes, that's what he says.
20	Q And as far as you know, is this the
21	standard report that you get after every
22	election?
23	A As far as I know, I guess, yes. I
24	don't know.
25	Q And I assume that you

```
Mr. Strangia is cc'd on this. As far as you
1
2
     know, he didn't have to do any further
3
     follow-up in terms of this report?
 4
                We have had several times, when we
 5
     obtained the file, we've had problems with the
6
     file. We've had to go back and forth several
 7
     times with them to make the correction, and
8
     that's some of what this is here, I think,
9
     that there was a problem with it. I don't, I
10
     don't know if there was additional issues that
     they had to reconcile.
11
12
                Okay. All right. So I'm going to
13
     move on to 34 now. And this is two pages of
14
     emails followed by a four-page report titled
15
     Voter Registration System, Active Voters By
16
     Race and Gender Within County. And this is,
17
     this is a --
                 Do you recognize this document,
18
19
     this document, Ms. Wright?
20
          Α
                 I know what it is, yes.
21
          0
                 Okay. And as well as do you
22
     recognize the emails that precede it?
23
          Α
                 I don't, actually.
24
                 Okay. But as far as you know,
25
     these -- you did send -- strike that.
```

```
Do you have any cause to believe
1
2
     that you didn't in fact send and receive these
3
     emails?
 4
          Α
                 Say that one more time?
 5
                 MR. WILL: I'm sorry. I didn't get
6
     it either.
7
                       What I'm trying to get is:
                 Yeah.
8
     You don't recall sending and receiving these
9
     emails, but given the fact that it has your
10
     name sending and receiving these emails, you
     don't have any cause to believe that you
11
     didn't send and receive them; correct?
12
13
                 Correct.
           Α
14
                 All right.
           Q
15
                 It was apparently a request for
16
     someone who asked for it, so I mediated on
17
     behalf of whichever member asked for it.
                 Okay. So as far as you know, there
18
19
     was a member that asked for that document?
20
           Α
                 That's what it says in the email.
21
           0
                 Okay.
22
                 I'm just referring to -- I don't
           Α
23
     know.
24
                       And you don't happen to
                 Yes.
25
     remember which member asked for it, do you?
```

1	A I don't.
2	Q And do you know why in 2014 they
3	were interested in knowing the active voters
4	by race and gender for each county for 2010?
5	A I do not know. We have some
6	members who are interested in all that sort of
7	thing, so I have no idea.
8	(Whereupon a document was identified as
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 35.)
10	Q All right. Thank you. Okay. Next
11	is 35.
12	All right. Now is the point where
13	we're going to be asking you to fish out of
14	the big pile 112 and 113, if you would look in
15	the bottom right-hand corner. And there's a
16	stapler here.
17	MR. WILL: It's right in front of
18	you.
19	A Is that the GA
20	Q Uh-huh (affirmative).
21	MR. STRICKLAND: You going by the
22	number on the bottom?
23	MR. GREENBAUM: We're going to use
24	the numbers on the bottom where it's the GA.
25	Q And why don't you go ahead and

```
1
     staple those two pages.
2
                 Which? 12 and 13?
           Α
3
                 12 and 13, 112 and 113. And we're
     going to call GA 112 and 113 Exhibit 35.
 4
 5
                 Do you recognize this document,
6
     Ms. Wright?
7
                 I do.
          Α
8
           Q
                 And could you say who Mr. Rutledge
9
     is?
                 He is the representative from
10
     District 109.
11
12
                 And his email from -- his first
13
     email from February 27th says Subject is
14
     redistricting and then it says, "Hey, just
15
     checking to see where we are with it. Been
16
     crazy this week."
17
                 When he says "where we are with
18
     it, " is he referring to a new redistricting
19
     plan?
20
                 He was referring to whether or not,
21
     if I recall correctly, whether or not we
22
     were -- because we had -- we were looking at
23
     the data and looking at the district at that
24
     point to see if there was anything to be done.
25
           Q
                 And what was Mr. Rutledge
```

interested in with respect to District 109? 1 2 He had previously inquired about 3 the political data of his district and the 4 surrounding districts, for Representative 5 Strickland's district in particular and his 6 own, so this was a follow-up, I think, to that 7 to see if there was any -- what the data would 8 reveal. 9 And why was he interested in 10 Representative Strickland's district? His, his district borders 11 12 alongside -- Strickland's and Rutledge's 13 districts border alongside each other, and 14 politically they were concerned about 15 maintaining that district for Representative 16 Strickland if he could get reelected. 17 And in 2014, Representative 18 Strickland had a relatively close race; 19 correct? 20 I believe so. Α 21 And is it correct that he ran 22 against an African-American Democratic candidate in that election? 23 2.4 I think that's incorrect. 25 Q Okay. You think it was a white

175

1	Democratic candidate?
2	A Yes.
3	Q When do you recall who
4	Representative Strickland ran against in 2012?
5	A As far as their name or what do
6	you
7	Q Well, we'll start with their name.
8	Do you recall if he ran against an
9	African-American candidate or white candidate
10	in 2012?
11	A I believe it was an
12	African-American candidate in '12.
13	Q And do you know if that election
14	was close as well?
15	A I don't think that it was as close
16	as the '14 election, but I don't remember the
17	specific numbers.
18	Q Now, Representative Rutledge in
19	111, how closely was his election contested in
20	2014?
21	A Representative Rutledge is 109. I
22	don't know the numbers for his reelections. I
23	don't know that he had opposition in all of
24	those years either.
25	Q Okay. Did Representative Rutledge

```
ever suggest that you could change the
1
2
     composition of his district to help
3
     Representative Strickland in his district, win
     reelection in his district?
 4
 5
                 Yes.
          Α
                 What did he tell you specifically?
6
 7
                 He didn't tell me anything
           Α
8
     specific.
9
                 Did any of the other legislators in
10
     Henry County suggest to you that you could
11
     change the composition of their districts to
12
     help out Representative Strickland?
13
           Α
                 Yes.
14
                 What other legislators did?
           Q
15
                 Representative Welch. And I don't
           Α
16
     know that I had conversations with
17
     Representative Knight and Representative Yates
     prior to making any changes or looking at the
18
19
     map, but they were willing, from what I
20
     understood, to help him if there was something
21
     they could do.
22
                 Okay. Did -- during this time
23
     frame, did Representative Strickland ever meet
2.4
     with you in your office?
25
           Α
                 Yes.
```

1	Q How about Representative Rutledge?
2	A I don't recall.
3	Q Do you recall any of the
4	legislators in from Henry County suggesting
5	particular changes to you?
6	A No.
7	Q It never happened, or you just
8	don't recall it?
9	A It never happened.
10	Q So they entrusted you to make the
11	specific changes?
12	A Yes.
13	(Whereupon a document was identified as
14	Plaintiff's Exhibit 36.)
15	Q Okay. All right. I want to mark
16	as Exhibit 36 kind of a series of election
17	results from 2014 involving seven districts
18	that we've been talking about, the five from
19	Henry County, District 73, District 109,
20	District 110, District 111, District 130, and
21	then from Gwinnett Districts 104 and 105.
22	MR. STRICKLAND: That's not out of
23	the big stack?
24	MR. GREENBAUM: Not out of the big
25	stack.

```
1
                 MR. STRICKLAND:
                                 Okay.
2
                 MR. GREENBAUM: We're going back to
3
     the big stack though.
 4
                 MR. STRICKLAND: All right.
 5
                 MR. GREENBAUM:
                                 Just not right now.
6
                 So, Ms. Wright, when you were
7
     looking at doing the redistricting in Henry
8
     County in 2015, did you pay attention to what
9
     had happened at the precinct level in 2014?
10
          Α
                 Yes.
                 And so -- and this answers one of
11
12
     our questions with respect to Mr. Rutledge
     that in fact Mr. Rutledge, this is on the
13
14
     second page, that Mr. Rutledge did not have an
     opponent in 2014; correct?
15
16
           Α
                 Yes.
17
                 And also Representative Knight in
     District 130 didn't have an opponent either;
18
19
     correct?
20
           Α
                 Yes.
21
           0
                 Representative Welch did, and he
22
     won by about 1,600 votes; is that correct?
23
           Α
                 That appears to be correct.
24
                 And then -- or actually this, this
25
     only reflects the results within Henry County.
```

```
It wouldn't reflect the full results of the
1
2
     election. Correct?
3
                Yes, I see those are just the Henry
 4
     precincts listed.
 5
                Okay. So let's, let's go to the
6
     page, I think it's the fourth page, involving
 7
     District 111. And let me ask you about a
8
     couple of the precinct results.
9
                 Is it fair to say that
10
     Representative Nichols received the
11
     predominant amount of the vote in Stockbridge
12
     west of the precinct?
                 Mr. Nichols --
13
          Α
14
                 MR. WILL: Mr. Nichols --
15
                 -- isn't a representative.
          Α
16
                 All right. I'll reask the
          Q
17
     question.
18
                 MR. WILL: I just wanted to make
19
     sure you're asking -- which one you're asking
20
     about, representative or Mr. Nichols.
                 THE WITNESS: And I wasn't sure
21
22
     which one you're asking either.
23
                 Yeah, okay. So we're talking about
2.4
     111 in 2014, and it's Candidate Nichols
25
     running against Representative Strickland, and
```

1	I want to focus on the Stockbridge West
2	precinct.
3	And is it correct that Candidate
4	Nichols received 1,220 votes and Candidate
5	Strickland, the incumbent, received 265 votes?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Is it fair to say that that
8	precinct was the precinct in which
9	Representative Strickland had the weakest
10	performance?
11	A Yeah, that looks to be true.
12	Q When you sought to redistrict
13	District 111 in 2015, were you conscious of
14	the fact that the Stockbridge West precinct
15	was the precinct in which Strickland had
16	performed the worst in 2014?
17	A I'm not certain that I knew it was
18	his worst precinct, but I knew that it was a
19	precinct that Mr. Nichols won.
20	Q And did you know that Mr. Nichols
21	had won it by a relatively significant amount?
22	A I may have realized that then, but
23	I don't know now whether I what I, what I
24	was looking at then.
25	Q Yeah. Were you conscious when you

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

were redistricting in 2015 to take that
precinct out of District 111?

A Well, if you were looking at the map to do that, that precinct is on the very northern tip of the map with one precinct above it, so because of the shape of the district as well as the fact that that was a Democratic precinct, it was sort of an obvious precinct to move.

Q Particularly if the goal of the redistricting was to make Representative Strickland's seat safer; correct?

A Correct.

Q And were you aware of the racial demographics of that precinct?

A I can't recall if I -- what I knew of the racial demographics of the precinct.

Q Okay. And you testified earlier that you were aware that the northern part of the county in the part of the county closest to Clayton County had grown the most since 2000 and also had the largest concentrations of African-Americans; correct?

A That's correct.

MR. WILL: Object to the form of

182

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

the question. You can answer.

A Yes. But I would also say that, of those two precincts in this area, as I said before, to take out Stockbridge West, you would also have to take the precinct that is above it, which Representative Strickland actually won although it is a precinct in this area that is more diverse.

- Q Which precinct is that?
- A That would be Stagecoach.
- 11 Q And is it correct that
- Representative Strickland won Stagecoach by 43
- votes but lost Stockbridge West by 945 votes?
- 14 A That appears to be the numbers, 15 yes.
- 16 Q So going back to Exhibit 35, which 17 is your email correspondence with
- Representative Rutledge, you responded to him saying that you expected that there could be a bill next week but you weren't a hundred
- 21 percent sure.
- When -- do you recall when you
- finished the map for the entire House bill?
- 24 A I don't recall.
- 25 Q Do you recall whether it was the

183

week after February 27th, 2015?

A I don't recall.

Q Okay. How did -- so when does the legislation, when does the legislative session start and end in Georgia?

A It starts on the second Monday in January, and when it ends, nobody knows. They set a calendar when they start the session to figure out -- well, they set part of the calendar, and they figure it out as they go.

Q With respect to a regular session, at what point does -- do you know at what point there needs to be a bill in order for it to be considered in that session?

A That varies every year.

Q When you went, when you went ahead and created what you thought was the final plan, did you, did you show it -- who did you show it to?

A The final plan that would have been whatever we were going to use in the legislation would only be a map that included the districts that were affected, so on this particular bill, it would be 17 districts on a map, a blank map of Georgia.

1	I'm pretty sure at that point the
2	only person I recall showing that to in its
3	entirety was Chairman Nix.
4	Q And would it be was, was this
5	something that you showed him a hard copy of
6	it? You he came to your office, or you
7	went to his office? How does that work?
8	A I don't recall. Could have been
9	either. Could have been both.
10	Q So in terms of actually working on
11	a plan, is that something that you have to do
12	in your office, or are you able to be mobile
13	and to, for example, work on a laptop?
14	A I have the capability of working
15	remotely on my laptop.
16	Q In 2015, do you recall, do you
17	recall working remotely on this particular
18	plan?
19	A I don't recall doing that, no.
20	Q Would it be fair to say that the
21	majority of the time you tend to work on the
22	plan in your office?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And in terms of working on the plan
25	in your office, how many people are you able

to fit in the room that can be looking at the plan while you're working on it?

A We have a conference room that would seat -- it's not as big as this. It probably -- it's held quite a few before, but I think there's maybe ten chairs in the room.

Q All right. So with this -- when you show the plan to Chairman Nix, what you thought was a final plan, did Chairman Nix have any changes?

A Not that I know of.

Q Did he have any comments about it?

A I don't recall. Members would meet with him throughout the process, if I worked on an area for a certain member. This particular legislative plan was left open for all members to participate in, so that was given to both, both parties, all 180 house members.

So they would participate. They would come to see us on their own. If they wanted to draw something, then they were given the instruction to take whatever it is that they — whenever they finalized what their portion would be, to take that to the chairman

```
and show that to him.
1
2
                 So he had had discussions with the
3
     other members on his own. I wasn't privy to
 4
     those conversations. I would just get the,
5
     this is what they want and this is what they
6
     want to use, if that was what they decided
7
     upon.
8
                 And would he ever communicate --
9
     how would he communicate with you? Would he
10
     ever communicate by email?
                 Who?
11
          Α
12
          0
                 Nix.
                 I don't recall very many emails
13
          Α
14
     with him. We would talk in person.
15
                 And then would his -- to what
16
     extent would his staff be interacting with
17
     you?
18
          Α
                Very little.
19
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Okay. All
20
     right. Why don't we take a break since we're
21
     running out of tape.
22
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off video
23
     record at 2:46 p.m.
2.4
           (Proceedings in recess, 2:46 p.m. to
25
          2:56 p.m.)
```

1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back
2	on video record at 2:56 p.m. This is the
3	beginning of file number four.
4	Q All right. Great. So I'm going to
5	ask you to go back into the GA documents, and
6	page three and page four, I want you to pull
7	those out.
8	A This one?
9	(Whereupon a document was identified as
10	Plaintiff's Exhibit 37.)
11	Q Yes. And then go ahead and staple
12	that, and that will be Exhibit 37.
13	And, Ms. Wright, who is Donna
14	Yeomans?
15	A She is the committee secretary in
	the Senate to at that time she was with
16	
16 17	Senator Crane.
	Senator Crane. Q And in terms of the process in
17	
17 18	Q And in terms of the process in
17 18 19	Q And in terms of the process in 2015, did the bill go to the House first or to
17 18 19 20	Q And in terms of the process in 2015, did the bill go to the House first or to the Senate first?
17 18 19 20	Q And in terms of the process in 2015, did the bill go to the House first or to the Senate first? A The bill for the House districts
17 18 19 20 21	Q And in terms of the process in 2015, did the bill go to the House first or to the Senate first? A The bill for the House districts Q Yes.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q And in terms of the process in 2015, did the bill go to the House first or to the Senate first? A The bill for the House districts Q Yes. A started in the House.

```
on March 26th, 2015, so by then, the bill had
1
2
     already passed the House; correct?
3
           Α
                 Yes.
 4
                 So sometime between February 27th
5
     and March 26th, the bill was introduced into
6
     the House and was passed by the House;
 7
     correct?
8
          Α
                 It's my recollection it passed the
9
     House on March 11th.
10
           0
                 March 11th?
11
           Α
                 That's my daughter's birthday.
12
                 Okay.
           0
                 Passed the House unanimously --
13
           Α
14
           Q
                 Okay.
                 -- on my daughter's birthday.
15
           Α
16
     Otherwise, I would not remember that date.
17
                 Totally understandable. And in the
18
     House, do you recall there being any hearings
19
     prior to the House passing the bill?
20
                 It was heard in committee just like
21
     any other bill.
22
                 Do you -- did you attend a
23
     committee hearing on it?
2.4
                 Yes.
           Α
25
           Q
                 Did you discuss the bill during
```

1	that committee hearing?
2	A I did not make any comment during
3	that hearing.
4	Q And was there only one committee
5	hearing in the House?
6	A As far as I recall, there was one
7	
	meeting for the House committee.
8	Q How about in the Senate?
9	A I believe there was only one
10	meeting for the Senate committee as well.
11	Q And you mentioned before it passed
12	unanimously in the House. Was that true in
13	the Senate too?
14	A No, it was not.
15	Q What was the vote in the Senate?
16	A I don't recall specifically.
17	Q How do you recall how it broke
18	down on partisan lines?
19	A I think it was a combination. I
20	think most Republicans voted for it,
21	maybe almost all of the Republicans voted for
22	it. Some Democrats voted for it. Some voted
23	against it. I believe there was one
24	Republican I don't know. I better not
25	speculate.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

1	0	And	strike	that

Were there any changes from what you considered to be your final map when you presented it to Chairman Nix to the time in which it was enacted as law?

A The very first version changed when the committee heard the bill. If I recall correctly, two districts were taken out, two were added in. There were two members who wanted to make a change kind of at the last minute.

Those were added into the bill, and the other two, who had decided they just weren't going to worry with it, took theirs out. And that happened in the version that was passed in committee.

O In the House?

A In the House committee.

Q During the course of time were there any changes to Districts 105 and 111 from the time you presented the plan to Chairman Nix to the time in which the bill was enacted into law?

A Not that I recall.

I'd like to clarify that I only

191

```
presented him the map, not the bill. He has
1
2
     to go and make the bill himself.
3
           (Whereupon a document was identified
          as Plaintiff's Exhibit 38.)
 4
 5
                 Fair enough. All right. I want to
6
     mark as Exhibit 38, now you're going to be
7
     taking pages 26 and 27 out and stapling them.
8
                 Ms. Wright, who's Steve Henson?
9
          Α
                 Steve Henson is a senator who is
10
     the Democratic leader in the Senate.
                 And do you recall seeing this email
11
12
     prior to today?
                 I did see it prior to today, yes.
13
          Α
14
                 When did you see it?
          Q
15
                 When we were compiling the
16
     information for your subpoena.
17
                 During the course of the 2015
18
     redistricting plan, did you consult at all
     with Mr. O'Connor?
19
20
          Α
                 Can you ask that again?
21
                 Yes. During the development of the
22
     redistricting plan that was passed in 2015,
23
     did you consult with Mr. O'Connor at all?
2.4
                 What do you mean by consult? I
25
     mean, I speak with him pretty much daily.
```

1	He's on my staff.
2	Q Fair enough. What role, if any,
3	did Mr. O'Connor play in the 2015
4	redistricting plan?
5	A I don't recall discussing the
6	boundary lines or the proposed boundary lines
7	with him.
8	Q How about anything else with
9	respect to the plan?
10	A I don't recall.
11	Q So you could have, you could have
12	had discussions with him about the plan? You
13	just don't remember one way or the other?
14	A It's possible.
15	Q Okay. Do you know why in, in this
16	email Mr. O'Connor is sending Mr. Henson a
17	combination of partisan electoral results and
18	racial demographics of districts
19	District 105?
20	A I would assume that the map
21	being he asked for the map, and perhaps in
22	conversation after delivering the map, he
23	asked for additional information.
24	Q Were you aware that with respect to
25	District 105 that the black population of

```
District 105 decreased when it was, when it
1
2
     was amended in 2015?
3
          Α
                 Yes.
                 And were you aware that the
 4
5
     Hispanic population decreased?
6
          Α
                 Yes.
 7
                 Were you aware that in the pre-2015
8
     version of 105 that President Obama had
9
     received more votes than Mr. Romney?
10
                 I may have known that then. I
     don't recall now.
11
12
                 And were you aware that, within the
13
     new 105, that Mr. Romney had received more
14
     votes than Mr. Obama?
15
                 Well, considering I was working
          Α
16
     with the political mindset on redrawing these
17
     districts, I'm sure I was using the
     presidential data. So at the time of doing
18
19
     this, I'm sure that I knew that.
20
                 Okay. And that would be true with
21
     respect to all these elections, is that you
22
     were aware of the political performance of the
23
     pre-2015 105 versus the 105 that was enacted
2.4
     in 2015?
25
          Α
                 Yes. At that time, yes.
```

	Q	And wou	ıld you	also	have	been	aware
C	of the dec	crease in	n black	and	Hispan	nic	
F	ercentage	e of tota	al regi	stere	d vote	ers i	n 105
p	ore- ' 15 ve	ersus 105	5 st	rike	that.		
		T-7					- la -a

Were you, were you aware at the time that the proposed 105 that was eventually enacted had a lower percentage of black and Hispanic voters than the pre-2015 105?

A I'm sure I was aware of that at that time, yes.

Q And why are you sure that you would have been aware of that?

A Well, I used a combination of all of these factors when I work on a plan in that pending changes box that I mentioned before, so I'm sure that those would have been somewhere on the box that at some point through the process I would have gone to look for.

Q And just to go back through what those factors are, the factors would be a combination of the racial demographics according to the census, performance in statewide partisan elections, and the percentage of black and Hispanic registered

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A Yes. We also have a field we use that is a summary field. It's an average of all statewide contested races. It's a Republican and Democratic percentages that gives us kind of an overall number. So that would have been the main thing I was looking at, that as well as the individual races.

But that overall number would kind of give us a good picture because, obviously, you see there's some spread between some of the races, and that kind of gives you an average.

- Q And do you know how it is that that overall number is calculated?
- A I believe it's an average of all the statewide contested races.
- Q Would Mr. Strangia know more about this than you?
- A Probably.
- Q Okay. Would Mr. O'Connor?
- 22 A No.
- Q All right. And then the next
 document -- which is going to be 38 or 39?

 MR. POWERS: 39.

196

1	MR. WILL: 39.
2	(Whereupon a document was identified as
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 39.)
4	Q 39. Using the numbers again at the
5	bottom of the page, GA 79 and 80. Can you
6	tell me what GA 79 and 80 is?
7	A This is an email of an article that
8	was forwarded to Representative Chandler, to
9	Chairman Nix, who copied forwarded it to
10	me.
11	Q Okay. And did you have any
12	response to this that you know of?
13	A I don't recall.
14	(Whereupon a document was identified as
15	Plaintiff's Exhibit 40.)
16	Q Then I want to move on to
17	Exhibit 40, which is going to be GA 100 to
18	101.
19	Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
20	document?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What is it?
23	A This is an email that was forwarded
24	to me or sent to me from Chairman Nix.
25	Q And what was the subject of the

email?

2.4

A The chairman had been approached by a reporter. She asked about the process and House Bill 566 and the claim of the minority vote dilution. He was drafting a response and wanted my input as well as Spiro Amburn's.

Q Did you have an understanding of what Senator Fort's position was?

A I did not hear the news conference where he made whatever the claims that he made in that conference. I did have some conversation or was present for some conversations that he had during the process of the bill, which initially were not related to the districts in Henry County or Gwinnett County. They were primarily focused on two House districts in Atlanta.

So I don't know what he said in that news conference, but I knew that he had, he had issue with the bill for his own reasons, and apparently that's what she was asking about for her report, so the chairman was just wanting to respond.

Q Did you have an understanding that Senator Fort was concerned about the changes

```
that the bill made to District 105?
1
2
                 Initially, no, but later on it
     was -- he made statements and whatnot about
3
 4
     that, so I heard about it later on.
 5
                 Now, when did, when did the bill
     pass the Senate?
6
7
                 I don't know the specific date. I
          Α
8
     believe it was the end of March.
9
           (Whereupon a document was identified
10
          as Plaintiff's Exhibit 41.)
11
                 I'm going to mark as Exhibit 41,
12
     and I'm sorry that I do not have -- oh, wait.
     I have it right here. Georgia Cities By
13
14
     Legislative and Congressional District.
                 MR. WILL: Is that a different one
15
16
     than we already put in?
17
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Yes.
18
                 Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
19
     document?
20
          Α
                 Yes.
                 What is it?
21
          0
22
                 This is a city report. Shows joint
          Α
23
     city report of all the House, Senate,
24
     Congressional districts by city. This was
25
     effective the 2017 session.
```

```
1
                 So if I wanted to see for every
2
     city in Georgia what House, Senate, and
3
     Congressional districts they would be in, I
     could look at this document?
 4
 5
                 Yes.
          Α
                 And this is a document that's
6
7
     prepared by your office?
8
          Α
                 Yes.
9
           (Whereupon a document was identified
10
          as Plaintiff's Exhibit 42.)
11
                 All right. Ms. Wright, next
12
     exhibit -- here we go. Can you go to GA 95
13
     through 97? Do you recognize this email
14
     exchange?
15
          Α
                 Yes.
16
          Q
                 What is it?
17
                 This is an email between myself and
18
     Linda Meggers related to the shape file for
19
     the new House districts so they could use
20
     that. She works with some of the local
21
     counties now, and she -- and Henry is one of
22
     the counties she works with. So they wanted
23
     to obtain the shape files so they could begin
24
     working on the voter -- changes to the voter
25
     lists.
```

1	Q And did the governor sign the bill
2	on May 13th or strike that.
3	Yes. Did the governor sign the
4	bill on May 13th?
5	A I do not recall exactly what day he
6	signed the bill.
7	Q Okay. In looking at this document,
8	does that refresh your recollection?
9	A Okay. Yes.
10	Q Do you recall that he signed it on
11	May 13th?
12	A I recall that I wrote it in this
13	email that he signed it.
14	Q Okay. And given that that was
15	contemporaneous, do you feel pretty confident
16	that that was the day that he actually signed
17	it?
18	A Hopefully, if I put it in an email.
19	(Whereupon a document was identified as
20	Plaintiff's Exhibit 43.)
21	Q Okay. All right. I want to mark
22	as Exhibit 43 a document entitled Georgia
23	Districts 2015.
24	Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
25	document?

```
1
          Α
                 Yes.
2
          0
                 What is it?
3
                 This is the current House district
          Α
 4
     map packet.
5
                 Well, if I wanted to see the basic
6
     district boundaries and basic demographics of
7
     this district -- of this plan, I could look at
8
     Exhibit 43?
9
          Α
                 Yes.
10
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Wait a minute. Is
     that 40?
11
12
                 MR. GREENBAUM: I think it's 43.
                 MR. POWERS: 43.
13
14
                 MR. STRICKLAND: What was 42?
15
                 MR. GREENBAUM: 42 was GA 95
16
     through 97.
17
                 MR. STRICKLAND: I must have gotten
18
     one off. I'll take your word for it.
19
                 MR. GREENBAUM: It's hard to keep
20
     track.
21
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Yeah. I must have
22
     gotten off one.
23
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
24
          Plaintiff's Exhibit 44.)
25
                 I want to mark as Exhibit 44 GA 34
```

```
Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
1
     to 39.
2
     document?
3
           Α
                 Yes.
 4
           0
                 What is it?
 5
                 It's an email Dan O'Connor sent to
           Α
6
     Representative Knight.
7
                 Okay. And do you know why
8
     Mr. O'Connor was emailing Representative
9
     Knight?
                 I believe Representative Knight had
10
11
     some questions about what the district, his
12
     new district, was going to be like with the
     new, the demographics and whatnot related.
13
14
                 And is it your understanding he was
15
     interested not only in his district but the
16
     adjoining ones?
17
                 I assume so based on the content.
18
                 And do you know why the, at the
19
     beginning, first set of data that Mr. O'Connor
20
     includes is the Percent Black New Map --
21
           Α
                 I do not --
22
           Q
                 -- in that?
23
           Α
                 -- know that, no.
24
                 Okay. Now, in the next paragraph,
25
     Mr. O'Connor talks about those figures being
```

2.4

based on the 2010 census data and doubtless the percentage of the black population would be higher five years later with the rapid minority growth in Henry County. Do you agree with that statement?

A Let me back up and read that just a second.

I would say there is probably increases in those percentages, yes, based on the growth that we've discussed previously.

Q And according to Mr. O'Connor, between April 1, 2010, and July of 2015 in Henry, more than 7,000 blacks were added to the voter roles while white registration declined by more than 3,000 voters. Is that consistent with your understanding of the data?

A I have not analyzed that data, so that's his own statement.

Q And is this the sort of thing that Mr. O'Connor does as part of his work for the office is to analyze the demographics and the registration data?

A He does analyze some of the voter registration data and things along that line,

```
but he often analyzes things of his own
1
2
     interest as well, so I don't know that -- he
3
     was not given an assignment to do that.
 4
          Q
                 Okay. Now, toward the bottom of
 5
     the first page, he says, "There are few
6
     Democrats today serving the Georgia House from
 7
     districts that are less than 40 percent black.
8
     In fact, only three Democrats represent House
9
     districts that are less than 20 percent
10
     black."
11
                 Do you agree, disagree, or have no
12
     opinion of that statement?
                 I would trust that he was accurate
13
14
     when he said that. However, I do know that
15
     that has changed since then, since Taylor
16
     Bennett is no longer in that house, and that
17
     has seat went to a Republican.
18
                 And what are the demographics of
19
     Taylor Bennett's seat?
20
          Α
                 I do not know.
21
                 Is it -- oh, you mean in terms of
22
     those -- that --
23
                 Those three incumbents.
          Α
2.4
                 Right. Okay. So as far as you
25
     know, there may only be two Democrats that
```

1	represent districts that are less than 20
2	percent black?
3	A Yeah. I mean, I don't know.
4	Without looking at it myself, I don't know. I
5	would trust that he's accurate, but I have not
6	studied that myself to say for certain.
7	Q Do you so let me focus on the
8	first part of that sentence and ask you
9	whether you agree, disagree, or have no
10	opinion of the statement.
11	"There are few Democrats today
12	-
	serving the Georgia House from districts that
13	are less than 40 percent black."
14	A I don't know the answer to that
15	without looking at the data myself.
16	(Whereupon a document was identified as
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45.)
18	Q All right. And then I want to move
19	on to Exhibit 45, and that's going to be GA 30
20	to 33. Do you recognize Exhibit 45,
21	Ms. Wright?
22	A I have seen it before.
23	Q Okay. And this appears to be an
24	email the same day as Exhibit 44 between
25	Mr. O'Connor and Mr. Knight, I guess a little

```
earlier in the day; is that correct?
1
                 I would have to look at the other
2
3
     one, but if you say it was earlier, I believe
 4
     you.
5
                Yeah. Why don't you take a, why
6
     don't you take a look just to confirm. I have
     this email 10:39 a.m. whereas Exhibit 44 was
7
8
     3:44 p.m.
9
          Α
                 What number? 44?
10
          0
                 Yes. It's the one that we just
     did.
11
12
          Α
                 Oh. Oh, okay.
                 Yeah, this appears to be earlier.
13
14
                 Okay. Now, in the second
          Q
15
     paragraph -- or actually in the first
16
     paragraph.
17
                 THE WITNESS: Is the light still
18
     on?
19
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Aria, are you
20
     still there? Hello?
21
                 MR. WILL: It's still live. It's
22
     got the green light lit up.
23
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Yeah.
24
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Well, maybe we
25
     have a mystery caller.
```

1	MR. GREENBAUM: Okay. All right.
2	Bill Custer might have listened in for a while
3	today.
4	Q At the bottom of the first
5	paragraph, the last sentence talks about the
6	old version of 111 was almost a 50-50 split
7	between Romney and Obama in 2012 and that the
8	old district was a microcosm of Henry as a
9	whole.
10	Do you agree, disagree, or have no
11	opinion of that statement?
12	A I would say that's his opinion of
13	that.
14	Q Do you have do you agree with
15	that or disagree with that or have no opinion?
16	A I'd have to look at the data from
17	the election to verify that that is an
18	accurate description of the split. I believe
19	the race was close between Romney and Obama.
20	I don't know the numbers off the top of my
21	head.
22	Q Okay.
23	A And I think to say that it's a
24	microcosm of Henry as a whole is his opinion.
25	Q Okay. In the next paragraph, he

says, "Henry County today," referring to 2015, 1 2 "is about evenly divided between Democrats and the GOP." 3 4 Do you agree, disagree, or have no 5 opinion of that statement? I'd have to look at the data to 6 7 tell you that. I don't know off the top of my 8 head exactly what the voter registration looks 9 like. 10 0 Okay. And we wouldn't know really because 11 12 voter registration is not by party, so we would have to look at data from the last 13 14 election cycle. 15 Okay. And then halfway through 16 that second paragraph, it says, "Between 2004 17 and 2014, white registration totals in the county stayed about the same while black voter 18 19 registration increased by 26 percent -- or 26,000." 20 21 Do you agree, disagree, or have no 22 opinion of that statement? 23 Again, these are his, his analysis.

So I would have to look at it myself to form

my own. I trust that he's accurate. Since he

209

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

2.4

25

works for me, I hope he's accurate. But without having looked at it myself, I'm not going to say, not that they don't ever make mistakes.

Q So he then says he suspects a lot of Clayton residents have moved to Henry County between 2004 and 2014. Do you agree, disagree, or have no opinion of that statement?

A That's his opinion. I'm not -- I don't feel like I'm in a position to say what that is without looking at that deeper.

Q And how would you describe his -- what his role is as the data analyst within, within your office?

A Dan is unique in his ability to retain information. He is a data junkie. He likes numbers. He likes history. He likes geography. He likes all of these types of things and how election results, he can quote back results of elections, I mean, presidential races, from before I was born. And this is just Dan and who he is. He's a unique individual.

His role, I mean, he fills a lot of

2.2

2.4

roles on the staff. He does a lot of map requests and information requests from members and anyone else, basically just printing off maps as well as compiling data and information.

I didn't know about these requests for information nor had I seen them until you asked for the emails and the subpoena, so I did not know that this information was what he was giving out. He didn't discuss it with me.

But Dan does a lot of, of study of those numbers and all election returns and results and things like that of that nature.

And a lot of the members like to pick his brain about things like that because he is that, that unique in his ability.

Q Okay. Thank you. I want to move on and look at some of the changes to the plans in 105 and 111 in 2015. And why don't we start, why don't we start with 105, so maybe we can try to break out the big maps and take a look.

A You want the '12 or just the '15? (Whereupon a document was identified as Plaintiff's Exhibit 46.)

1	Q We're going to look at them both
2	together so that we can understand the
3	interrelationships between the two of them.
4	And then I'm also going to mark, this is 46.
5	This is a data analysis that we had done
6	regarding the precinct demographics for 2015.
7	MR. WILL: This is a document
8	compiled by y'all?
9	MR. GREENBAUM: Yes.
10	Q So one of the things that I'd like
11	to try to break down at a precinct level is
12	what sort of changes were made in District 105
13	between 2012 and 2015.
14	So let's start with precincts that
15	were either reduced or taken away in
16	District 105 in 2015. Could you, could you
17	explain what districts either were removed
18	from the district or reduced in 2015?
19	A 105, Lawrenceville M precinct was
20	split, so I was able to put that precinct back
21	together and make it whole into 104. And
22	that's all.
23	Q So Lawrenceville, there was a
24	portion of the precinct in 2012 that was part
25	of 105?

A	Right
---	-------

Q And the whole precinct was moved into 104 in 2015; is that correct?

A Yes. You're looking at this area here, blue, gray, that's all one precinct.

Q Okay.

A Gray added to blue. Put the precinct back together.

Q And did you look at all of either partisan performance or racial demographics that, that would be caused by moving a portion of Lawrenceville precinct M?

A Well, I'm not sure I went at it that way. I think I went more in the direction of to add first and try to increase that percent total Republican number I told you about the average number --

Q Okay.

A -- as well as some of the races.

So in looking to do that first, that was where, you know, working from there and then moving in the opposite direction to try and bring down the population, and to put a precinct back together would be an easy way to do that as well as keeping that precinct --

making that precinct whole again, which is 1 2 also a good thing to do. 3 All right. So let's see, let's see Q 4 what you added in, right, that you added in a 5 full precinct Harbins C? 6 Uh-huh (affirmative). 7 And then you split precinct Harbins 8 A which had primarily just been part of 104? 9 Α Right. This area as you come out 10 here is much more still more of a rural area, 11 votes very, very Republican. It's a small, 12 skinny precinct here, Harbins C. So we took Harbins C, and we used a major road to cut 13 14 through there, a good dividing line to cut 15 through Harbins A, which is a larger precinct 16 geographically. 17 And there's -- that was an increase 18 in Republican votes because of the area here 19 and who -- the voters in this area. So adding 20 that in brought it up in terms of Republican 21 number up some, so the only other thing --22 Can we start -- can we -- I just 23 want to talk about Harbins C for a second 24 before you move on to any other precincts. 25 Α Okay.

1	Q You mentioned the Republican
2	numbers. It's also a heavily white precinct;
3	correct?
4	A Yeah, I guess so, if you say so.
5	Q Do you know otherwise?
6	A I don't know otherwise, but I
7	couldn't tell you what the breakdown is right
8	now.
9	Q Okay. Would you be surprised that,
10	according to the 2010 census data, it's about
11	11 percent black?
12	A No, I guess. I don't know.
13	Q All right. And then the other
14	thing that you did in terms of adding was you
15	put a portion of Harbins A into District 105;
16	correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And you mentioned I believe I'll
19	let you go ahead and explain that.
20	A Well, part of the precinct line
21	comes across here as well, so to make that
22	line a good, straight boundary line, it's a
23	road that cuts through here that is a known
24	road that divides through here, made sense to
25	use that to come across here so that your line

2.4

is more straight making this area more compact rather than the shape of this precinct, which is not a very compact precinct.

Q Now, did you know with respect to Harbins C -- wait. Which is the one that's split? It's Harbins --

A A.

Q Did you know that with respect to Harbins A what the partisan performance was in the portion that went into 105 as opposed to what was left in 104?

A Say that one more time?

Q For example, did you know that, with respect to Harbins A, did you -- could you project whether the portion that you added to 105 performed better for Republicans than the portion of the precinct that you left at 104?

A I didn't look at it that way. The objective being, if you're taking this in, it would be to smooth this across here and make that more compact. It would be counterproductive to take in a precinct that looks like this and then come across the top and whack out a piece there. That doesn't

2.4

make sense, so you wouldn't do it that way.

Q Would you dispute that with respect to Harbins A, and you can look at Exhibit 46 as a reference, that the portion of the precinct that you added to 105 is -- has a much lower black percentage than the portion of the precinct that you left in 104?

A I would not know that. I didn't look at that that way.

Q Okay. But as, but as you were actually making these changes to the map, you could have seen, for example, if you had up there what the demographics were of the district as you, as you made those changes, you would have been able to see that it was reducing black population to move that portion of Harbins A into 105?

A The way that I work with the pending change box is to see the impact it's having as a whole. So in order to do what you're doing, I would have had to have gone to an extra effort to hunt up for that information, which I did not do.

Q But you'd see it as a whole if, for example, that portion of Harbins A had a lower

```
black percentage than the rest of the plan?
1
2
                 I'm not sure --
          Α
3
          Q
                 Okay.
 4
          Α
                 -- I'm following that.
 5
                 So, you know, according to our
6
     data, the portion of Harbins A that you moved
7
     into District 105 is less than 15 percent
8
     black voting age population.
                 Okay. That's your -- what you're
9
10
     saying, I mean --
                 What I'm saying is that we agree
11
12
     that, we agree that 105 in terms of black
13
     voting age population is roughly about 35
14
     percent black; correct?
                 Totally -- I'm sorry. My brain
15
16
     kind of went out on that. Say it again?
17
                 That's fine. With respect to
18
     Harbins -- okay. Strike that.
19
                 With respect to the plan as a whole
20
     in 105, is it your understanding that that
     district is roughly within a few percentage
21
22
     points 35 percent black voting age population?
23
          Α
                 At what point? Before or after --
2.4
                 Both.
          0
25
          Α
                 -- making changes? I think it was
```

```
less than that or --
1
2
                 I think it went from 34 to 31.
3
     can --
 4
          Α
                 Something in that range.
 5
                 We can go back and look at --
          Q
6
          Α
                 Right.
 7
                 -- look at O'Connor's email because
8
     it actually has that data in it.
9
                 To clarify, my objective was to see
10
     if I could find political improvement in the
     Republican number for her district. So I'm
11
12
     going about this in a way of knowing that,
13
     let's see if we -- the Republican area here,
14
     try and see if that boosts the district.
15
                 If that boosted the district,
16
     what my next objective would be is to find a
17
     way to balance that population out, how would
18
     I get that -- to improve and take this area in
19
     to boost her political number, what would I
20
     then take out?
21
                 And, obviously, the places where I
22
     had split precincts would be my first choice
23
     to go because I don't want to split an
24
     additional precinct. So that made it the
25
     obvious choice to look at the two precincts
```

that she has that were still split.

That was why I could easily put
Lawrenceville M back together, make sure, you
know, that's an entire precinct given back to
them, no ballot combination there.

And then I actually added some in in Lawrenceville D to her district to then complete a balancing of population. And it was at that point that I would then go back and see, if I did these changes, what impact did that then have on the overall percent total black, Hispanic, and whatnot.

So I wasn't doing it block by block to go along and say, oh, well, there's this much here and, oh, there's that much there.

That was not the way that I did this. That's not the way I would ever do it.

I'm looking at what was my overall objective? Can I achieve that? Then can I balance it? And then what is the numerical result of that after that is done?

Q Okay. Now, District 105 pre-2015, we went over the number of precincts that were split. Am I correct that what happened in 2015 was you created a split precinct that

```
didn't exist before?
1
2
          Α
                 Correct.
3
                 And you reduced a precinct split by
 4
     taking part of one of the Lawrenceville
5
     districts that had been split and putting all
6
     of that into 104?
7
                 I think what you're saying is I
          Α
8
     split one and put one back together and
9
     changed the split on another one, and that
10
     would be right.
                 Yes. So that if, if somebody asks
11
12
     you how many precincts were split in 105 in
     2012 and how many precincts that were split in
13
     105 in 2015, it would be the same number?
14
15
          Α
                 Yes.
16
                 Thanks. All right. Let's, let's
17
     move on to the Henry County plan.
18
                 Go off the record for a moment.
19
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record
20
     at 3:44 p.m.
21
           (Proceedings in recess, 3:44 p.m. to
22
          3:55 p.m.)
23
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back
24
     on video record at 3:55 p.m. This is the
25
     beginning of file number five.
```

```
So, Ms. Wright, if my recollection
1
2
     is correct, when we were talking about the
     Henry District 111 2012 plan, that had four
3
 4
     split precincts: Grove Park, Unity Grove,
5
     North Hampton, and McDonough Central?
6
                 I believe, yeah, the Unity Grove
7
     and the Grove Park, Park issue was that city
8
     limit thing that's not an intentional split.
9
     I think that line had changed. But, yes,
10
     McDonough Central and North Hampton were the
11
     splits.
12
                 And let me see. North Hampton,
     McDonough Central, and Unity Grove, and Grove
13
14
     Park, it was just a matter of --
                 I think the initial --
15
           Α
16
                 -- lines?
           Q
17
                 -- VTD line, it wasn't a split.
           Α
18
           Q
                 Okay.
19
                 But it may appear to be a split now
           Α
20
     because of the way --
21
           0
                 Okay.
22
                 -- the line falls with the
           Α
23
     geography on the -- with the county.
2.4
                 So there were, there were two split
25
     precincts basically?
```

Electronically signed by Joel Moyer (501-161-376-4513)

1	A Right. There were two precincts
2	that were split for a size population.
3	Q Okay. So now let's look at all the
4	changes that were made between the 2012 and
5	2015 plan, and if you could talk about the
6	process that you went through in terms of
7	making those changes.
8	A I'm just going to
9	Q Why don't we, why don't we keep
10	this
11	A kind of throw it somewhere. I
12	don't know.
13	Q I don't know if we can put it
14	MR. WILL: Underneath?
15	Q underneath? We might actually
16	want to reference it at some point. I don't
17	know.
18	A I'm not sure. I was going to kind
19	of fold some of that in. I don't need that
20	whole side. You can leave it so I can easily
21	get to the purple.
22	MR. WILL: Well, I know how you are
23	about folding. I wasn't sure if we could do
24	that.
25	Q So can you talk about where you

started.

A The same process was for both these districts because the objective was to try and politically help the incumbent for purposes of reelection. So looking at areas that were potentially areas that might help his Republican overall number go up a little bit as well as the fact that -- actually, I think on 105, both of them, the incumbent both, since there was no incumbent when we initially drew the district, both of the incumbents lived pretty far away. For instance, he lived way down here. So knowing where --

Q When you say "down here," just for the purposes of the record --

A Sure.

Q -- can you describe it?

A He lived in the Lowes, near the southwest portion of the Lowes precinct, the bottom of the district. So for him as well as the political aspect was the driveability and the representability of the district being closer to where he is down here from up here, whereas the incumbent in 109 at the time, I believe, lived in the Lake Haven precinct.

So keeping those factors in mind
and knowing where those incumbents lived as
well as looking for what precincts would
impact the political numbers and, as we talked
about, knowing that the Stockbridge area, the
demographics of the Stockbridge area in the
northern part of the county, my initial
thought was to try and do something to change
those precincts for Representative Strickland.

Q Because it would make the, it would make the -- it would perform better if you took those precincts out for Representative Strickland; correct?

A That's correct, yes, it would.

That was the hope and the objective. So in taking those out, I believe the Flippen precinct had a good bit of population in it.

I trying to think, did he have that -- I don't think he had -- yeah, he did not have that before. So that was one area and to counterbalance with these precincts to bring it into this area here as well.

And I think that portion of -yeah, that portion of Hickory Flat on this
side of Highway 42, Highway 42 is a good

```
dividing line through there, so that was why
1
2
     that portion of Hickory Flat was also included
3
     with Flippen to offset some of the population
 4
     figures here as we balance that out.
 5
                 I don't particularly recall the
6
     political demographics of this area, but if I
7
     was to wager a guess, I'd say that it's
8
     probably kind of close in terms of the
9
     political breakdown. I don't know that right
10
     now off the top of my head. You'd have to
     look at your --
11
12
           0
                 Okay.
                 -- all your stuff.
13
           Α
14
           Q
                 Okay.
15
                 As I'm doing that, I'm looking at
16
     it as I go.
17
                 So can we talk about, can we talk
     about that a little bit more for a second?
18
19
           Α
                 Sure.
20
                 So just to be clear in terms of
21
     that part of the county, you removed
22
     Stagecoach and Stockbridge West from the plan;
23
     correct?
2.4
           Α
                 Yes.
25
                 And then you added Flippen or a
           Q
```

1	portion of Flippen?
2	A Portions of Flippen, uh-huh
3	(affirmative).
4	Q And a portion of Hickory Flat;
5	correct?
6	A That's correct. 109 actually
7	had in order for it to be contiguous for
8	109 to take those precincts, obviously, all of
9	Flippen could not move. If it moved, it would
10	make it noncontiguous, so I couldn't do that.
11	But this is the railroad, and this
12	is over to Highway 42, which are significant
13	lines and recognizable lines to define those
14	boundaries. But that would enable 109 to be
15	able to take those areas, which would
16	politically help 111.
17	Q Did you realize in doing this that
18	the portion of Hickory Flat that you put into
19	111 has a much lower minority population
20	percentage than the district the portion of
21	it that remained in 109?
22	A I did not, but I wouldn't have
23	looked at that because I couldn't take any
24	other portions of Hickory Flat and the
25	districts still be contiguous.

Q Okay.

A So then as also through here, he had portions of McDonough Central previously.

If I recall, I believe Representative Rutledge was interested -- I think he had part of McDonough Central before -- no, actually he did not. That was Representative Welch's.

But he was interested in representing a portion of that precinct, so he picked up that precinct. And by keeping this portion with Representative Strickland, it's still contiguous to the McDonough precinct, which he picked up in its entirely and moved in to 111. That was from Representative Welch's district previously, the McDonough precinct was, as a whole.

Q Okay.

A And then let's see. The changes around Hampton and Mt. Carmel were population related, I think, to balance out the numbers that we were picking up once we moved into the McDonough area.

Obviously, if you're picking up, you've got to take out, so you're going to look for where can you take precincts out that

were either not as beneficial to his T-rep

number or that would be -- and also be enough

population to help balance that out.

So by looking around the edges of what, what's there, these precincts, if I recall, Unity Grove he had before. Unity Grove was a good precinct for him politically, so it wasn't beneficial to take that away from him. Adding in a little bit of the Tussahaw precinct, which also performs pretty strongly Republican, was beneficial to his T-rep number.

And then looking for a place to take away from, to balance that out and actually, you know, get the numbers in line, that was why we put this precinct back together in North Hampton because, again, if I can put one back, I try to put one back and not leave a split.

Of course, then that still wasn't enough population to balance it, so I could either have cut in Oakland or cut in Mt. Carmel, and the boundary lines here, there's a large neighborhood and a large -- a good road here that's a recognizable road, so

```
that made the better split there to get the
1
2
     balance right.
3
                 So is it fair to say that the
     Tussahaw -- have I said that correctly?
 4
 5
                 Tussahaw, uh-huh (affirmative).
6
                 -- Tussahaw precinct is not only
7
     predominantly Republican but predominantly
8
     white?
9
                 If you say so. I couldn't tell you
10
     that. I don't know the demographics of every
11
     precinct.
12
                 Now, taking a look, we said that
     with respect to District 111 that there were
13
14
     two precinct splits in the 2012 plan; correct?
15
          Α
                 Correct.
16
                 Now, for 2015, are we -- is there
17
     five split precincts?
                 There would be one -- five, yes.
18
19
                 Okay. So you went from two split
20
     precincts to five split precincts?
21
          Α
                 That appears to be correct.
22
                 And do you know of any other
23
     legislative districts in the plan that have
24
     five split precincts?
25
          Α
                 Oh, I'm sure there are some, but I
```

couldn't tell you which ones. On the whole 1 2 House plan? 3 Uh-huh (affirmative.) Q 4 Α Yeah, I'm sure there are some. 5 Now, I think I recall that, from 6 one of the earlier documents, it reported how 7 many precinct splits there were in the plan as 8 a whole, and there was something like 150 9 split precincts? 10 I don't know. I'd have to go back and look. 11 12 But it would be consistent with 13 whatever was in that report that Maptitude 14 performed; correct? 15 Right. But that, that report then 16 would have been referring to the VTDs, which 17 would have been five years previous, so 18 counties throughout the state who have had 19 changes to their precincts --20 And some counties do actually make 21 changes to their precinct to reduce the splits 22 that a districting might cause, so it might 23 show up as a split now on a VTD report but the 2.4 county has made changes so that that's no 25 longer a split VTD any longer. So I don't

1	have
2	Q Sure.
3	A a way to really give an accurate
4	representation of it once he get several years
5	away from that VTD report.
6	Q I was so going back to, going
7	back to 2011, one of the documents we went
8	through earlier was a report from that
9	Maptitude generated regarding the total number
10	of precinct splits in the entire plan. And
11	back then, that would have back then it
12	would have closely adhered to, because it was
13	so close in time to the census, right
14	A Right.
15	Q to the number of split

precincts?

Α Sure.

And, if anything, it probably would have overstated the number of split precincts since there are some cases where there were changes after the -- after you had sent the census information for the VTDs between that period of time -- between that period of time and when you actually redistricted in 2011? I think I followed that.

232

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

Α

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.4

Yeah. Right. If the county's made changes to their precincts which they -- some did from 2010 moving forward, then those would not be reflected in the report in terms of the number of VTDs splits.

Q But what you were trying to do in 2011 was, where, where there was a change in a precinct after the VTD was created, adhere to what the existing precinct line was more than what the VTD told you?

A Well, a county could do one of two things. They could actually try to reduce the -- reduce their splits by combining the precinct or I guess they could do the opposite. They could actually adjust the split in such a way so it's now aligning with it there. I mean, they do different things. Depends on the county.

Q You know, one of the things I forgot to ask you about with respect, and you might not know the answer to this, but with respect to District 105, there's, there's one precinct, Baycreek G, that has one census block within 105 and the entire rest --

A I know what you're talking about.

```
1
                 -- the entire rest of the precinct
2
     is in 114.
3
                 It's a geographical error with the
 4
     census block and the county. We can't make
5
     our precinct line match it because they put
6
     the precinct boundary on a feature that's not
 7
     a block.
8
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Hold on a second,
9
     Jon. Sorry.
10
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Go off the
11
     record?
12
                 MR. POWERS: Sure.
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off video
13
14
     record at 4:08 p.m.
15
           (Whereupon off-the-record discussions
16
          ensued.)
17
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on video
18
     record.
19
                 MR. CUSTER: Hey, Frank, Bill.
20
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Hey, Bill. Sorry
21
     to be delayed calling in.
22
                 MR. CUSTER: You all still having a
23
     party there?
24
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Yes, we are. Come
25
     on over.
```

```
1
                 MR. CUSTER: Well, you know, I
2
     appreciate it, but I'm just not fit to be seen
3
     outside of my home today.
 4
                 MR. STRICKLAND: All right. We'll
5
     let you keep your distance.
6
                 All right. Oh, we're on the
7
     record?
8
          Α
                 You're on.
9
          0
                 Okay. Sorry about that.
                 I want to move to introduce -- mark
10
11
     another document. Why don't we, why don't we
12
     put the maps all on the bottom for now. I
     might get back to them, and I might not.
13
14
          Α
                 All on the floor?
15
                 Either on the floor or on the
16
     table, whatever works better for you.
17
                 MR. WILL: Put them out of the way.
18
                 MR. GREENBAUM:
                                 Okay.
19
           (Whereupon a document was identified
20
          as Plaintiff's Exhibit 47.)
21
          Q
                 I now want to, your pile of
22
     documents, not that pile --
23
          Α
                 I'll stick that back out of the way
24
                 -- but the GA, the GA pile.
25
     want to mark as 47 GA 1 and 2.
```

```
MR. STRICKLAND: Pages 1 and 2?
1
2
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Pages 1 and 2, the
3
     first two.
 4
                 MR. STRICKLAND: We must have
5
     pulled those off.
6
                 MR. GREENBAUM: It's right in front
7
     of you.
8
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Oh, yeah, I see.
9
     Got it.
10
          0
                 All right. So this is 47. And
     take a look at it, Ms. Wright. My first
11
12
     question for you will be: Do you recognize
13
     this document?
14
          Α
                 I recognize it. I've seen it
15
     before.
16
                 Do you know what the document is?
17
                 It is a summary of what was done, I
     believe, in House Bill 56 -- or 566.
18
19
                 Okay. Were you involved at all in
20
     the creation of this document?
21
          Α
                 No.
22
                 Did you review it prior to it being
          Q
23
     released?
24
                 No.
          Α
25
          Q
                 Now, I want to call your attention
```

to the last bullet point, which is on page 1 2 And it talks about the district line 3 changes that are being made for a variety of 4 reasons, and in looking --5 And it gives three reasons: 6 eliminating split precinct, reuniting a 7 neighborhood or a community of interest, or 8 addressing a technical -- or addressing 9 technical concerns. 10 With respect to 105, it did 11 eliminate a split precinct, but it also 12 created a new split precinct; correct? 13 Yes. Α 14 And with respect to 111, it 15 actually added split precincts from two to 16 five; correct? 17 Α Yes. Now, with respect to 105 or 111, 18 19 was there any instance of a reuniting a 20 neighborhood or community of interest? 21 I'm not aware. Α 22 And then with respect to 105 and Q 23 111, was the main purpose to address technical 2.4 concerns? 25 Α Depends on what you define as a

Electronically signed by Joel Moyer (501-161-376-4513)

technical concern.

Q Well, what do you define as a technical concern?

A Well, I would offer that I don't believe this is the entire, comprehensive list of reasons why you might make a district change. But I would define a technical concern as maybe you've got a, a potential voter anonymity issue because of the way that a precinct was split, or you find a mistake in terms of trying to align to a precinct line or a city line or something that your objective was that was causing a problem for the county elections office in terms of their work. Those would be what I would define as a technical concern.

A noncontiguous piece is usually covered in the language, but it might be something you would want to correct just for the sake of the block assignment report. So those are the kind of things that I would think of as a technical concern.

Q And that doesn't really apply -- I understand that there might have been a couple of areas where you made precincts whole that

```
were small pieces of 111, but technical
1
2
     concerns didn't drive the changes to 111 --
3
                 No.
           Α
 4
                 -- did they?
 5
                 No.
           Α
6
           (Whereupon a document was identified
7
           as Plaintiff's Exhibit 48.)
8
                 Okay. All right. Back to the GA
9
     documents. And I want to go to GA-6 through 8
10
     and mark that as Exhibit 48.
11
                 Ms. Wright, when were you first
12
     aware that there was consideration of
     redistricting again in the 2017 legislative
13
14
     session?
15
                 I'm not sure when the specific date
16
     would have been.
17
                 Do you recognize Exhibit 48?
18
           Α
                 I've seen it.
19
                 Okay. And this -- there are two --
20
     it consists of two emails from the morning of
     December 14th, 2006 [sic]; correct?
21
22
          Α
                 Yes.
23
                 And who is Caulder Harvill-Childs,
           Q
24
                 He is a staff person who works for
25
     the majority leader in the house, I believe,
```

```
1
     or it may be the caucus chairman. One or the
2
     other.
3
                 Okay. And Mr. Harvill-Childs in
 4
     his email, which is the, on the next page,
5
     second page, in the first paragraph, he
6
     mentions a Gerald. Do you know who Gerald is?
7
                 I don't know.
           Α
8
           Q
                 Okay. And in the second paragraph,
9
     he mentions Spiro.
10
          Α
                 Spiro.
                 Spiro. I'll eventually get that
11
           Q
12
             And Spiro is -- can you remind me who
13
     Spiro is?
14
          Α
                 He's the chief of staff to the
15
     speaker.
16
                 Okay. So he says that Spiro has
           Q
17
     deputized him to oversee a project for the
18
     2017 session and that he'd like for him and
19
     Mr. Harvill-Childs and Mr. O'Connor to meet to
20
     talk about redistricting in 2017; correct?
                 It's on the email.
21
           Α
22
                 Okay. Were you aware of this at
           Q
23
     the time?
24
                 No, I was not.
           Α
25
                 And the email also references
           Q
```

Electronically signed by Joel Moyer (501-161-376-4513)

```
making recommendations for Cobb, Gwinnett,
1
2
     Henry County, referencing Representative
3
     Strickland's district, and one other district
 4
     I can't pronounce that name. Chokis?
 5
          Α
                 Chokis.
6
                 Chokis. Generally the
7
     battlegrounds we always deal with.
                 Were you aware that Mr. O'Connor
8
9
     had been tasked to make recommendations for
10
     these areas?
11
                He was not tasked by me, so, no, I
12
     was not aware of that.
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
13
14
          Plaintiff's Exhibit 49.)
15
                 Okay. All right. I want to move
16
     on to 20 -- what will be the next document,
17
     49, and that is GA 9 through 13.
18
                 Okay. And this is part of the same
19
     email trail that we were talking about, but if
20
     you look at, I think, the top of page three,
21
     we get some -- we get Mr. O'Connor's initial
22
     response; is that correct? It starts with,
23
     "Hi, Caulder, I'm in all next week except
     Friday the 23rd."
2.4
25
          Α
                 Okay. That appears to be his
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1 response.

Q Okay. Do you -- so the first sort of dash discusses meeting with Representative Golick. Who is Representative Golick?

- A A member of the House.
- O In what district?

A I'm not a hundred percent sure of his district number. I might say 40, but I'm not sure if that's accurate.

Q Now, in the second bullet point referring to Representative Strickland, and in that he says he's not sure there's much more that we can do without saying -- without, say, endangering Representatives Rutledge and Welch. Representative Rutledge won reelection with 58 percent this time, but if you take from him to give to Brian, you could risk losing both seats.

Do you agree, disagree, or have no opinion in terms of that statement?

A Again, this is his analysis, but having known a little bit about that, those numbers, I would say that's probably a fair statement.

Q Okay. And then in the next

242

1 paragraph he talks about Gwinnett being a 2 tough call county because there were a number 3 of marginal house seats and that the most 4 heavily part of Gwinnett is to the far end of 5 the county like 85 past the Mall of Georgia. 6 Where's the Mall of Georgia? 7 I'm not sure. Α 8 Okay. And he mentions the fact 0 9 that Clinton won the seats of four Republican 10 state reps, and they're all close together. Do you know whether that's true? 11 12 We looked at this data a fairly 13 good bit, political data in the presidential 14 race. And I'm not a hundred percent certain, 15 but I would lean to think that this is 16 correct. 17 That, that trying to make any 18 changes to Gwinnett might actually have a 19 negative ramification for Republicans? 20 I was agreeing with what you 21 said, that Clinton won those seats. 22 Oh, okay. Do you agree with his 0 23 point that, if you try to make more seats safe 2.4 that -- for Republicans, you might end up

243

fc988dc5-9c7d-4a88-adea-e0518e25bb06

25

losing seats?

1	A	I'm	sure	that's	а	possibility.
---	---	-----	------	--------	---	--------------

Q All right. And then there's a response from Mr. Harvill-Childs at the bottom of the second page.

And you see his -- you see his response that "with Gwinnett," he wants to know, "what are our best chances at creating safer seats long-term even if one or two are sacrificed." Do you see that?

A I do see that.

Q And do you see -- has there been any effort to actually do that in Gwinnett?

A By effort, what do you mean?

Q Effort to create some additional safe seats by potentially sacrificing other seats?

A I don't recall for the 2017, the bill, if it's what you're referencing that was done, there was no districts in Gwinnett that were included on that, so if that's how you would define effort, then there wasn't any effort.

Q Okay. And then I'm going to the next part of the email chain further up on page two with Mr. O'Connor's response. He

```
1
     types a lot faster than I did. He got a lot
2
     out there in four minutes, to both think and
3
     type.
 4
                As I said, Dan's very unique.
 5
                 And he mentions that someone
6
     mentioned to him that he might run for
 7
     Jeffares' seat when he retires?
8
          Α
                 That's Senator Jeffares.
9
                 Jeffares. So I guess he was going
10
     so fast that, did he misspell?
11
          Α
                 No.
12
                 Is that how it's spelled?
          0
13
          Α
                 That how it's spelled.
14
                 Okay. Senator Jeffares. Do you
15
     know one way or another in fact whether
16
     Representative Strickland intends to run for
     Senator Jeffares' seat?
17
18
                 Yes, he does. He's already made
19
     that public.
20
                 Okay.
21
                 Because Senator Jeffares is running
22
     for lieutenant governor, so that seat will be
23
     an open seat.
2.4
                 Okay. Now, the last sentence says
25
     that -- O'Connor says he hasn't calculated the
```

Electronically signed by Joel Moyer (501-161-376-4513)

Trump/Clinton numbers yet but will do so, and 1 2 then he says in parens, his district is hard 3 to calculate for president because there are 4 so many split precincts in the district. 5 Henry has a large -- lot of large precincts. 6 And that's consistent with what we 7 saw earlier, that there are five split 8 precincts in the district? 9 Right. And as we discussed with Α 10 the political data, the political data is only 11 completely accurate to the whole precinct 12 level, so in terms of trying to calculate 13 political data, it's going to be an estimate 14 once you go into those split precincts. 15 Now, the -- further up in the 16 chain, I'm now on the first page, it's 17 O'Connor's January 5th, 2017, email, and it

chain, I'm now on the first page, it's O'Connor's January 5th, 2017, email, and it says, in the middle of the email, there's a sentence that says, "Traditionally, when we have done midterm redistricting, it has been consensual. Member A wants to give a precinct to Member B, and if member B agrees, the whole House votes for it. But that has been more by committee rule, certainly not by statute."

Is this consistent with your

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	understanding of the history in Georgia?
2	A Yes. That midterm redistricting
3	has been consensual? Is that what you're
4	asking?
5	Q Yes.
6	A Yes.
7	Q Now, in two thousand what do you
8	mean by consensual?
9	A When a member wants to make a
- 0	change to their district, the other person who
1	it affects also has to agree to it. That's
2	what that means. Or all of the members
_3	affected have to agree to it, or there's no
4	changes made.
.5	Q It doesn't mean consensual of the
6	body as a whole, just as to those members?
_7	A Just the affected members of the
8 .	district, that's correct.
9	(Whereupon a document was identified as
20	Plaintiff's Exhibit 50.)
21	Q Okay. Thank you.
22	All right. And then I want to move
23	on to GA 77 and 78, and we'll mark that as
24	Exhibit 50.
25	Ms. Wright, do you recognize this

1	email that's in Exhibit 50?
2	A I have seen it before.
3	Q Okay. Do you recall Mr. O'Connor
4	sending this email to you and you receiving
5	it?
6	A Yes, I do, sort of. I mean, it's
7	in the middle of session, but yes.
8	Q Okay. Do you recall why
9	Mr. O'Connor was specifically emailing you
10	about Districts 105 and 111?
11	A I recall that I asked him something
12	about one or the other districts or both, I
13	don't remember what, and this was his
14	response. But I don't remember what that
15	question initially was.
16	Q Do you have any idea why you were
17	curious about Districts 105 and 111?
18	A I don't know. Could have been
19	something someone asked me. I don't know.
20	Q Okay. And then toward the bottom,
21	under Other General Comments, with respect to
22	Gwinnett, it says, "Comparison of total
23	turnout, black, white, et cetera, from SOS
24	Credit For Voting reports."
25	Do you know why he's talking about

1 that?

A I, I don't know why he's talking about that.

Q Okay. And it shows that between 2012 and 2016 the percentage of voters that were white in Gwinnett went down from 58.2 percent to 52.3 percent. Were you aware of that?

A I see it on this document, so at the time I read this, I would have been.

Q Okay. And then moving on to the next page, do you see that it compares turnout in Henry County and that Henry County went from 52.2 percent white in 2012 to 47.9 percent white in 2016?

A Yes, I see that here.

Q Okay. Was there any consideration at this time in terms of making changes to Districts 105 and/or District 111?

A I think from the previous email that you referenced, you saw that there was consideration of making anything they could do in Gwinnett, if there was a possibility of doing anything there, which would have included 105 as that's part of Gwinnett

1	County.
2	111, I think they had looked at, as
3	he mentioned in the previous email, as well.
4	Was there any changes that could be made to
5	politically help Strickland any further, so
6	there was that consideration there as well.
7	(Whereupon a document was identified
8	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 51.)
9	Q Okay. I want to then mark as the
10	next document Exhibit 51, and it's GA 14
11	through 25.
12	All right. And it's an email from
13	Mr. O'Connor to Mr. Efstration; correct?
14	A Uh-huh, yes.
15	Q And I think we talked about earlier
16	that Representative Efstration represents
17	District 104?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And in the second paragraph, it
20	talks about the "Gwinnett delegation is
21	meeting Thursday afternoon and discuss with
22	Brett Harrell some possible changes, taking a
23	look at Gwinnett." Who's Brett Harrell?
24	A He's a rep from Gwinnett County.
25	Q A Republican rep?

2.4

Q And this mentions a meeting of the Gwinnett delegation. Are you aware as to whether that meeting were all the reps from Gwinnett or just the Republicans reps from Gwinnett?

A I don't think it was all of the reps. I think it -- I don't even think it was all of the Republican reps. I think it was a portion of the Republican delegation.

Q Now, in the middle of the paragraph, it's referring to David Cassis, I believe?

A Yes.

Q And it says, "David also asked me other day if we could take this up in 2019, by which time presumably the Gwinnett lawsuits would be settled one way or the other, and of course we could do so in 2019."

What's he referring to in terms of the Gwinnett lawsuits.

A I assume he means the pending case related to the School Board and County

Commission. I do not know why that would be relevant to them taking up the maps though.

1	Q Okay. And then the next paragraph
2	references Chairman Caldwell. Who is Chairman
3	Caldwell?
4	A He's the chairman of the House
5	Reapportionment Committee now.
6	Q And it talks about getting moving
7	by next week in terms of legislation. And now
8	we're in February of 2017. Are you at this
9	point working on a reapportionment plan for
10	2017?
11	A I don't recall dates on when things
12	were worked on related to stuff that happened
13	during this time.
14	(Whereupon a document was identified as
15	Plaintiff's Exhibit 52.)
16	Q Okay. Then I want to move to
17	Exhibit 52, and that is going to be GA 28 and
18	29. And, Ms. Wright, who is Jan Jones?
19	A She is a representative in the
20	House and also the speaker pro tem.
21	Q And what role did she have with
22	respect to the proposed redistricting in 2017?
23	A She had an exchange with a member
24	she wanted to make.
25	Q Other than that exchange, anything

```
1
     else?
2
                 Not that I'm aware of.
3
                 Do you know why Mr. O'Connor was
           Q
 4
     listing a number of the districts in Gwinnett?
5
                 I do not.
           Α
                 And also listing percentages for
6
7
     other Republican-held districts?
8
                 I don't know. As I told you
9
     before, Dan loves his data, and he loves to
10
     tell it to people. He loves all the data
11
     everywhere.
12
                 Okay.
                 And he likes to share it, so.
13
           Α
14
           (Whereupon a document was identified
           as Plaintiff's Exhibit 53.)
15
16
                 All right. All right. So next
17
     want to go to GA 92 to 94, and we'll call that
18
     53.
19
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Can you say those
20
     GA numbers again?
21
                 MR. GREENBAUM: 92 and 93 and 94.
22
     92 to 94.
23
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Got it.
2.4
                 So what is Exhibit 53?
           Q
25
           Α
                 This is an email.
```

1	Q	From Mr. O'Connor to yourself?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And it's dated March 16th?
4	А	Right.
5	Q	Now, this references a the
6	second and	third page are draft minutes of a
7	meeting of	the Reapportionment Committee on
8	March 1st,	2017, and it talks about
9	considerin	g HB 515; correct?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	So prior at some point prior to
12	March 1st,	you would have created a proposed
13	redistrict	ing plan for 2017; correct?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And who, who, who was it that you
16	were coord:	inating with, with respect to the
17	2017 plan?	
18	А	As far as putting together?
19	Q	Yes. Who had, who whose
20	information	n who were you seeking input from
21	in terms of	f creating that plan?
22	А	Well, as we've done before, members
23	who had war	nted to submit a change to be
24	considered	met with staff in our office or
25	myself and	worked on a map, took it to the

1	chairman. Chairman Caldwell made a decision
2	to what's going to go into the map between he
3	and Spiro.
4	Once those decisions were made of
5	what's going to be input into the map, then
6	the map was pieced together.
7	(Whereupon a document was identified as
8	Plaintiff's Exhibit 54.)
9	Q I want to mark as Exhibit 54 a
10	two-page document, and the first page is
11	entitled Proposed Georgia House Districts, and
12	it's dated 2017.
13	MR. STRICKLAND: I missed that
14	number. Sorry.
15	MR. GREENBAUM: No numbers.
16	MR. STRICKLAND: No numbers.
17	Q Ms. Wright, do you recognize this
18	document?
19	A I do, but this is not a document I
20	would have created. This is not fully drawn
21	and printed.
22	Q Do you know who created it?
23	A I don't because this map would have
24	been shown in its entirety and there would be
25	detail on this.

Electronically signed by Joel Moyer (501-161-376-4513)

```
1
                 Oh, let me give you this --
           Q
2
           Α
                 Yeah, that would be better.
3
                 Oh, no. I don't know what --
           Q
                 That looks a little better.
 4
           Α
5
                 I don't know what you got.
           Q
6
           Α
                 I don't either.
 7
                 We'll replace the exhibit number.
           Q
                 MR. STRICKLAND: So we're not using
8
9
     this?
10
                 MR. GREENBAUM: No.
11
                 THE WITNESS: You got the bad one
12
     too?
13
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Wait. That one's
14
     bad too?
15
                 THE WITNESS: His is.
16
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Oh. I don't know
17
     what --
                 THE WITNESS: And his is too.
18
19
                 MR. GREENBAUM: We had an issue
20
     with the coping service. So --
21
                 THE WITNESS:
                               That's right.
22
                 Okay. So the one in front of you
           Q
23
     that we're now calling 54 is correct?
24
                 Yes.
           Α
25
           Q
                 And what is Exhibit 54, Ms. Wright?
```

1	A This is the map that was proposed
2	in 2017 session.
3	Q Okay. And did you create
4	Exhibit 54?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. And Exhibit 54 doesn't
7	include any proposed changes for Gwinnett
8	County; correct?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q It does include proposed changes
11	for District 111 in Henry County; correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And that district it was going
14	to create a change between District 73 and
15	District 111; correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And what was the substance of the
18	change between District 73 and District 111?
19	A In 111, we put a precinct back
20	together and split a different precinct. The
21	representative had had a request from some
22	people in that area that he knew and had grown
23	up around, and they were unhappy that they
24	weren't able to vote for him. He wasn't on
25	their ballot. And so he wanted to see if that

1	would be something we could do.
2	Q And what district did you split,
3	and what district did you put back together?
4	A We put Mt. Carmel back together,
5	and we split a portion of South Hampton.
6	Q And so in the new plan, where did
7	Mt. Carmel end up?
8	A It's in District 73.
9	Q Okay. So Mt. Carmel went to 73,
0 .	and 111 took on a portion of South Hampton?
1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. Do you know how that changed
_3	the performance of District 111 for a
4	Republican candidate?
_5	A If I recall, it was about one
6	percent maybe.
_7	Q One percent better or one percent
8 .	worse?
9	A One percent better for
20	Representative Strickland.
21	Q Okay. And do you recall
22	Representative Strickland having a close
23	election in 2016?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you recall Representative

```
1
     Strickland performing worse in -- than he did
2
     in 2014 with respect to some of the precincts
     that were not affected between 2014 and 2016?
3
 4
           Α
                 Can you say that again?
 5
                 Yes.
                       So there were a number of
           0
6
     changes in District 111 and to -- in 2015;
 7
     correct?
8
           Α
                 Yes, in '15.
9
                 But there were some precincts that
10
     were not changed at all in 2015; correct?
                 Yes.
11
           Α
12
                 Did you look at all as to how
13
     Representative Strickland performed in those
14
     unchanged precincts in '16 as compared to '14?
15
                 I don't recall looking at it
           Α
16
     precinct by precinct, the data that way.
17
                 Now, Mr. O'Connor had been tasked
18
     by the chief of staff for the speaker to work
19
     on the redistricting; correct?
20
           Α
                 At that point in time, yes.
21
           0
                 Was there a certain point in time
22
     where things changed?
23
           Α
                 Yes.
2.4
                 When did that happen?
           0
25
           Α
                 When I found out about it.
```

1	Q	Okay.
2	A	I don't know when that was exactly
3	though.	
4	Q	Okay. And when did that happen?
5	A	I don't know when that was exactly.
6	Q	Sorry. All right. Now, Mr.,
7	Mr. O'Conno	or, the second and third pages of
8	Exhibit 53	referenced minutes of or draft
9	minutes of	the meeting of the House
0 ـ	Reapportion	nment Committee on March 1st;
1	correct?	
_2	А	Yes.
.3	Q	And did you attend that meeting?
4	А	Yes.
. 5	Q	Okay. Now, Representative the
-6	minutes re	flect that Representative Scott
_7	expressed o	concern about the changes to
8 .	Districts	73 and 111 in Henry County. Do you
_9	recall Rep	resentative Scott expressing
20	concerns?	
21	А	I honestly do not.
22	Q	Okay. Now, he said that he had
23	sent an ema	ail to Ms. Miller the day after the
24	March 1 mee	eting with a draft summary; correct?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q Does the fact that he sent the
2	minutes the day after the meeting itself lend
3	credibility to the fact that Representative
4	Scott expressed concern about changes to
5	Districts 73 and 111?
6	A I'm, I'm really not sure what
7	the
8	Q Yeah.
9	A what you're trying to get to.
10	Q What I'm trying to get yeah.
11	I'm not trying to I'm trying to get at the
12	fact that he, that he sent the notes the day
13	after.
14	A Well, the meeting was held at
15	5:00 p.m., so that would be probably why that
16	happened pretty quick the first thing the next
17	morning.
18	Q The first thing the next morning.
19	So the fact that he did it pretty quickly,
20	does that make it more likely that the minutes
21	are accurate in terms of portraying what
22	happened?
23	A Dan is pretty meticulous with his
24	notes and details, so I would presume that
2.5	this is an accurate summary.

1	I did not know Dan took notes on
2	minutes on meetings until after I found this
3	out. I think that's a carryover from when he
4	worked for the committee as a research
5	analyst. That's what he used to do, so he's
6	always just continued to do that, but I did
7	not know that he did that, and now I do.
8	Q You did as of March 16th?
9	A Yes.
10	Q All right. Now, after this House
11	meeting, in fact, there was a revised plan
12	that, that was considered by the House;
13	correct, a modified plan?
14	A Can you start over again?
15	Q Sure.
16	A I'm not sure I heard all of it.
17	Q Yes. What I wanted to get at: Was
18	at a certain point in time, the House
19	considered a second plan in 2017; correct?
20	A The House considered a second plan?
21	Q Yes. Or the Senate the Assembly
22	considered a second plan; correct?
23	A The House passed this version.
24	When it got to the Senate, I believe there was
25	a sub in the Senate committee that was

Electronically signed by Joel Moyer (501-161-376-4513)

```
different from this version, I think.
1
                                              Is that
2
     what you're getting at?
3
                 Yes.
          Q
 4
          Α
                 Okay.
 5
                 That there was a second version.
          0
6
          Α
                 I think that's how it went down.
 7
                 Okay. And in that second version,
8
     the changes between 73 and 111 did not appear;
9
     correct?
10
                 Yes -- I mean, yes. Yes, they did
11
     not appear.
12
                 And do you know why that change was
13
     taken out?
14
                 I think there were, there were
15
     those two districts removed as well as the two
16
     in this area here between Cobb and Fulton were
17
     also removed. There was a lot of comment, and
18
     I guess the members decided that it wasn't, it
19
     wasn't something they wanted to pursue because
20
     of that, so they chose to not make any changes
21
     and remove their portions of the districts.
22
                 There was a lot of comment because
          Q
23
     people were critical of the changes between 73
2.4
     and 111?
25
          Α
                 Well, I think they were critical of
```

1	all of them, doing it at all, but, yes, I
2	mean, I think that that was part of it, yeah.
3	Those districts, those districts, you know I
4	think people just didn't want to see anything
5	done at that point anyhow.
6	Q But the House passed it regardless;
7	correct?
8	A Yes, the House had passed it
9	already. And then, like I said, this was in
0 ـ	the Senate committee when they sent the bill
1	in the committee there.
_2	Q Was there concern expressed with
.3	respect to 111 that by making these changes it
4	would be more difficult for an
5	African-American candidate to get elected to
-6	that district?
_7	A I don't recall that being
8 .	specifically said, to me anyway.
_9	Q Okay. What do you recall being
20	said about the changes between 73 and 111?
21	A Specifically, I don't recall what
22	comments were made about any specific
23	district. I think more, more than anything
24	people just didn't want to see the map being

changed again in 2017.

25

```
1
           (Whereupon a document was identified as
2
          Plaintiff's Exhibit 55.)
3
                 Okay. I want to move on to the
 4
     next exhibit which I think is 55, and that's
5
     GA 86 to 90.
6
                 MR. STRICKLAND: What was 54?
7
                 MR. GREENBAUM: 54 was --
8
                 MR. WILL: The map.
9
                 MR. GREENBAUM: -- this messed up
10
     one.
11
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Okay. Got it.
12
                 MR. WILL: And page numbers again,
13
     tell me.
14
                 MR. GREENBAUM: 86 to 90.
15
                 MR. WILL: Thank you.
16
                 Okay. Ms. Wright, do you recognize
          Q
17
     this document?
18
          Α
                 Yes.
19
                 What is it?
          0
20
                 It's a series of emails that began
21
     with Dan and an individual, and then at some
22
     point it began -- it was forwarded to me to
23
     respond to.
24
                 Okay. And do you know who
25
     Ms. Cochard is?
```

1	A I do not.
2	Q Okay. And this actually gives the
3	history that you were talking about a couple
4	of minutes ago, what was in the initial House
5	bill and then what happened in the Senate;
6	correct?
7	A I think it
8	Q I'm looking
9	A I know what Dan's portion
10	Q at the first email on pages
11	A Okay.
12	Q four and five.
13	A Let me go back to that. I don't
14	know what that says.
15	Apparently, the initial email went
16	to Deborah Miller. I didn't know that, or I
17	didn't remember that.
18	Yes, that does appear to describe
19	what I just said about that bill.
20	(Whereupon a document was identified as
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 56.)
22	Q Okay. All right. And then I'm
23	going to mark as Exhibit 56, GA 40 to 59.
24	(Whereupon off-the-record discussions
25	ensued.)

```
1
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Can we go off the
2
     record for just a second?
3
                 MR. GREENBAUM:
                                 Sure.
 4
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off video
5
     record.
6
           (Proceedings in recess, 4:51 p.m. to
7
          4:59 p.m.)
8
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back
     on video record at 4:59 p.m. This is the
9
10
     beginning of file number six.
11
                 All right. Ms. Wright, let's go
12
     back to Exhibit 56 for a couple of minutes.
13
     It's the document that you got just before we
14
     broke.
15
                 Okay.
          Α
16
                 And what is Exhibit 56?
          Q
17
                 This is an email of the 2012 map
18
     packet, what was adopted in '12, and then a
19
     map of the 2015 Henry County portion that was
20
     sent to Representative Welch.
21
                 And do you know why Representative
22
     Welch wanted this information?
23
                 I do not know that.
          Α
24
                 Okay. In your office, do you have
25
     any standard operating procedures with respect
```

```
to email and file retention?
1
2
           Α
                 As -- no, I guess.
3
           Q
                 No?
 4
           Α
                 No.
 5
                 Do you have any procedures that you
6
     yourself follow in terms of email retention?
7
                 I archive emails. I delete junk.
8
     And it depends on -- I don't know. I don't
9
     archive often enough probably, but I do it by
10
     hand, so I don't do it -- I don't let it auto
     archive, which I probably should, but I don't.
11
12
                 And so do you delete any emails
13
     that contain any substantive information
14
     about, for example, plans that you're working
15
     on?
16
           Α
                 No.
17
                 And when you archive them, do you
18
     archive them into a particular folder?
19
                 I don't know where it goes. I
           Α
20
     just --
21
           Q
                 Okay.
22
           Α
                 -- just do it.
23
                 You just, it archives as a group
           Q
24
     of --
25
           Α
                 Yeah.
```

```
1
                 -- emails?
           0
2
                 The folder, yeah, set it up in an
3
     archive folder that, from what I understand
 4
     is, saved off of that network somewhere.
 5
           Q
                 Okay.
6
                 I don't know.
7
                 And in coming up with, you and your
8
     staff, in terms of responding to the document
9
     request, you went through the archives?
10
          Α
                 Yes.
11
           Q
                 Okay. I want to go back -- do you
12
     know why Representative Welch wanted the
     information that is contained in Exhibit 56?
13
14
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Asked and
15
     answered.
16
                 MR. WILL: Asked and answered.
17
           Q
                 Okay.
18
           Α
                 Should I answer it again?
19
                 MR. WILL: Yes.
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Go ahead.
20
21
          Α
                 No, I don't know.
22
                 Okay. All right. So I want to now
           Q
23
     go back to the Henry County 2015 plan, and I
24
     want to ask you about District 109.
25
           Α
                 Uh-huh (affirmative).
```

```
In, in your view, is District 109
1
2
     compact?
3
                 For the most part.
           Α
 4
           0
                 Where, where would you consider it
5
     not to be compact?
6
                 Well, compact is hard to define,
7
     but the majority of the district is here --
8
           Q
                 Can you describe --
9
                 -- and have this piece --
10
           0
                 -- what "here" is?
                 The majority of the district
11
           Α
12
     stretches from the Hickory Flat and Lake Haven
13
     precincts into Newton County and up into the
14
     corner of Rockdale County around, kind of
15
     centered around the East Lake and Kelleytown
16
     areas, and then stretches now further up into
17
     some of Stockbridge. But Stockbridge is a
18
     very large city, so it has some of Stockbridge
19
     in this area. This area down here is also
20
     Stockbridge but so is this out here.
21
           0
                 So do you have a sense, from end to
22
     end from, let's say, the edge of where
23
     Stagecoach is to where Mt. Bethel is, how many
2.4
     miles that is?
25
           Α
                 I do not.
```

1	Q Okay. And then with respect to
2	111, would you consider 111 to be compact?
3	A 111 is more compact than it had
4	previously been.
5	Q Do you consider it to be compact
6	now?
7	A It's more compact than it was
8	previously.
9	Q So relatively speaking, it's more
10	compact than it was before, but would you, but
11	would you consider it to be
12	A Whichever district had these
13	precincts that were here was going to be a
14	little bit less compact than it had been. I
15	think that this district running more this
16	way, that was also a really long district in
17	size.
18	But, you know, this one going that
19	way, east to west versus north to south,
20	either way, they're going to be large
21	districts in size. Henry County is very large
22	geographic county. Precincts are large.
23	Q Would it be fair to say that
24	District 111 runs from the northwest part of
25	the county to the southeast part of the

1	county?
2	A Oh, I wouldn't say that. The
3	northwest part of the county is up there at
4	the very top under the H, so it's not nearly
5	as far north as the county stretches.
6	Q But relative, relatively speaking,
7	it's more north than several of the districts
8	in the county; correct?
9	A You mean 111 is located further
_ 0	north than other districts in the county?
.1	Q Yes.
_2	A A portion of it stretches further
_3	north than some of the other districts, but
4	those districts do not are not centered in
. 5	the same location that that district is.
-6	Q Okay. It goes all the way to the
_7	western boundary of the county; correct?
- 8	A Yes.
_9	Q That Pates Creeks is in the western
20	boundary?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And it goes all the way to the
23	southeastern portion of the county too;
24	correct?
25	A Yes. It goes to Locust Grove area.

Q Okay. So you would say that 111 is more compact in 2015 than it was in 2012, but I didn't get a clear answer as to whether you consider it to be compact in 2015.

A I mean, compact, there's not an easy way to define compactness to me. Could it be more compact? Possibly. But when you take into account other factors as you have to consider and that we were considering for the drawing of the district, I would say it's as compact as it can be and also fit with the criteria that were given.

Q Okay. And as we mentioned before, it's the only one of the eight legislative districts in Henry County that is entirely in the county; correct?

A That is correct.

Q That, that the other districts either run to the -- it sort of creates, it sort of creates a diagonal across the county, wouldn't you say?

A 111?

Q 111.

A I don't -- I guess you could say it's a diagonal. This is I-75, so it sort of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

parallels to the interstate that runs through it.

Q And 175 -- and I-75 goes from the northwest corner to basically the southeast corner; correct?

A I'd say it's in the middle of the western boundary, you got a good distance further up, but it comes in here, and Clayton County comes across the line here and then runs down.

Q So the north edge of the county --

A I have really short arms. If I can point with this, the northern edge of the county is all the way at the very top up here, those little parts up there. So your District 76 takes in the very most northern precincts that are in Henry County.

O Oh, I see.

A District 90 comes in here and takes in a large area that's in the northern -- this is also taking part of Stockbridge, which is somewhat in the northern area, even though Stockbridge is annexed all the way down into here, but --

Q Okay.

274

A But these precincts here are kind
of in between all of that. As I had mentioned
before, we didn't both District 109 and 111
had brand new incumbents that were elected in
2012 when the districts had just been drawn.
Neither of them had served in the House
before.

So knowing the incum -- where the incumbent is and their ability to reach the district, you know, that is, that is also a factor that was -- I was aware of, knowing that this incumbent at the time lived here and this one lived somewhere in here.

Q Is there a reason why part of McDonough is in District 111 but not Westside?

A Westside was in 1 -- 110 on the original map in '12 down there.

Q Yeah. I'm just curious, even back in 2012, I mean, McDonough kind of sticks out to the east whereas Westside, going back to your point --

A If you look at the previous map, it didn't quite do that, but if I recall,
Westside is more a Democratically leaning
precinct which would not have been helpful to

```
the 111, but it had been in 110 before when it
1
2
     looked like that.
3
                 Okay. So one of -- so Westside in
           Q
 4
     both maps --
5
           Α
                 Was in 110.
6
                 -- was not --
7
                 It was not moved.
           Α
8
           Q
                 -- was in 110?
9
           Α
                 That's correct.
10
           0
                 And if Westside had been in 111, it
     would make 111 less Republican?
11
12
                 Yes, I think so.
           Α
                 Would it also make 111 less or more
13
           0
14
     black?
15
                 Possibly so.
           Α
16
                 Let me ask the question again
           Q
17
     because it's going to come out --
18
           Α
                 I would have to look.
19
                 -- imprecise in the transcript.
           Q
20
                 Would it also make 111 more black?
21
           Α
                 Would Westside being in 111 make
22
     111 more black?
23
           Q
                 Yes.
24
                 I'd have to look at the
25
     demographics of the Westside precinct. I
```

```
don't know that off the top of my head, but I
1
2
     would think that there are -- there's a good
     chance that that's the case.
3
                MR. POWERS: That was it.
 4
5
                MR. GREENBAUM: All right.
                                             No
6
     further questions at this time.
7
                MR. STRICKLAND: When you say at
8
     this time, do you -- you may not have used all
9
     your time. Do you anticipate any further
10
     deposition testimony from this witness?
                MR. WILL: Aria, are you there?
11
                MS. BRANCH: Yes, I'm here.
12
13
             I didn't hear the question.
     sorrv.
14
                MR. WILL: The question was: Do you
15
     have any questions?
16
                MS. BRANCH: I have a -- I can, I
17
     can ask a couple of questions. They're going
18
     to be based on the 2011 map as amended by House
19
     Bill 566.
20
                 And, I'm sorry, I'll introduce
     myself before I just ask a few short questions.
21
22
     I'm Aria Branch, counsel for the Thompson
23
     Plaintiff, and I'm from Perkins Coie in
24
     Washington DC.
25
```

EXAMINATION

BY MS. BRANCH:

Q One question I have is whether or not there was any discussion about creating any additional majority-black districts in the 2011 map?

A When you refer to the 2011 map, you mean the first redraw following the census?

Q Correct.

A So when we, when we drew that map, we applied the new census data to our existing House districts, and although the number of majority-minority districts at that time was inclusive of districts whose deviations were not balanced, we made every effort to create at least that many majority-minority districts, and we were successfully able to do so.

And because you use the same 2010 census data to redraw, no, there has not been any further discussion of creating an additional district because we would be using the same data that we used previously.

And along that same line, midterm redistricting that we've done, as we discussed, is normally a consensual process between the

1 incumbents, so to create a new open seat would 2 require two incumbents to willingly choose to 3 say, let's draw a new district, and I'll be paired with this other incumbent and give up my 4 5 seat in order to create an open seat, and that 6 has not happened as far as I'm aware. 7 Did the 2011 map have the same number of majority-minority districts as the 8 9 2000 map, House of Representative districts? 10 As far as the 2000, you mean the map that was being used in the year 2000? 11 12 Yeah. So the map immediately 13 preceding the 2011 map, did that have, you 14 know, as amended or however, did that have the 15 same number of majority-minority districts as 16 the map that was created in 2011, which was the 17 map immediately preceding the 2010 census? 18 I would want to go back and 19 double-check that, but if I'm not mistaken, I 20 believe we added about six majority-minority districts following --21 22 Q Okay. 23 Α -- the new census data. 2.4 MS. BRANCH: Okay. No further 25 questions for me.

MR. GREENBAUM: Frank?

MR. STRICKLAND: The other question
I had for you, Jon, is I don't know where you
stand on how much of your time you've utilized,
but are you finished with this witness, or are
you reserving the right to ask her some more
questions?

MR. GREENBAUM: So the thing that, the thing that I'm particularly interested in, and we talked a little bit about this before, David and I, is I'm not sure — the witness had talked about the fact that she had these folders for — that they keep folders for each of the legislators, and that she sent the shape — she sent the information from those folders that would have maps that were considered with respect to their districts, that she put them on a CD.

I'm not sure that that information got to us. We got the documents that were one of the things that we asked for. I'm not sure that we got the alternate maps. And if we haven't gotten the alternate maps, then, you know, I would keep the deposition open specifically to discuss those alternate maps.

1 To look at maps MR. STRICKLAND: 2 that weren't adopted? 3 MR. GREENBAUM: Correct. 4 THE WITNESS: Can I speak to that? 5 RE-EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. GREENBAUM: 7 Yes. Q 8 Everything we had was on those 9 The shape files that were there were 10 all of the alternative proposed shape files that I have. 11 12 Now, I'm assuming you're aware of the ransomware virus we had that attacked our 13 14 system. You knew about that? 15 Q Yes. 16 And so anything that there could 17 have been additional things that we have no 18 record of now that were taken in that virus, if 19 I had it in an email, like if I had a PDF or I 20 had something, I had sent a proposal in an 21 email, I was able to open the email, we could 22 recreate those. Those were recreated, and 23 anything that I had of that nature was included 2.4 in those shape files. 25 If it was never sent in an email and

281

I didn't have a printout and I didn't have anything in hand, then those were -- they were gone, and I -- I, of course, can't go back three years and mentally say, oh, this is what a proposal looked like.

Q Okay. So was -- so if it was in an email, you would still have it, but if it was just on your system, let's, let's give an example of you've got a folder for Strickland in 111.

A Uh-huh (affirmative).

Q If there were maps that along the way were being considered for Strickland in 111, let's say, in 2015, would those have been affected by the ransomware?

A If I had not sent it in an email and I did not have a printout of it in some way, shape, or form, then, yes, because the email —the virus happened in the fall of 2015.

I can say though with a relatively good degree of certainty that there were not a lot of alternative maps. I did not draw multiple variations for -- of all the 17 districts that were in that bill, there were not a lot of alternative versions drawn.

```
1
                 They were one-stop map draws.
2
     of them were simple things they wanted to do.
     They had an objective. They said, can you do
3
     this? We would do it, and that was it. And
 4
5
     those pieces were then put together to make up
     what was that House Bill 566. So there
6
 7
     weren't, there weren't multiple iterations upon
8
     iteration upon iteration of maps.
9
                 Okay.
          Q
10
          Α
                 There just weren't.
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Okay. Thank you for
11
12
     that.
13
                 It's not my intent to bring her
14
     back, but I do want to technically keep the
15
     deposition open.
16
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Okay. Let's
17
     determine how much time that is so that we all
18
     know what it is.
19
                 THE WITNESS: Like five minutes.
20
     Just kidding.
21
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Do we know,
22
     Mr. Reporter?
23
                 MR. WILL: How much time have we
24
     been on the record, David, so far today?
25
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 5 hours and 59
```

```
1
     minutes.
2
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Okay. So you've
3
     got about one hour.
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Got it.
 4
5
                 MR. STRICKLAND: Just wanted to get
6
     that part on the record so that we didn't have
7
     a later disagreement on the subject.
8
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Fair enough.
9
                 MR. WILL: All right. Then I guess
10
     we're done for today.
11
                 MR. GREENBAUM: Thank you.
12
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Going
     off video record --
13
14
                 MR. WILL: Thank you very much.
15
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: -- at 5:17 p.m.
16
           (Proceedings adjourned, 5:17 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Ι,	GINA H	. WR	IGHT,	Dep	onen	t,				
do l	hereby	cert	tify	that	I h	ave	read	d th	е	
fore	egoing	depo	ositi	on,	and	the	same	e is	a t	rue
and	accur	ate t	trans	crip	t of	my	test	cimo	ny,	excep
for	the c	hange	es li	sted	bel	OW,	if a	any.		
PAGI	E/LINE	C/CHAI	NGE						RE	ASON
	additi		_				_			ach
	arate itiona							nper	OI	
GIN	A H. W	 IRTGH'	 T. De	none	 n t					
	S			-			_, 20)	•	
	ovan R									
23/	Roswe						JA : 7 CR:			

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	STATE OF GEORGIA
3	COUNTY OF COBB
4	I hereby certify that the foregoing
5	deposition was reported as stated in the
6	caption, and the questions and answers thereto
7	were reduced to writing by me;
8	That the witness's right to read and
9	sign the deposition was reserved;
10	That the foregoing pages 1 through 287
11	represent a true, correct, and complete
12	transcript of the evidence given on the
13	above-referenced date by the witness, GINA H.
14	WRIGHT, who was first duly sworn by me;
15	That I am not of kin or counsel to any
16	of the attorneys or parties in this case.
17	I do hereby disclose pursuant to
18	Article 10.B. of the Rules and Regulations of
19	the Board of Court Reporting of the Judicial
20	Council of Georgia that I am a Georgia
21	Certified Court Reporter; that I am an employee
22	of Donovan Reporting PC; that Donovan
23	Reporting PC was contacted by the attorney
24	taking the deposition to provide court
25	reporting services for this deposition; that I

1	am not taking this deposition under any
2	contract that is prohibited by OCGA 15-14-37(a)
3	and (b) or Article 7.C. of the Rules and
4	Regulations of the Board; and I am not
5	disqualified for a relationship of interest
6	under OCGA 9-11-28(c).
7	There is no contract to provide
8	reporting services between myself or any person
9	with whom I have a principle and agency
0	relationship nor any attorney at law in this
1	action, party to this action, party having a
2	financial interest in this action, or agent for
3	an attorney at law in this action, party to
4	this action, or party having a financial
5	interest in this action. Any and all financial
6	arrangements beyond my usual and customary
7	rates have been disclosed and offered to all
8	parties.
9	This 5th day of December 2017.
_	

JOEL F. MCMER, CCR 2745 Certified Court Reporter

Donovan Reporting, PC

770.499.7499

	addin a 124.6 17	126.22 210.0 12 22	amalaus 20,00 101,4 5	ammaintmanta 56.2 6
A	adding 134:6,17	136:22 218:8,13,22	analyst 38:22 121:4,5 210:14 262:5	appointments 56:2,6
ability 104:21,22	214:19 215:14 229:9	agency 287:9	analyze 204:22,24	57:5,8
132:20 210:16	addition 74:6 99:15	agent 287:12 ago 10:24 20:20 23:6		apportionment 126:20
211:16 275:9	104:13 133:6	76:9 266:4	analyzed 204:18 analyzes 205:1	appreciate 46:17
able 23:23 25:12	136:22	agree 58:14,15,17	analyzing 53:14	126:1 235:2
28:16 43:18 56:6	additional 171:10	137:19 204:4	ANDERSON 1:7	approached 198:2
112:5 117:17 134:4	193:23 219:24	205:11 206:9	ANDREA 1:7	approximate 95:25
136:1 141:17	244:14 278:5,21	208:10,14 209:4,21	Andy 104:3	April 204:12
167:23 168:13	281:17 285:19,20	210:7 218:11,12	and/or 249:19	archive 268:7,9,11,17
185:12,25 212:20	address 121:24 122:4	242:19 243:22	Angela 36:22	268:18 269:3
217:15 227:15	237:23	247:11,13	Anne 73:2	archives 268:23
257:24 278:17	addresses 76:2 122:5	agreeing 243:20	annexed 274:23	269:9
281:21	addressing 237:8,8	agreement 66:15	anonymity 238:9	area 18:17 19:5,7,10
above-referenced	addressing 237.8,8	125:23	answer 14:6,7 111:18	30:21 41:25 66:10
286:13	adhered 232:12	agrees 246:22	119:3 183:1 206:14	66:17 82:16 84:21
Absolutely 117:12	adjoining 22:20	AG's 74:15	233:21 269:18	85:4,6 94:6 113:6
accepted 41:10	102:18 203:16	ahead 27:17 46:14,25	273:3	145:15 152:4 161:4
accomplish 28:9 29:3	adjourned 284:16	48:5 49:18 165:19	answered 39:1	164:2 183:3,8
75:1	adjust 44:25 233:15	170:7 173:25	269:15,16	186:15 213:4 214:9
account 20:22 21:9	adjustments 41:23	184:16 188:11	answers 179:11	214:10,18,19 216:1
80:3 91:22 273:8	administrative 39:2	215:19 269:20	286:6	219:13,18 225:5,6
accounting 77:10	Administrator	Alex 9:7	anticipate 277:9	225:20,22 226:6
accurate 81:9 106:9	143:12	align 238:11	anybody 16:25 24:2	228:22 257:22
123:12 151:3	administrators 41:8	aligning 233:16	24:10 25:23 67:5	263:16 270:19,19
163:10 205:13	adopt 65:20	allo 106:10	155:1	272:25 274:20,22
206:5 208:18	adopted 40:6 70:24	allocate 106:10,15,21	anymore 37:4,19	areas 24:21 42:1,23
209:25 210:1 232:3	143:4 267:18 281:2	109:21 168:13	anymore 37.4,19 anyway 30:2 264:18	64:9 71:16 76:7
242:9 246:11	advantage 22:2,8	allocated 44:7	AP 133:4	84:23 90:10 103:4
261:21,25 285:4	28:12	allocates 109:11	apologies 126:14	103:10 134:3 224:5
accurately 26:17	advisors 72:23 73:1	110:3,6	apparently 172:15	224:6 227:15
achieve 21:25 59:10	73:16	allow 70:10 76:23	198:21 266:15	238:25 241:10
70:2,7 220:19	affect 94:8,10,11,13	allowed 57:16	appear 222:19 263:8	270:16
acknowledged 91:14	139:6	alluded 168:17	263:11 266:18	Aria 2:13 207:19
acknowledging 72:1 Act 5:14 15:18 21:4	affirmative 29:13	alongside 175:12,13	APPEARANCES 2:1	277:11,22
	39:9 48:1 57:6	alternate 17:23 18:1	appears 35:9 51:1	arms 274:12
70:21,25 71:4,5	88:22 98:11 105:7	280:22,23,25	131:15 148:13	arrangements 287:16
72:13 74:17,25 75:5,14 123:20	122:20 137:1	alternative 17:10	149:19 157:15	ARREYMBI 1:7
action 4:15 15:3	145:21 146:4,7,21	35:1 281:10 282:22	158:15 179:23	article 10:5 197:7
287:11,11,12,13,14	156:16,24 173:20	282:25	183:14 206:23	286:18 287:3
287:15	214:6 227:3 230:5	altogether 92:19	207:13 230:21	articulation 127:16
active 7:8 171:15	231:3 269:25	Amburn 7:19 122:17	241:25	asked 19:25 32:19
173:3	282:11	122:19,21	apples 134:17	33:12 51:3 125:8
actual 112:21	African-American	Amburn's 198:6	applied 130:21	125:14 172:16,17
add 213:15	30:17 72:7 90:15	amended 71:1 194:2	278:11	172:19,25 193:21
added 134:16 151:15	90:25 98:13 156:22	277:18 279:14	apply 107:25 238:23	193:23 198:3 211:8
191:9,12 204:13	175:22 176:9,12	American 86:13	applying 71:21	248:11,19 251:15
213:7 214:4,4	264:15	amount 95:25 102:13	appoint 57:21	269:14,16 280:21
216:15 217:5 220:6	African-Americans	180:11 181:21	appointed 11:23	asking 14:4,19,22
226:25 237:15	136:23 182:23	amounts 87:9	appointment 64:24	29:12 31:14 34:19
279:20	afternoon 250:21	analysis 92:1 209:23	114:12 116:19	58:6 59:15 103:25
217.20	age 131:18,21 132:1	212:5 242:21	122:13	116:24 121:23

	Ī	Ī	I	I
166:21 173:13	authority 58:3	213:8,24 219:5	73:9 83:14,23	bit 27:6 35:7 40:16
180:19,19,22	authorize 117:25	220:3,4,9 221:8,23	92:18 98:4 104:3	41:6 48:17 81:23
198:22 247:4	auto 268:10	229:16,18,18	115:25 117:19	85:1 96:16,24
asks 33:9 92:13	available 65:16	231:10 232:6,7,11	122:15 123:4	97:11,11 108:19
221:11	Avenue 2:6	232:11 234:17	126:24 143:10	129:16 161:5
aspect 109:8 224:21	average 96:2 97:14	235:13,23 239:8	152:9 161:18	164:10 224:7
Assembly 12:19 16:4	112:9 196:3,13,16	257:19 258:3,4	167:19,21 172:1,11	225:17 226:18
35:20,24 50:20	213:17	266:13 267:8,12	175:20 176:11	229:9 242:22
70:1,6 114:22	avoid 28:9 125:19	269:11,23 275:18	190:9,23 196:16	243:13 271:14
115:4,9,10 121:3,14	aware 32:9 61:14	275:20 279:18	199:8 203:10 207:3	280:10
154:22 262:21	85:23 89:24 91:24	282:3 283:14	208:18 215:18	black 31:4,9 67:5,6
assignment 205:3	94:22 134:24	bad 256:11,14	222:6 224:25	67:12 90:6,15,19,20
238:20	153:23 154:14,19	balance 79:7,21 80:8	225:16 228:4	90:21,25 91:3,6
assignments 56:14	160:2 167:4 182:14	219:17 220:20	236:18 238:5	93:5 99:10 101:17
assistant 37:1 39:2	182:19 193:24	226:4 228:20 229:3	239:25 251:13	101:19,22 102:8,12
41:12 170:12	194:4,7,12,22 195:1	229:14,21 230:2	262:24 279:20	102:22 103:2,11
assisted 120:15	195:5,9,12 237:21	balanced 27:3 278:15	Benchmark 5:16,17	108:17 109:21,22
assume 109:3 110:15	239:12 240:22	balancing 220:8	5:19 130:13,19	110:11,15 132:16
111:6,8 139:12	241:8,12 249:7	ballot 77:20 220:5	beneath 139:3	132:16,22,23,25
169:7,23 170:25	251:3 253:2 275:11	257:25	beneficial 78:1 229:1	133:1,2,2,4,6,6,10
193:20 203:17	279:6 281:12	ballpark 81:17	229:8,11	133:10,13,16,19
251:22	awareness 67:7	based 20:17 71:15	benefit 125:3	134:7,20,21,21,22
assumed 112:24	awelch@smithwelc	81:8 106:12 151:10	Bennett 205:16	136:24,24 137:6,16
assuming 281:12	9:8	152:24 203:17	Bennett's 205:19	149:14 162:12,15
Atlanta 1:2,19 2:10	Azarian 9:7	204:1,9 277:18	best 244:7	162:17 163:5,7,16
2:20 35:17 145:15	A-6 137:15,21	basic 72:20 123:9	Bethel 270:23	163:17 164:3
147:6 198:17	a.m 1:20 10:2,12 69:2	160:2 202:5,6	better 30:2 126:13	193:25 195:2,7,25
attach 285:19	69:3,4,6 207:7	basically 19:9 40:21	190:24 216:16	203:20 204:2 205:7
attached 5:11 7:7 9:2		69:14 211:3 222:25	225:11 230:1	205:10 206:2,13
9:9,13	<u>B</u>	274:4	235:16 256:2,4	209:18 215:11
attaches 170:15	b 2:18 5:21,23 6:7	basics 40:18	258:17,19	217:6,16 218:1,8,12
attachment 61:9,11	32:13,14,25 33:22	basis 91:6	beyond 287:16	218:14,22 220:12
attachments 8:6,8,21	34:25 139:23	Bates 6:9,19 156:11	big 71:11 89:23	248:23 276:14,20
attacked 281:13	144:22 246:22,22	battlegrounds 241:7	158:23 173:14	276:22
attempt 166:25	287:3	Baycreek 159:4,6	178:23,24 179:3	blacks 204:13
attempts 162:2	back 11:18 14:25	162:6 233:23	186:4 211:21	blank 184:25
attend 84:22 189:22	19:15 22:12 27:5	Beaver 169:1	bill 16:8,23 17:12	blends 137:4
260:13	33:16 34:24 35:7	Beaver's 169:4	19:6 73:23 183:20	block 42:12,25 43:19
attended 155:22	39:3,22 43:1 48:4	becoming 115:24	183:23 184:13,24	55:22 105:25 106:6
156:17	50:16 63:1,15 65:17 69:5 74:16	bed 43:6	188:19,21 189:1,5	106:11,17 109:3,15
attention 69:11 85:8		began 265:20,22	189:19,21,25 191:7	109:16,17,19,22
88:6 102:21 133:9	77:25 96:11 100:13	beginning 1:20 10:8	191:12,22 192:1,2	110:10,14 111:1,2,5
133:11,12 179:8	101:17 111:1 112:11 119:18	24:7 38:3 136:4	198:4,14,20 199:1,5	111:23 112:21
236:25	120:23 125:20	138:7 188:3 203:19	201:1,4,6 208:2	220:13,13 233:24
attorney 41:12 74:5	120.23 123.20 129:3 137:12 138:5	221:25 267:10	234:19,20 236:18	234:4,7 238:20 blocks 42:10 43:12
74:11 286:23	140:25 146:2	begins 44:17	244:18 264:10	blocks 42:19 43:12
287:10,13	157:24 164:16	begun 55:7	266:5,19 277:19	106:12,18,19,23
attorneys 10:25 74:2	169:1,9,13,18 171:6	behalf 172:17	282:24 283:6	111:21 112:17
74:4,7 76:6 286:16	179:2 183:16 188:1	beholder 82:11,11	bills 33:4	blue 146:10,11 213:5
August 155:20	188:5 195:20 204:6	believe 22:10,13	Bill-2015 8:13	213:7
August 155:20 AUSTIN 1:6	210:21 212:20	41:10,11 42:1	bipartisan 68:19	board 10:6 27:2
AUSTIN 1:0	210.21 212.20	45:13,23 54:8 64:5	birthday 189:11,15	251:23 286:19
	•	•	•	•

	İ		Ì	Ī
287:4	225:22 283:13	candidates 32:3,6	88:2,4 95:8,9,25	Chandler 22:11 23:7
boards 56:3,7	bringing 46:10,18	capability 185:14	104:13 112:21	23:17 24:2 167:10
body 247:16	53:12	capacity 1:10 104:24	130:21 132:24	197:8
boost 22:3 219:19	broad 76:5	125:20	153:25 154:8	Chandler's 22:20
boosted 219:15	Brockington 1:18	caption 286:6	195:23 204:1	change 18:22 22:21
boosts 219:14	2:19 73:11	Carmel 228:19	215:10 232:13,22	25:1 27:15 30:14
border 175:13	broke 190:17 267:14	229:23 258:4,7,9	233:23 234:4 278:8	30:22 44:11,15
borders 103:7 175:11	broken 108:10	carryover 262:3	278:11,19 279:17	85:25 105:15,19
born 210:22	brought 24:4 46:7	case 1:9 11:1,15,17	279:23	142:4,8 151:10
bottom 47:11 55:9	60:2,3 85:8 115:8	11:19,22 12:2,4,6	censuses 45:1	152:23 177:1,11
89:3 90:14,16,23	214:20	13:7,23 39:23 40:4	center 82:2	191:10 217:19
126:25 135:11	Bryan 2:9 73:3	40:11,13,19 41:16	centered 270:15	225:8 233:7 238:7
143:18 156:1,2,7	build 107:9,22	58:24 61:9,16	272:14	247:10 254:23
162:10,10 163:2	building 3:3 65:19	77:23 83:6 87:3	Central 160:21	257:14,18 263:12
166:14 173:15,22	built 26:5 107:21	100:5 113:2 121:13	161:20 222:5,10,13	changed 94:3 137:25
173:24 197:5 205:4	109:10 131:9	121:22 122:15	228:3,6	191:6 205:15 221:9
208:4 224:20	bulk 53:19	126:13 143:10	certain 28:4 45:7	222:9 258:12
235:12 244:3	bullet 237:1 242:10	151:20 162:20	52:13 56:4 57:16	259:10,22 264:25
248:20	bunch 66:11	251:22 277:3	57:21 58:2 68:18	changes 13:21 18:12
boundaries 40:24	Bureau 5:2 26:21	286:16	78:25 83:12 84:21	18:13,19 19:16
44:25 45:5 77:8,10	42:13,18 44:2,4,12	cases 54:9 79:23	84:22,23 105:4	20:1,12,13 21:13,23
80:15 81:10 96:14	44:22 55:7	232:20	111:10 134:3	24:9 25:13,15
97:9 112:2 130:22	burn 33:14	Cassis 251:12	139:15 181:17	30:23,24 43:11,19
202:6 227:14	burned 33:6 35:2	catch 141:2	186:15 206:6	45:5,14,19,20,24
boundary 41:2 42:12	business 144:2	categories 7:1 8:9	243:14 259:21	46:2,4 48:16,20
43:1 54:18 193:6,6	busy 53:2,9	87:10 92:8 108:12	262:18	50:2,6 52:21 71:16
215:22 229:23		108:14 125:9	certainly 246:24	81:10,11,22 94:5
234:6 272:17,20	C	131:12	certainty 45:22	105:13 107:10
274:7	C 2:13 3:2 214:5,12	category 72:10 134:1	282:21	142:11 158:13
box 105:13,19 195:15	214:13,23 216:5	134:13	Certificate 4:11	177:18 178:5,11
195:17 217:19	calculate 113:3 246:3	caucus 67:5,6,9,12	286:1	186:10 191:2,20
brain 1:10 211:15	246:12	127:2,22 128:1	Certified 1:17 286:21	195:15 198:25
218:15	calculated 196:15	240:1	287:22	200:24 211:18
Branch 2:13 4:9	245:25	Caulder 8:14,17	certify 285:2 286:4	212:12 217:11,14
277:12,16,22 278:2	calculation 110:9	239:23 241:23	cetera 46:5 248:23	218:25 220:10
279:24	111:6 112:25	cause 162:23 172:1	chain 164:20,23,25	223:4,7 228:18
brand 275:4	Caldwell 252:2,3	172:11 231:22	244:24 246:16	231:19,21,24
break 34:14 68:22,24	255:1	caused 213:11	chair 65:21 114:9,12	232:21 233:2 237:3
69:7 90:22 108:14	calendar 166:11,22	causes 77:18	114:14	239:2 243:18
128:14 137:24	184:8,10	causing 238:13	chairman 16:4 21:20	247:14 249:18
164:7 187:20	call 69:11 88:6 174:4	Cave 2:9	22:13,23,25 28:4	250:4,22 257:7,10
211:21 212:11	236:25 243:2	CCR 287:21	59:11,18 63:22	259:6 260:17 261:4
breakdown 215:7	253:17	cc'd 122:17 171:1	65:18 66:14,14,22	263:8,20,23 264:13
226:9	called 36:9 64:22	CD 33:6,14 35:2	143:14 185:3 186:8	264:20 285:5
breaking 113:1	83:16	280:18	186:9,25 191:4,22	changing 24:3,10,14
breaks 88:18 108:11	caller 207:25	CELESTE 1:4	197:9,24 198:2,22	24:17 90:9
108:15	calling 234:21 256:23	census 5:2 25:11	240:1 252:2,2,4	Characteristics 5:3,5
Brett 250:22,23	candidate 108:23,25	26:21 42:13,14	255:1,1	5:7,9 6:16
Brian 136:10 242:17	111:4,4 175:23	43:11,12 44:2,21,22	chairs 186:6	characterization
briefly 90:12	176:1,9,9,12 180:24	47:22 53:1,3,5,8,21	challenge 77:17	157:11
bring 106:7 116:6	181:3,4 258:14	55:7,22 81:20 86:4	chance 277:3	checking 174:15
129:15 213:23	264:15	86:14 87:22,24	chances 23:22 244:7	chief 122:23 169:6
	I	1	<u> </u>	I

				1 490 271
240:14 259:18	collaborative 64:10	85:22 113:5	concerning 165:1	76:2
choice 219:22,25	college 35:13	community 80:19	168:21	Constitutions 75:17
Chokis 241:4,5,6	column 55:18	84:17,20 85:10,17	concerns 237:9,24	75:20
choose 279:2	columns 133:22	237:7,20	239:2 260:20	consult 192:18,23,24
chose 263:20	combination 92:3	compact 216:1,3,22	conference 1:3 2:2	consultation 113:11
Christopher 5:13	105:21 118:18	270:2,5,6 271:2,3,5	186:3 198:9,11,19	consulting 72:23
Chuck 8:20	132:25 190:19	271:7,10,14 273:2,4	confident 201:15	contact 42:5
church 84:22	193:17 195:13,22	273:5,7,11	confirm 207:6	contacted 33:7
circumstances 78:3	220:5	compactness 21:6	confirmation 34:3	286:23
79:3,6	combined 92:18	81:24,25 82:1,5,8,9	confused 126:7,11	contain 268:13
cities 6:14 7:21 54:5	148:14	82:13 83:9,13	Congress 121:9	contained 1:23 9:13
54:17,20 153:5,14	combining 233:13	273:6	congressional 1:13	14:24 50:3 96:21
199:13	Combo 132:22,23	comparable 31:1	4:23 6:15 7:21 9:3	156:14 269:13
city 40:23,24,25 41:5	133:2	comparable 31.1 compare 50:8 88:15	50:21 53:10 55:12	contemporaneous
54:18 56:10,13,15	combos 77:20	150:10 151:8	55:17,21,23 56:5,16	201:15
56:17,25 79:24	come 13:14 27:5 43:1	compared 112:8	57:11,12 62:16	content 124:23,24
80:19 153:10,16,19	53:3 59:21 60:4,6,9	259:14	75:23 114:6,18,24	203:17
157:1,5,8,13 161:1	60:19 66:6 67:25	compares 249:12	116:13 135:13	contested 26:25
161:8,12 199:22,23	68:4,12,16 125:19	compares 243.12	143:20 153:6,15	107:5,6,13,18
199:24 200:2 222:7	151:18 186:21	150:17 248:22	199:14,24 200:3	176:19 196:4,17
238:12 270:18	214:9 215:25	compiled 212:8	conjunction 109:13	context 135:19
Civil 2:5 4:15 15:3	216:24 234:24	compiling 192:15	110:4 115:23 118:2	contiguities 76:3
claim 198:4	276:17	211:4	connected 112:1	contiguity 21:6 76:9
claims 61:7 124:16	comes 53:9,21 77:3	complete 169:15	116:3	76:10,11,15,20
198:10	148:5,19 215:21	220:8 286:11	conscious 181:13,25	contiguous 76:5
clarify 191:25 219:9	274:8,9,19	completely 31:21	consensual 246:21	227:7,25 228:12
Clayton 99:9,10,17	coming 17:15 48:4	55:22 106:9 109:20	247:3,8,15 278:25	continued 2:25 4:25
102:17 103:7,9	66:7 81:2 133:8	112:1 115:8 246:11	consider 12:21 29:22	5:25 6:25 7:25 8:25
104:6 182:21 210:6	151:17 269:7	compliance 70:20	59:7 77:7 84:14	38:5 262:6
274:8	comment 190:2	74:17,24	134:5 270:4 271:2	continuous 43:3,4
clear 14:4 93:9,15	263:17,22	complicated 58:5	271:5,11 273:4,9	contract 115:7,11,17
226:20 273:3	comments 186:12	complied 75:19	consideration 239:12	287:2,7
clearly 30:19	248:21 264:22	comply 21:3 70:24	249:17,22 250:6	contracted 35:22
Clinton 243:9,21	Commission 251:24	71:4 75:3	considered 15:17	36:5
clipped 128:21	committee 2:4 5:22	complying 75:16	31:12 33:4 184:14	control 58:25
close 44:8 70:8 71:25	6:19 9:3 16:5 62:1	82:24 83:1	191:3 254:24	conversation 22:9,24
97:17 175:18	62:6,9,16,21 70:24	component 36:6	262:12,19,20,22	23:7,11,18,21
176:14,15 208:19	77:7 114:10 121:3	108:20	280:17 282:13	193:22 198:12
226:8 232:13	121:4 155:19	composition 177:2,11	considering 70:4	conversations 24:1
243:10 258:22	188:15 189:20,23	comprehensive 238:5	134:6 194:15 254:9	27:8 119:15,18
closely 74:15 82:1	190:1,4,7,10 191:7	computer 110:21	273:9	177:16 187:4
176:19 232:12	191:16,18 246:24	computers 110:22	consist 55:15	198:13
closer 97:3 103:8	252:5 254:7 260:10	concentrated 103:3,5	consistent 123:10	conversed 119:13
224:23	262:4,25 264:10,11	153:1	127:7 204:16	Cooley 7:5 170:11,11
closest 182:20	common 69:14	concentrations	231:12 246:6,25	Cooper 51:14,14
coaches 129:20	communicate 187:8	102:22 182:22	consists 239:20	120:3 122:14
Cobb 241:1 263:16	187:9,10	concepts 77:6	constant 54:13	Coordinated 42:11
286:3	communicating 28:6	concern 238:1,3,8,16	constantly 54:22	coordinating 254:16
Cochard 265:25	communications	238:22 260:17	Constituencies 6:13	copied 169:21 197:9
codes 26:15,15	34:23	261:4 264:12	149:25	copies 114:3 117:7
Col. EV 1-4	communities 21:8	concerned 175:14	constitute 34:8	144:24 147:13
COLEY 1:4	80:23 84:12,13,15	198:25	Constitution 75:22	coping 256:20
	1	1	1	1

	ī			
copy 128:19 129:3	225:13,14 226:23	counties/precincts	272:8,10,17,23	credibility 261:3
185:5	227:5,6 230:14,15	21:7	273:15,16,20 274:9	credit 129:8 248:24
Core 6:13 149:25	230:21 231:14	country 55:4	274:11,14,17 286:3	creek 43:6,17
CORETTA 1:7	237:12,16 238:19	county 4:17,18,20,21	county's 233:1	Creeks 272:19
corner 47:11 126:18	239:21 240:20	5:3,5,7,9 7:8,12	couple 14:21 20:20	criteria 17:21 18:14
130:6,12 135:5	241:22 243:16	11:17,21 12:4	118:5,5 122:7	20:22,23,25 21:5
142:18 144:14,16	247:18 250:13	21:23 24:4,11,14,17	180:8 238:24 266:3	29:23 82:16 273:12
144:21 149:5	254:9,13 256:23	24:21,25 25:2	267:12 277:17	critical 263:23,25
152:21 164:21	257:8,9,11,15 259:7	28:21 38:23 41:7	course 14:22 18:7	Cullefer 118:7,11
170:5 173:15	259:10,19 260:11	42:5,21 43:15	23:25 24:8 34:16	120:9,17 136:11
270:14 274:4,5	260:24 262:13,19	46:21 47:9,23 48:7	40:12 54:22 55:6	CUNNINGHAM 1:6
correct 11:22,25 23:8	262:22 263:9 264:7	48:17 49:4,11,20	72:22 75:22 76:3	curious 248:17
23:9,23 26:16	266:6 272:8,17,24	50:3 56:22 57:1,2,4	126:13 144:2	275:18
28:12,21,22,25 29:1	273:16,17 274:5	57:8,9,13,16,20	151:15 191:19	current 32:7 42:3
29:14 31:5 32:10	276:9 278:9 281:3	58:1,2,7,10,21 59:2	192:17 229:20	44:9 51:5 53:13
35:10,11 37:2,5,18	286:11	59:6,12,13,23 60:5	251:19 282:3	55:10 137:18 202:3
37:20 38:10 39:8	corrected 43:23	60:5,12 67:18 68:4	court 1:1,17 10:6,13	currently 51:7
40:1,3 44:16,18	correction 171:7	68:10,15 76:24,25	13:14 41:24 130:8	156:14
45:1 46:22 47:13	corrections 40:21,22	77:3,15 78:9,11,16	140:2 286:1,19,21	Custer 2:8 208:2
47:17,18 50:9 51:7	42:20,21 43:3	78:17 81:11 86:1,5	286:24 287:22	234:19,22 235:1
51:8 53:3,11,18	161:8	86:10,24 87:5,23,24	courts 54:11	custom 131:9 136:12
63:6 66:3 69:21	correctly 174:21	89:9,18 91:12	cover 113:23	137:8
75:15 80:8 83:10	191:8 230:4	93:13,14,20,21	covered 238:18	customary 287:16
83:11 86:1,6 88:10	correlation 25:7	94:15,19,23 95:9,10	Covering 7:1,2 8:9	customer 55:3
88:11,13,14 89:7,11	31:16,20,24	95:17 96:13,14,22	8:10	cut 84:24 214:13,14
89:15,20 91:8,9,12	correspond 26:4	96:23 97:1,8,21,23	co-counsel 13:23	229:22,22
91:16 92:14,23	106:16	99:1,8,11,14,15,16	CR 285:25	cuts 215:23
93:7,24,25 94:12	correspondence	99:19,24,25 100:14	Crane 188:17	cycle 40:6 59:1 69:10
95:15,18,21 97:18	183:17	100:16 101:12	crazy 53:6 174:16	72:25 81:14 93:16
98:6 100:18,25	correspondingly	102:8,14,17,18,21	create 16:5 17:24	107:7,11 112:3
101:3,10,14,20,24	101:16	102:23 103:6,6,7,9	19:14 22:7 25:24	115:17 118:14
102:5 103:11,19	corresponds 44:3	103:10,18,18,19,22	26:1 131:3 152:1,5	209:14
106:1 112:23 114:7	147:21	104:6,12 105:1	244:14 257:3,14	cycles 24:20
114:14,15 119:7	cost 115:13	109:6 113:7 145:17	278:15 279:1,5	D
120:6,9,10 131:18	Council 286:20	151:15 158:25	created 39:15 41:14	D 159:5,16,23,25
133:6 135:14 141:6	counsel 2:1 10:4 34:4	160:9,13,18 163:13	41:15,17 52:6	160:1 162:7,18
142:5,12 143:9,21 143:24 146:9	34:13 73:22,22	171:16 173:4 177:10 178:4,19	56:19 114:19,25	220:7
149:13 154:6,10	277:22 286:15 count 109:4 145:18	· ·	137:4,9 138:17 144:1 151:13 162:2	daily 192:25
158:17,21 162:24	counterbalance	179:8,25 182:20,20 182:21 198:15,16	184:17 220:25	Dale 7:10
163:21 165:8 166:6	225:21	204:4 209:1,18	233:8 237:12	Dan 7:15 8:5,7,14,17
167:13,14 169:1,10	counterproductive	210:7 221:17	254:12 255:20,22	8:19,20,23 9:1
169:12,16,19	216:23	222:23 225:7	279:16	51:23,25 52:8
170:13,18 172:12	counties 26:17 33:10	226:21 231:24	creates 130:25	136:10 203:5
172:13 175:19,21	42:4,17 43:11,14	233:11,18 234:4	273:19,20	210:16,23 211:11
179:15,19,22,23	54:7,9,12 58:23	238:13 241:2 243:2	creating 46:18 54:18	253:9 261:23 262:1
180:2 181:3,6	59:7 65:7 76:19	243:5 249:13,13	55:25 60:5 109:9	265:21
182:12,13,23,24	77:8,10,17 78:4,5	250:1,24 251:23	138:21 140:10	Dan's 245:4 266:9
183:11 189:2,7	78:23 81:21 88:4	257:8,11 260:18	244:7 254:21 278:4	darker 146:1
207:1 213:3 215:3	96:21 102:18 139:3	267:19 269:23	278:20	DARRYL 1:6
215:16 218:14	140:11,21 200:21	270:13,14 271:21	creation 54:17	dash 242:3
220:24 221:2 222:2	200:22 231:18,20	271:22,25 272:1,3,5	236:20	data 24:19,19,24 25:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l

				_
25:8,8,9,14,16,20	decade 26:21 44:12	175:22 176:1 182:8	166:4 261:24	278:4,20
26:5,6,10,12,24	44:17 53:25 152:24	192:10 196:5	determine 76:15	discussions 47:3 60:4
29:16,18,19 30:4,6	December 169:10,14	Democratically	85:14 283:17	128:16 157:22
30:9 44:7 51:25	239:21 287:19	275:24	developed 113:13	187:2 193:12
52:1,3,15,16 53:2	decent 111:24	Democrats 110:13	development 119:25	234:15 266:24
53:13,13,14 71:22	decide 66:14	127:6,10 190:22	192:21	disks 281:9
81:20 86:5,14 88:4	decided 54:10 115:7	205:6,8,25 206:11	deviate 70:11	dispute 217:2
91:25,25 92:10	115:10 187:6	209:2	deviation 70:15	disputed 40:4
104:13,14,16,23	191:13 263:18	demographic 5:2,6	71:25 132:6,7,9	disqualified 287:5
105:10,21,22,22,23	decision 116:6 255:1	52:21 85:25 102:16	deviations 126:21	distance 235:5 274:7
105:25 106:3,10,22	decisions 57:19	154:5 158:19	278:14	distinct 92:24
106:25,25 107:1,11	116:10 160:5 255:4	170:17	diagonal 273:20,25	district 1:1,1 6:15 7:2
108:6,7,10 109:11	declined 204:15	demographics 24:3	difference 86:3 93:22	7:3,21 8:10,10 17:7
110:23 111:22	decrease 195:2	24:10,14,17 25:15	differences 43:22	22:3,6,19,19,22,25
124:20,21,23	decreased 89:14	86:6 90:9 148:15	62:23 169:24	24:1,9 27:7,9,14,23
130:21 148:19,21	194:1,5	156:21 159:22,24	different 18:22,24	30:15,23 31:8
148:23 149:11,13	deep 120:13	162:4 182:15,17	19:9,12 24:20	39:17 40:24 44:1
158:19 165:20	deeper 210:12	193:18 195:22	31:15 48:23 56:2	48:20 50:6 54:6,25
166:19 167:8,21	Defendant 1:11 2:17	202:6 203:13	64:9,10 80:22,23	55:21,23 56:4,5,13
168:12,19,20	Defendants 5:1 60:24	204:22 205:18	90:10 92:23 93:4	57:12,15 70:1
174:23 175:3,7	61:8	212:6 213:10	109:2 118:13 130:9	76:12 77:1 78:7,9
194:18 203:19	Defendant's 60:21	217:13 225:6 226:6	133:25 140:6 146:5	79:21 82:3,3,16
204:1,17,18,23,25	defenses 61:7	230:10 276:25	199:15 233:17	90:4 96:1,2,25
206:15 208:16	define 39:21 84:16,19	Dennis 41:11	257:20 263:1	97:15 99:18,19
209:6,13 210:14,17	84:24 85:3 118:24	Department 123:24	difficult 77:16,22	105:5,11 126:23
211:4 212:5 215:10	123:25 227:13	depend 39:20	84:23 151:7 264:14	129:7 130:21
218:6 219:8 243:12	237:25 238:2,7,15	depends 18:9 82:15	difficulty 166:15	131:16 132:4,11,17
243:13 246:10,10	244:21 270:6 273:6	146:14 233:18	dilution 198:5	137:7,18 139:8
246:13 253:9,10	defined 44:2	237:25 268:8	direction 213:15,22	142:6,7 150:10,12
259:16 278:11,19	defines 31:21 44:22	Deponent 285:1,22	director 36:17 37:1	150:13 151:3,4,12
278:22 279:23	definitely 25:2,13	deposed 12:5,10	37:13,17,24 39:5	151:13,14,22,24
date 10:9 26:19 33:13	43:18 45:2	deposition 1:13 4:15	51:7,10 52:7 114:5	152:1,12,16,18,19
189:16 199:7	degree 52:22 72:12	11:4,13 13:3 14:11	115:20,24 116:7,8	153:6 154:12
239:15 285:25	282:21	14:22 15:2,14 27:7	165:13	156:15 159:2,3
286:13	DeKalb 99:22	32:20 34:16,19	disagree 115:1	160:19 163:2,18
dated 114:2 121:16	delayed 234:21	46:19 277:10	205:11 206:9	167:10,13 174:11
254:3 255:12	delegation 57:8,10,20	280:24 283:15	208:10,15 209:4,21	174:23 175:1,3,5,10
dates 252:11	58:1,2,10,15 59:14	285:3,25 286:5,9,24	210:8 242:19	175:11,15 177:2,3,4
daughter's 189:11,15	59:24 60:12 67:1,2	286:25 287:1	disagreement 284:7	178:19,19,20,20,20
David 3:2,6 8:5,7	68:2,9,14,15 104:4	deputized 240:17	disclose 286:17	179:18 180:7
129:24 251:12,15	117:25 250:20	describe 11:12 47:5	disclosed 287:17	181:13 182:2,7
280:11 283:24	251:3,10	51:14 56:10 62:4	disclosure 10:4	193:19,25 194:1
Davis 104:2	delegations 57:2,4	210:13 224:17	Disclosures 5:1 60:25	199:1,14 202:3,6,7
day 13:14 143:23	58:7 59:3 66:25	266:18 270:8	discoverable 61:6	203:11,12,15 208:8
201:5,16 206:24	67:4,19,20 85:2	description 208:18	discuss 113:5 116:20	212:12,16,18
207:1 251:16	delete 268:7,12	designate 139:19	189:25 211:10	215:15 217:14
260:23 261:2,12	delivering 193:22	designated 20:8	250:21 280:25	218:7,21 219:11,14
285:23 287:19	Democrat 112:7	124:17	discussed 204:10	219:15 220:7,22
DC 2:6,15 277:24	Democratic 24:22	destroyed 43:7	246:9 278:24	222:3 224:11,20,22
deal 241:7	32:3 68:12 98:16	detail 255:25	discusses 242:3	227:20 228:15
dealing 71:6	108:23 111:3,3	detailed 42:22	discussing 193:5	230:13 233:22
Deborah 266:16	127:2 128:1 154:5	details 23:19 87:12	discussion 119:14	237:2 238:6 241:3
	I	I		I

	_	_	_	
241:3 242:6,8	194:17 198:15,17	164:17 169:25	draw 17:6 18:4,15	efficient 41:7
246:2,4,8 247:10,18	199:24 200:3,19	170:4,9 171:18,19	67:16 70:8 131:24	effort 64:11 120:21
249:19 250:17	201:23 205:7,9	172:19 173:8 174:5	186:22 279:3	217:22 244:12,13
257:11,13,14,15,18	206:1,12 212:17	178:13 188:9 192:3	282:22	244:14,21,22
257:18 258:2,3,8,13	221:5 224:3 227:25	196:24 197:2,14,20	drawer 12:22	278:15
259:6 264:16,23	230:23 244:19	199:9,19 200:4,6,9	drawer 12.22 drawers 120:4,7	Efstration 8:20 22:16
269:24 270:1,7,11	248:10,12,17	201:7,19,22,25	drawing 15:16 16:1	22:18 250:13,16
271:12,15,16,24	249:19 253:4,7	202:23 203:2	16:15 20:23 30:12	eight 32:13,14 61:13
272:15 273:10	255:11 260:18	206:16 211:24	31:12 38:14 39:18	98:4,5 99:23
274:15,19 275:3,10	261:5 263:15,21	212:7 235:11,19	53:10 63:14,17,17	273:14
275:15 278:21	264:3,3 271:21	236:13,16,20 239:6	70:5 72:11 76:14	either 17:9 23:3
279:3	272:7,10,13,14	241:13,16 247:19	77:9 78:8 82:14	45:13 59:18 93:2
districting 75:23	273:15,18 275:5	249:9 250:7,10	84:14 91:21 104:22	133:18 136:13
231:22	278:5,12,13,14,16	252:14 253:14	105:2,3 117:24	172:6 176:24
districts 4:17,19,20	279:8,9,15,21	255:7,10,18,19	273:10	179:18 180:22
4:22 5:20 6:4,6 9:5	280:17 282:24	265:1,17 266:20	drawn 41:24 47:8	185:9 212:15,17
16:5 19:10,11	district's 152:3	267:13 269:8	70:2 80:4 139:16	213:9 229:1,22
28:20,25 29:12,20	diverse 183:8	documents 14:17,20	141:15 255:20	235:15 256:6
30:2,15 31:4,8 32:1	divide 79:11,19 97:9	14:23 32:18,24	275:5 282:25	271:20 273:19
32:2,4 33:11 40:2	110:20,22	33:17,21 34:1	draws 53:16 283:1	El 36:22 37:16,18
45:4 46:21 47:8,9	divided 64:19 77:19	87:18 88:1 95:1	drew 17:11 63:23	38:5 119:22 136:10
47:21 48:8,15,16	79:13 133:24	99:2 144:6,24	90:3 104:12 107:8	elect 32:3,6
49:5,11,12,20 50:2	153:20 157:1,5,8,14	188:5 231:6 232:7	224:11 278:10	elected 152:8,9
50:3 54:10,19	157:16 209:2	235:22 239:9	dried 43:6	264:15 275:4
55:12,17,20 56:10	divides 79:22 215:24	280:20	drive 239:2	election 7:12 22:12
56:16,22 57:1,11	dividing 97:19	doing 14:1 26:14	driveability 224:21	23:1,8 24:20,24
60:5 62:12 65:7	154:16 214:14	29:15 30:19 35:12	driven 87:5 89:19	26:4 41:1,7 42:6
71:6,15,20,22 72:2	226:1	35:15 54:3,22 55:2	drop 18:4	44:24 52:19 107:11
72:8,10 74:21	division 1:2 36:9,13	85:11 109:8 112:25	dry 84:24	112:3 148:14
75:12 76:4 80:2	64:20 80:14 155:2	116:5 118:12,13	dug 92:6	165:20 166:6,20
86:25 91:21 93:12	document 6:8,10,13	119:13 179:7	duly 10:17 286:14	167:6,11 170:16,22
93:22 94:15 96:16	8:11 14:13,16 15:1	185:19 194:18	Dunn 41:11	175:23 176:13,16
96:21,24 97:17,22	15:6,8 35:4 47:1	217:21 220:13	duties 39:10	176:19 178:16
97:22 98:6,9,10,18	48:2,25 49:4,6,9,16	226:15 227:17		180:2 208:17
98:19 99:6,24	49:23,25 50:13,19	249:24 264:1	E	209:14 210:20
104:6 105:2,3	50:23 60:20 61:1	Donna 188:13	earlier 33:18 85:25	211:12 258:23
109:2 130:20	61:17 62:5,7,14,17	Donovan 285:24	107:3 113:20 114:4	elections 77:20
131:25,25 132:18	62:19,20,23,24 63:2	286:22,22	136:5 182:18 207:1	129:10 165:14,16
132:19,21 138:13	69:18 88:7 113:17	DOT 56:7	207:3,13 231:6	194:21 195:24
139:4,25 140:11	113:25 116:16	double-check 134:23	232:8 246:7 250:15	210:21 238:14
141:5,10 143:4	117:1 123:13,19	279:19	easily 83:6 220:2	electoral 193:17
144:19 145:17	126:16 130:2,5,11	doubt 125:3	223:20	electronic 19:17
150:24,25 151:5,5,9	130:14,16 135:1,4,6	doubtless 204:1	east 270:15 271:19	eligible 132:2,5
151:18,21 152:5,11	135:23 137:13	draft 17:6 73:23	275:20	eliminate 237:11
152:14,25 153:15	138:8,11 139:20,23	254:6 260:8,24	easy 213:24 273:6	eliminating 237:6
153:21 154:17	140:4 142:13,16,24	drafting 63:5 69:12	edge 270:22 274:11	email 7:4,4,6,6,10,10
155:3 157:2,6,9,14	144:1 147:8,11,17	198:5	274:13	7:14,14,16,16,18,18
157:16 158:4 175:4	147:23 148:1,1,25	drafts 17:15 18:8	edges 229:4	8:1,1,5,7,14,14,16
175:13 177:11	149:3,22,24,25	20:14,15	edification 124:14	8:16,19,20,22,22
178:17,21 184:23	150:1 153:2,7	dramatically 94:24	effect 41:18,19,21	9:1,1,6,6,8 23:13,14
184:24 188:21	154:2 155:5 158:1	95:18	127:1 143:9	23:15 121:16 122:8
191:8,20 193:18	158:4,9 161:23	drastically 151:10	effective 7:21 199:25	122:16 127:21
			I	I

128:3 164:23,25	139:23 147:11	115:24 116:7,7	123:21	128:8 134:24
165:18 169:9,21	148:1 149:25 158:4	exhibit 4:12 5:16,17	expert 11:16,24	170:20,23 171:1,24
	201:22 255:11		40:14	170.20,23 171.1,24
172:20 174:12,13		5:19,21,22,23 6:7		
183:17 187:10	entrusted 178:10	14:14 15:1 32:13	expertise 76:7	205:24 224:12
188:24 192:11	equal 70:3 75:24	32:14,14,15,25	explain 48:12 212:17	243:4 254:18 272:5
193:16 197:7,23	126:19 159:10	33:22 34:25 35:5,9	215:19	279:6,10 283:24
198:1 200:13,17	equality 70:7	39:3 46:25 47:2,6,7	explained 134:10	fast 70:13 245:10
201:13,18 203:5	equivalent 133:3	48:3,7,22,23 49:1,3	explanation 161:11	faster 245:1
206:24 207:7 219:7	Erica 7:7 165:11,15	49:17,19,19,20 50:8	expressed 59:11	FAX 285:24
240:4,21,25 241:19	Errata 4:10	50:8,14,19 55:9	260:17 261:4	Fayette 11:17,21
244:24 246:17,18	error 234:3	60:21,23 61:21,24	264:12	feature 43:3 85:6
248:1,4 249:20	especially 54:6	62:14 87:15,19,20	expressing 167:17	105:13 234:6
250:3,12 253:25	166:10,12	87:21,23 95:2,3,8	260:19	features 43:4,4
260:23 266:10,15	essentially 102:9	113:18,25 123:14	extent 59:3 64:12	February 114:2
267:17 268:1,6	established 120:23	123:19 129:7 130:3	69:10 77:9 79:4	121:17,19 174:13
281:19,21,21,25	estimate 106:7	130:5,8,10,13 135:2	85:15 108:13	184:1 189:4 252:8
282:7,16,18	111:22,23,24,24	135:4 137:14,20,21	187:16	federal 11:16 21:3
emailing 165:2 203:8	113:16,16 246:13	138:9,11 139:21,23	extra 158:6 217:22	54:9,11
248:9	estimates 106:11	139:23 142:14,16	extreme 59:9	feel 112:13 201:15
emails 33:8 164:21	estimation 108:20	144:7,8,8,9,22	extremely 53:9	210:11
165:7 171:14,22	et 46:5 248:23	145:8 147:9,11,24	eye 82:10	fell 87:10 125:9
172:3,9,10 187:13	ethnicity 92:2,13,14	149:1,3,23 153:3,5		felt 75:2
211:8 239:20	134:1,12,18	154:3,4 155:6,7,10	<u>F</u>	field 108:16 129:22
265:20 268:7,12	evaluate 84:6	155:16 158:2,25	fact 72:1 109:4 157:4	196:2,3
269:1	evenly 209:2	159:2 161:24,25	157:9 162:20	fields 105:19 107:4
employee 121:2	event 86:22	164:18,20 170:1,3,4	168:18 169:22	figure 34:2 61:18
286:21	eventually 30:6 41:13	173:9 174:4 178:14	172:2,9 179:13	65:25 106:11
enable 227:14	195:6 240:11	178:16 183:16	181:14 182:7 205:8	132:10 184:9,10
enacted 15:17 33:5	everybody 121:14	188:10,12 192:4,6	224:8 243:8 245:15	figures 137:17
191:5,23 194:23	evidence 31:20	197:3,15,17 199:10	261:1,3,12,19	203:25 226:4
195:7	286:12	199:11 200:10,12	262:11 280:12	file 4:2,3,3,4,4,5,5
endangering 242:14	exactly 17:13 31:13	201:20,22 202:8,24	FactFinder 86:13	10:8 26:3,5 42:4
ended 16:19 44:13	77:13 167:22 201:5	202:25 206:17,19	factor 275:11	44:6,14 45:15,16
ends 184:7	209:8 260:2,5	206:20,24 207:7	factors 82:18,22	46:2,4 87:22
end-of-the-process	Examination 4:6,8,9	211:25 217:3	195:14,21,21 225:1	104:17 106:7 138:7
140:16	10:19 278:1	235:20 239:7,10,17	273:8	165:4,25 166:5,10
ensued 47:4 128:17	examined 10:17	241:14 247:20,24	fair 28:14 29:2 53:21	166:16,23 171:5,6
157:23 234:16	example 18:21 20:11	248:1 250:8,10	53:22 74:18 86:8	188:3 200:18
266:25	67:4,24 105:6	252:15,17 253:15	91:3 96:23 125:16	221:25 267:10
ensuring 82:5	106:17 111:2 121:9	253:24 255:8,9	157:11 180:9 181:7	268:1
entail 42:16	159:23 185:13	256:7,25 257:4,6	185:20 192:5 193:2	files 20:3 26:23 33:2
entailed 70:21	216:13 217:12,25	260:8 265:2,4	230:3 242:23	44:5 55:4 200:23
entire 17:20 27:2	268:14 282:9	266:21,23 267:12	271:23 284:8	281:9,10,24
107:22,25 183:23	examples 67:3 80:13	267:16 269:13	fairly 243:12	fill 92:12
220:4 232:10	excerpts 6:18 155:8	exhibits 1:23 4:13	fall 282:19	filled 132:24
233:24 234:1 238:5	155:17	9:12,13 124:9,22,25	falls 161:6 222:22	fills 210:25
entirely 228:13	exchange 200:14	exist 151:25 221:1	familiar 125:14	final 16:22 184:17,20
273:15	252:23,25	existed 20:3,5	135:23 150:1	186:9 191:3
entirety 185:3 255:24	Excuse 100:7	existing 151:16 233:9	160:13	finalized 186:24
entitled 15:2 49:4	executive 36:17 37:13	278:11	far 14:2 17:12 40:11	financial 287:12,14
50:19 60:24,24	37:24 39:5 51:7,9	expected 183:19	45:12 62:9 63:9	287:15
123:19 138:12	52:6 114:5 115:20	Expedited 5:14	64:4 75:24 80:7	find 42:23 141:11
	l		l	l

210 10 16 220 10	72 7 10 21 74 10	6 0°6/1 101 12	G 4 00000 C 00 0 7	206 12 242 5 6
219:10,16 238:10	72:7,18,21 74:19	four-fifths 101:13	GA000086-90 9:7	206:12 243:5,6
fine 162:14 218:17	198:16	four-page 171:14	GA000092-94 9:4	247:1 255:11 286:2
finish 14:4,7 170:8	focusing 76:3 119:22	frame 70:18 71:2	GA000095-97 8:2	286:20,20
finished 140:14	fold 223:19	74:18 177:23	GA000100-101 7:20	Georgia's 65:6
183:23 280:5	folder 19:17 20:8,16	Frank 2:18 234:19	GA000112-113 7:11	Gerald 240:6,6
firm 73:4,7,10,14	33:5,14 66:1,19,22	280:1	gender 171:16 173:4	getting 14:4 59:19,19
74:6	143:16 268:18	freeway 47:23	general 5:2,4,6,8 6:16	166:16 252:6 263:2
Firms 3:2	269:2,3 282:9	frequent 42:5	7:12 12:19 16:3	Gina 1:14 4:7,16 7:5
first 10:17 22:9,24	folders 35:1 66:5	Friday 14:19 241:24	26:4 35:20,23	7:7,10,17,19 8:2,19
23:7 29:20 33:1	280:13,13,16	front 33:25 173:17	50:19 63:4,6 69:11	9:1,7,9 10:16 285:1
36:15,20 39:17	folding 223:23	236:6 256:22	70:1,6 103:13	285:22 286:13
41:18 46:20 51:6	follow 79:24 169:10	full 96:24 97:17,22	114:21 115:4,9,10	GIS 38:13
51:13 53:20 55:10	268:6	128:19 144:23	121:2,14 129:9	give 22:3 50:16 67:3
61:23 79:10 80:8	followed 58:4 171:14	180:1 214:5	154:22 170:16	125:2 147:12
86:8 90:14,16	232:25	fully 255:20	248:21	150:23 156:6
93:10 101:18	following 37:15	Fulton 263:16	generally 125:7	196:10 232:3
113:25 114:16	48:15 50:2 158:16	further 11:18 21:9	241:6	242:17 246:21
115:18 131:16	158:20 166:19	22:12 81:19 171:2	generals 41:12	256:1 279:4 282:8
142:17 144:12	218:4 278:8 279:21	244:24 246:15	General's 74:5,11	given 18:14,14 65:6
152:8 165:6,6	follows 10:18	250:5 270:16 272:9	generate 136:1	83:6 172:9 186:18
168:10 174:12	follow-up 171:3	272:12 274:8 277:6	159:20	186:22 201:14
188:19,20 191:6	175:6	277:9 278:20	generated 232:9	205:3 220:4 273:12
203:19 205:5 206:8	football 129:19	279:24	gentleman 156:3	286:12
207:15 208:4	Ford 165:11,11,13	G	geographic 26:16	gives 132:20 196:6,12
213:15,20 219:22	foregoing 285:3	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 233:23	42:19 271:22	237:5 266:2
236:3,11 239:11	286:4,10	GA 2:10,20 3:3	geographical 43:21	giving 211:10
240:5 242:2 246:16 255:10 261:16,18	forgot 233:20 form 67:11 132:24	173:19,24 174:4	79:18 80:13 234:3	glasses 126:10 go 14:25 15:13 19:15
266:10 278:8	133:17 138:15	188:5 197:5,6,17	geographically 214:16	27:17 34:10 35:7,7
286:14	182:25 209:24	200:12 202:15,25	geography 42:18	39:22 46:14,24
fish 173:13	282:18	206:19 235:24,24	52:18 79:24 105:15	48:5,6 49:2,2,18
fit 46:15 186:1 235:2	format 165:20,21	235:25 239:8	106:4 139:13	61:3,10,20,20 62:10
273:11	formats 144:25	241:17 247:23	151:22 168:13	63:1,15 78:13 82:4
fitting 63:24	forms 92:12	250:10 252:17	210:19 222:23	85:13 88:17 89:3
five 4:5 28:24 39:8	formula 110:1,21	253:17,20 265:5	Georgia 1:1,3,11,13	90:12,18 96:11
61:4 62:1 98:17	formulas 109:10	266:23 285:24	1:19 2:2 6:1,2,4,5	99:5 100:21 101:17
113:20 145:18	Fort 198:25	Gainesville 94:6	6:14,17,18 7:21 9:5	105:4,20 111:12,14
178:18 204:3	forth 64:13 171:6	Gary 7:5 170:11	10:25 11:1 12:12	113:19,22 115:16
221:25 230:17,18	Fort's 198:8	gauge 77:12	15:18 25:19 31:17	118:4,4 121:15
230:20,24 231:17	forward 58:16	GA-6 239:9	35:16,19,22,23 36:5	128:10 129:16
237:16 246:7	129:16 233:3	GA000001-2 8:13	36:7 40:15,17,25	137:12,14 144:10
266:12 283:19	forwarded 197:8,9	GA000003-47:13	41:13 42:5,14	146:2 149:17
fix 41:4 140:24	197:23 265:22	GA000006-8 8:15	44:24 49:10 50:19	150:20 153:17
Flat 225:24 226:2	found 143:16 259:25	GA000009-13 8:18	56:13 72:5,9 75:17	154:21 157:18
227:4,18,24 270:12	262:2	GA000014-25 8:21	75:20 76:2,18	165:19 170:7 171:6
flip 100:8	four 4:4 51:12 75:16	GA000026-27 7:15	92:11 115:8 121:13	173:25 180:5
Flippen 225:16 226:3	97:17 144:11 149:8	GA000028-29 8:23	132:18 134:2	184:10 188:5,11,19
226:25 227:1,2,9	149:17 164:20	GA000030-33 8:8	144:12,16,19 153:5	192:2 195:20
floor 2:9 235:14,15	188:3,6 222:3	GA000034-39 8:6	153:14 155:18	200:12,12 215:19
focus 76:1 163:14	243:9 245:2 266:12	GA000040-59 9:10	158:4 184:5,25	219:5,23 220:9,14
181:1 206:7	Fourteenth 2:9	GA000077-78 8:19	199:13 200:2	221:18 224:7
focused 40:22 64:18	fourth 180:6	GA000079-80 7:17	201:22 205:6	226:16 231:10
			l	

				1 490 27
234:10 239:9	275:20 276:17	268:23	165.12.15	Heather 36:22
246:14 253:17	277:17 284:12	grouped 77:1	165:12,15 Hammond 36:23	heavier 103:4
255:2 266:13 267:1	Golick 242:4,4		38:25	
	-	groups 55:4		heavily 54:16 215:2 243:4
267:11 269:11,20	good 10:21,22 11:2,3	Grove 160:23 161:10	Hampton 160:21	
269:23 279:18	14:1 34:12 68:21	222:4,4,6,7,13,13	161:16 163:14,19	held 129:10 154:22
282:3	79:19 91:19,20	229:6,7 272:25	163:24,24 164:2	186:5 261:14
goal 28:15,23 96:20	113:16 196:10	grown 182:21 257:22	222:5,10,12 228:19	Hello 207:20
182:10	214:2,14 215:22	growth 71:16 86:23	229:17 258:5,10	help 40:16,18 98:24
goes 62:1 78:10 101:7	225:17,25 229:7,25	87:4,5,10,11 89:17	hand 31:21 142:18	98:25 177:2,12,20
101:9,12 116:14	243:13 274:7 277:2	90:2,6,9 103:13,14	268:10 282:2	224:4,6 227:16
128:20 149:5	282:21	151:11 152:17,24	handle 41:8	229:3 250:5
152:18 268:19	GOP 209:3	204:4,10	handles 109:8	helpful 275:25
272:16,22,25 274:3	gotten 169:11 202:17	guess 46:1 51:5 52:9	happen 18:24 44:24	Henry 4:20,21 5:7,9
going 14:6,15,16,17	202:22 280:23	60:16 82:9 109:18	79:15 80:11 172:24	28:20 33:10 45:13
14:19,21 15:23	Government 36:14	120:22 136:17	259:24 260:4	45:23 49:4,11,20
17:2 25:1,1 27:5	governments 38:24	146:13 160:25	happened 65:3	50:3 93:13,14,20,21
30:24 33:16 34:17	38:24 78:2	170:23 206:25	116:21 157:4 178:7	94:15,18,23 95:8,10
34:17,24 35:7,9	governor 201:1,3	215:4,12 226:7	178:9 179:9 191:15	95:17 96:13,23
39:3 53:3 54:9,14	245:22	233:14 245:9	220:24 252:12	97:21 99:1,15,24,25
60:23 61:3 62:10	governor's 108:2	263:18 268:2	261:16,22 266:5	100:14,16 101:12
65:8 66:21 69:1,23	gray 213:5,7	273:24 284:9	279:6 282:19	102:8,18,21 103:18
70:19 74:16 77:25	Great 14:15 125:24	guessing 136:18	happening 17:1	103:19,22 104:12
95:7 100:13,13	129:6 188:4	guidelines 61:24 62:2	happens 79:11	105:1 145:17 160:9
106:20 109:5,12,20	greatest 59:3	62:5,15 69:8	Harbins 214:5,7,12	160:13,18 163:13
110:20,22 111:1,6	greatly 77:15	guy 129:20	214:13,15,23	177:10 178:4,19
113:21,22,23,24	green 146:18,19	guys 126:10	215:15 216:5,6,9,14	179:7,25 180:3
117:4 123:18 124:8	207:22	Gwinnett 4:17,18 5:3	217:3,17,25 218:6	198:15 200:21
124:8,9,22 126:15	Greenbaum 1:15 2:3	5:5 21:23 24:3,11	218:18	204:4,13 208:8,24
128:2,4 129:22	4:8,9 10:15,20,24	24:14,17 33:10	hard 70:13 84:15	209:1 210:6 221:17
130:23 135:3 138:1	13:19 46:24 68:20	45:14,23 46:21	117:7 185:5 202:19	222:3 241:2 246:5
138:10 142:15	68:23 72:16 95:15	47:9 48:7,17 68:10	246:2 270:6	249:13,13 257:11
144:10,11,11	100:8,10 124:19	68:14 85:25 86:5,9	harm 29:6,11 30:7,10	260:18 267:19
147:10,12 149:2	125:4,10,16,18,24	86:24 87:5,23,24	Harrell 250:22,23	269:23 271:21
150:21 157:20	125:25 126:1,5,9,12	89:18 91:11,23	Harvill-Childs 8:15	273:15 274:17
158:22,24 161:25	128:13 129:14,18	93:12,16,21 94:2,3	8:17 239:23 240:3	Henson 7:15 192:8,9
164:11,19 168:19	129:24 137:23	94:7 158:25 160:15	240:19 244:3	193:16
170:2,7 171:12	145:3 155:14 156:7	178:21 198:15	Haven 224:25 270:12	Herren 5:13
173:13,21,23 174:4	157:17 164:5,9	241:1 243:1,4,18	HB 15:19,19 16:19	Hey 174:14 234:19
179:2 183:16	173:23 178:24	244:6,12,19 248:22	17:4,9,9 254:9	234:20
184:21 187:22	179:2,5 187:19	249:6,23,25 250:20	HB566 8:12	Hi 241:23
188:4 191:14 192:6	199:17 202:12,15	250:23,24 251:3,5,6	head 78:19 208:21	Hickory 225:24
196:24 197:17	202:19 207:23	251:17,21 253:4	209:8 226:10 277:1	226:2 227:4,18,24
199:11 203:12	208:1 212:9 235:18	257:7	heading 148:10	270:12
206:19 210:3 212:1	236:2,6 253:21	231.1	headings 148:8 149:9	hierarchy 83:4
212:4 219:12	255:15 256:10,13	Н	hear 198:9 277:13	high 59:4
221:19 223:8,18	256:16,19 265:7,9	H 1:14 4:7 10:16	heard 83:18 114:18	higher 82:19 109:15
228:24 232:6,6	265:14 267:3 277:5	159:4,6 162:6	189:20 191:7 199:4	162:17 163:6 204:3
234:13 244:23		272:4 285:1,22	262:16	
	280:1,8 281:3,6	286:13		highway 47:23
245:9 246:13	283:11 284:4,8,11	half 101:13 154:11	hearing 189:23 190:1	225:25,25 227:12 hirad 27:16 115:20
252:17 255:2,5	grew 86:10,12	halfway 209:15	190:3,5	hired 37:16 115:20
257:13 266:23	group 57:19 64:25	Hamilton 7:7 165:11	hearings 154:21	120:11
267:4 271:13,18,20	66:10 67:11 120:21	11 a 111111011 . 103.11	155:1 189:18	Hispanic 88:17,19
	•	•	•	•

00 4 00 2 4 20	,,,,,,,,,,,,	1000107014	151141500	05.15.00.110.6
89:4 90:3,4,20	145:17 147:1,11	192:3 197:2,14	151:14 152:2	85:17,22 113:6
91:11,23,25 92:2,22	149:6 150:17,18	199:9 200:9 201:19	167:10 181:5 224:4	167:17 205:2 237:7
93:1,3 100:23	151:2,4,10,12,22	202:23 206:16	224:9,10,24 275:9	237:20 287:5,12,15
133:21,22 134:3,7	152:8,11,11,20,21	211:24 235:19	275:12 279:4	interested 110:8
134:11,11,14,25	153:14 154:15	239:6 241:13	incumbents 30:3	148:7 153:18
149:15 156:23	155:18 158:4,12	247:19 250:7	122:5,6 205:23	167:12 173:3,6
194:5 195:2,8,25	183:23 186:18	252:14 253:14	224:11 225:2 275:4	175:1,9 203:15
220:12	188:19,21,23 189:2	255:7 265:1 266:20	279:1,2	228:5,8 280:9
history 35:8 52:20	189:6,6,9,13,18,19	identifies 85:16	Index 4:1,2,6,12 9:16	interrelationships
78:10,15 170:17	190:5,7,12 191:17	identifying 134:14	indicate 92:14 285:20	212:3
210:18 247:1 266:3	191:18 198:4,17	III 63:3	indicated 167:11	interrupt 27:21
hit 107:2	199:23 200:2,19	illegitimate 84:8	indicator 24:25	interstate 79:22
hits 129:16	202:3 205:6,8,16	immediately 279:12	individual 65:12 66:4	80:14 274:1
Hold 234:8	206:12 231:2	279:17	66:9 85:9 196:8	introduce 235:10
holding 77:3	236:18 239:25	impact 89:22,23	210:24 265:21	277:20
home 235:3	242:5 243:3 246:23	217:19 220:10	individuals 60:18	introduced 10:23
honestly 260:21	252:4,20 255:11	225:4	information 8:11	189:5
Honorable 5:10	260:9 262:10,12,18	impacted 22:22	36:10 43:10,13	involved 27:14 54:16
114:1	262:20,23 264:6,8	importance 41:1 57:4	61:6 88:3 119:17	62:8 65:18 85:10
hope 210:1 225:15	266:4 275:6 277:18	important 14:3 57:24	124:2 129:9 137:11	118:17 161:3
hoped 166:22	278:12 279:9 283:6	58:7,11,13 75:8	148:13,18,19 169:6	236:19
Hopefully 201:18	housed 164:3	82:17 166:9,12	192:16 193:23	involvement 119:4
hoping 169:14	Housing 5:5,9 6:16	168:15	210:17 211:2,5,7,9	119:24
hour 284:3	hseprop1 143:2	imprecise 276:19	217:23 232:22	involves 15:16
hours 283:25	HsePrp1_Turnout	improve 219:18	254:20 267:22	involving 11:1
house 4:17,18 5:16	6:11	improvement 219:10	268:13 269:13	178:17 180:6
5:17,19,21,23 6:1,2	humbled 115:19	improving 29:4	280:15,19	islands 79:25
6:4,5,7,18 7:2,3	hundred 148:6	include 21:4,5 67:1	initial 5:1 60:25	issue 12:14 24:3
8:12 9:2,5 12:15,17	183:20 242:7	90:20 104:5 133:15	165:18 222:15	39:25 58:23 74:16
16:5 19:25 21:1,14	243:14	257:7,10	225:7 241:21 266:4	76:24 77:2,2 122:3
21:16,24 23:14	hunt 217:22	included 93:1 131:24	266:15	155:1 161:5 198:20
33:10 41:14,23	H167 143:12	133:22,23 184:22	initially 53:12 198:14	222:7 238:9 256:19
42:1 46:21 47:8,9		226:2 244:20	199:2 224:10	issues 21:10 58:21
48:7,14,16 49:4,10	1	249:25 281:23	248:15	72:12 171:10
49:20 50:1 53:11	idea 81:18 173:7	includes 55:19	input 148:23 166:5	items 47:16
55:11,18 56:1,15	248:16	203:20	198:6 254:20 255:5	iteration 283:8,8
57:14 62:15,21,23	ideal 126:22 132:11	including 159:24	inquired 175:2	iterations 283:7
63:8,18 64:2,3,4	132:12,13	inclusive 278:14	ins 110:1	ITOS 36:9,12
66:1 67:1,20 68:3,9	identical 63:10	incorrect 175:24	inside 57:12	IV 2:8 117:6
69:9,13 73:15,20	identified 14:13 35:4	increase 91:11,23	instance 224:12	I-75 273:25 274:3
89:25 90:4 93:21	47:1 48:2,25 49:16	101:6 213:15	237:19	T
93:23,23 97:24,25	50:13 60:20 61:15	214:17	instances 80:16	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{I}_{2.19,2.2}}$
103:24 119:1,24	87:18 95:1 113:17	increased 89:10	Institute 36:13	J 2:18 3:3
120:16,18,20	123:13 130:2	94:23 95:17 100:17	instruction 186:23	JACKSON 1:7
122:24 126:21	133:18 135:1 138:8	102:13 209:19	intact 79:5 96:15	JAMIDA 1:6
127:11,12,13	139:20 142:13	increases 204:9	intended 143:5	Jan 8:23 252:18
130:13,19,20	144:6 147:8,23	increasing 134:2	intends 245:16	January 37:8 169:19
135:17,17 138:13	148:25 149:22	incum 275:8	intent 125:19 283:13	184:7 246:17
139:24 140:7 141:5	153:2 154:2 155:5	incumbency 21:10	intentional 222:8	Jeffares 245:7,8,9,14
141:9 142:17 143:4	158:1 161:23	122:3	interacting 187:16	245:17,21
143:5,7,8 144:13,16	164:17 169:25	incumbent 54:24	interest 21:8 59:12	Jimmy 5:11 37:24
144:19,22 145:11	173:8 178:13 188:9	79:13 80:10 113:7	84:12,13,15,18,20	38:1 114:1,5
	ı	ı	I	I

	•
JM 285:25	kind 21:11 40:16,18
job 11:10 14:1 38:15	52:14 55:1 56:24
51:21	65:3 66:20 81:15
Joe 36:21	81:17 107:2 130:24
Joel 1:16 140:1	140:16 146:12
287:21	178:16 191:10
JOHN 2:4,18	196:6,9,12 218:16
joint 55:10,14 56:22	223:11,18 226:8
114:20 115:2,6	238:21 270:14
153:10 199:22	275:1,19
Jon 1:15 2:3 10:24	kinds 103:14 108:3
234:9 280:3	knew 86:22 90:2,7,8
Jones 8:23 252:18	102:24 165:24
JR 2:18	166:1,4 167:7
judge 11:16,23	181:17,18 182:16
Judicial 286:19	194:19 198:19
July 204:12	257:22 281:14
jump 42:24	Knight 8:5,7 28:2,19
jumps 88:12	29:8 51:17 120:11
June 122:8	120:19,21 136:10
junk 268:7	177:17 179:17
junkie 210:17	203:6,9,10 206:25
jurisdictions 53:17	know 14:5,12 15:20
Justice 123:24	16:6,7 17:6,20
justification 159:9	18:22 21:19 23:6
J-5 129:7	25:1 27:16 29:23
J_4_2010_VTD_L	30:20 34:6 40:3,6
148:4	40:16,17 41:6 43:6
K	45:3 54:21 57:22
K 5:22	58:5,18 59:4,8 60:1
Kade 118:6,9 136:10	60:17 62:22,25
keep 26:18,20 65:5,8	63:13 64:7,23 65:4
77:23 79:5 80:19	65:5,10 66:15 68:13 72:2,17,23
81:15 96:14,20,21	75:22 77:16 78:1
99:2 105:20 159:10	
202:19 223:9 235:5	78:20,22 81:1,5,20 82:6,23 83:12,15
280:13,24 283:14	84:17 85:4,6,6 87:9
keeping 21:6 71:19	87:11,11 90:5,7
75:24 77:4 79:9	92:3 98:8,14 102:9
92:5 213:25 225:1	102:12,15 108:11
228:10	102.12,13 108.11
keeps 25:19 26:21	111:9,10,12,16,18
Vallantarum 270.15	111.7,10,12,10,10

141:12 18 20 142:2
141.12,10,20 142.2
141:12,18,20 142:2 142:2 143:12 150:3 150:7,13 152:15
159:6,22 160:4
161:2 162:19,21,23
163:1,9,11,23 164:4
164:5 165:22
169:22 170:20,23
170:24 171:2,10,20
170:24 171:2,10,20
171.24 172.18,23
175.2,3 176.13,22
181:20,23 184:12
186:11 190:24
100:11 190:24
193:15 196:14,18 197:12 198:18
197:12 198:18
199:7 203:7,18,23 205:2,14,20,25
206:3,4,14 208:20
200:5,4,14 208:20
209:7,11 211:6,9
213:21 215:5,6,12
216:4,8,13 217:8
218:5 220:4 223:12
223:13,17,22 226:9
229:15 230:10,22
231:10 233:19,21
233:25 235:1
236:16 240:6,7
243:11 244:7
245:15 248:18,19
248:25 249:2
251:24 253:3,8
255:22 256:3,5,16
258:12 260:2,5
262:1,7 263:12
264:3 265:24 266:9
266:14,16 267:21
267:23 268:8,19
269:6,12,21 271:18
275:10 277:1
279:14 280:3,24
283:18,21
knowing 168:12
173:3 219:12
224:13 225:2,5
275:8,11
knowledge 15:15
67:7 69:19 92:25
119:5 127:21 132:3
known 194:10 215:23
242:22

knows 52:15 77:14

L labeled 4:17,18,20,21 6:1,2,4,5,13 7:1 8:9 9:5 labor 64:20 Lake 224:25 270:12 270:15 Lane 5:10 65:23 114:2,9 143:14 Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18 163:3,6,8 212:19,23
6:1,2,4,5,13 7:1 8:9 9:5 labor 64:20 Lake 224:25 270:12 270:15 Lane 5:10 65:23 114:2,9 143:14 Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
6:1,2,4,5,13 7:1 8:9 9:5 labor 64:20 Lake 224:25 270:12 270:15 Lane 5:10 65:23 114:2,9 143:14 Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
9:5 labor 64:20 Lake 224:25 270:12 270:15 Lane 5:10 65:23 114:2,9 143:14 Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
Lake 224:25 270:12 270:15 Lane 5:10 65:23 114:2,9 143:14 Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
Lake 224:25 270:12 270:15 Lane 5:10 65:23 114:2,9 143:14 Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
Lane 5:10 65:23 114:2,9 143:14 Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
114:2,9 143:14 Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
Lane's 66:22 language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
language 238:18 laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
laptop 185:13,15 large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
large 46:16 80:21 84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
84:16 90:6 229:24 229:24 246:5,5 270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
270:18 271:20,21 271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
271:22 274:20 larger 78:6 103:11,13 214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
214:15 largest 182:22 Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
Larios 11:19 12:4,14 39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
39:23 Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
Latino 88:18,21,24 89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
89:4,5,19 100:23 133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
133:21 LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
LAURETHA 1:4 LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
LAVELLE 1:3 law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
law 2:5 3:2 21:4 73:4 73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
73:7,10 74:6 82:24 83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
83:1 191:5,23 287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
287:10,13 Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
Lawrenceville 3:3 6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
6:17 153:18,20,24 154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
154:6,7,16,17 155:2 156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
156:14,21 157:13 159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
159:4,5,13,16,23,25 160:1 162:7,18
160:1 162:7,18
100000000000000000000000000000000000000
213:12 220:3,7
221:4
lawsuits 251:17,21
lawyer 124:14
Lawyers 2:4
layer 26:2,6,16 42:19
44:9 81:9,14,20
107:9,21 109:9
110:16 165:5,5
layers 47:12,15,19,21
laying 88:3
leader 192:10 239:25

leading 45:25 46:1
53:5
lean 243:15
leaning 275:24
leave 223:20 229:19
Lee 156:3
left 37:7,8,12,21
152:21 186:16
216:11,17 217:7
legal 72:23,25 73:16
73:21
legislation 57:14,19
184:4,22 252:7
legislative 1:13 4:23
6:14 7:21 9:2 50:20
62:15 65:6 70:1
73:22 86:20 114:6
114:18,20,24 115:2
114:18,20,24 115:2
115:6 116:13
118:19 135:13
118:19 135:13 143:19 153:5
156:15 157:1,6,9
184:4 186:16
199:14 230:23
239:13 273:14
legislator 59:21
117:10,16,17,25
legislators 35:2 59:23
103:21 116:19
117:23 167:5,15
177:9,14 178:4
280:14
legislator-drawn
117:9,15
legislature 15:18
117:10
legitimate 84:7
LEMON 1:3
lend 261:2
lesser 41:1
letter 5:10,13 114:1
114:17
let's 18:3 19:25 20:10
20:10,11,11 21:22
28:9 31:8 32:5
36:21 39:22 46:14
53:24,24 54:1 66:5
68:8,23 69:23 74:9
88:8 93:4,19,19,19
94:18 96:12,14,19
96:19 98:2 99:4
104:25,25,25
- · · · , - • · · - •

Kelleytown 270:15

Kemp 1:10 6:8,10

Kennedy 36:22 38:20

148:1 169:7

kept 19:17 96:13

kidding 283:20

key 75:10

kids 85:5

kin 286:15

112:16 113:8,14,14

116:2 119:2,10

120:13 121:5,8

127:24 129:11

122:2,4 125:10,13

125:13,18 126:12

132:1,2,3,20 135:22

136:18 137:9 139:7

139:9,15,18 141:8

108:20,22 110:10	list 21:11 47:19 56:15	31:25 32:24 48:20	216:24 256:4	man 118:9,10,11
112:16 136:6,6	82:25 84:1 92:13	48:22 63:2 65:17	lose 71:13	manager 38:13 51:16
145:7 146:2 159:22	120:10 136:5	66:17 72:6,12	losing 242:18 243:25	maneuver 50:17
160:8,8 163:12,12	153:13 238:5	82:11 85:12 89:2	lost 183:13	map 4:17,18,20,21
163:13 180:5,5	listed 55:11 56:9 70:4	90:11 95:4,4 96:11	lot 24:19 40:23 53:7	6:1,2,4,5 9:5 12:22
212:14 214:3,3	180:4 285:5	98:25 100:14,20	54:3,8 63:21 65:6,7	17:19 18:4,5 32:7
219:13 221:16,16	listened 208:2	104:22 111:14	77:21 78:13 86:12	32:10 39:19 41:22
223:3 228:18	listing 55:19 253:4,6	122:7 137:15	87:11 102:7 106:18	46:20 47:7,13,16,20
267:11 270:22	lists 15:14 51:12	140:11,22 141:10	119:12,13 120:14	47:21 48:6,6,9,12
279:3 282:8,8,14	55:16 56:12,13	149:16 150:1	124:20 126:11	48:14,15 49:3,10
283:16	200:25	155:25 156:2 162:9	127:25 210:5,25	50:1 54:23,25
level 43:21 70:6 92:7	lit 207:22	162:13 167:20	211:1,11,14 245:1,1	64:23 70:5 71:19
105:25 106:4,6,11	little 27:6 35:7 40:16	170:8 173:14	246:5 263:17,22	76:15 77:14 97:24
108:6 111:23,25	41:6 47:24 48:17	195:18 200:4 202:7	282:22,25	97:25 117:17 119:1
113:15 129:7	81:23 85:1,24	207:2,6 208:16	loves 253:9,9,10	127:20 128:2
148:12 179:9	96:16,24 97:11,11	209:6,13,24 211:18	lower 21:11 195:7	145:11,14 147:1,11
212:11 246:12	108:19 129:15	211:22 212:1 213:9	217:6,25 227:19	147:21 152:13
Lewis 1:18 2:19	146:1 151:7 160:25	216:19 217:3,9	Lowes 224:18,19	158:12,15,16 160:9
59:11,18 73:2,4,7	161:5 164:10	219:5,7,25 223:3	Lunsford 8:1	177:19 182:4,5
73:12	187:18 206:25	226:11 228:25	LYNNE 1:7	183:23 184:22,25
libraries 33:2 65:11	224:7 226:18 229:9	230:12 231:11		184:25 191:3 192:1
library 19:23 65:12	242:22 256:4	236:11 241:20		193:20,21,22 202:4
65:13	271:14 274:15	250:23 259:12	M 1:15 2:3 159:4,13	203:20 211:1
lie 113:8	280:10	275:22 276:18,24	163:3,6,8 212:19	217:11 254:25
lieutenant 245:22	live 30:20 113:9	281:1	213:12 220:3	255:2,5,6,23 257:1
light 207:17,22	122:2 207:21	looked 19:8 26:7	main 53:23,25 196:7	264:24 265:8
likelihood 29:4,6	lived 79:13 224:12,12	29:21 30:5 66:22	237:23	267:17,19 275:17
likes 210:18,18,18,19	224:18,25 225:2	88:5 104:14 210:2	maintain 28:10,11,16	275:22 277:18
253:13	275:12,13	227:23 243:12	59:2,22 71:7 75:11	278:6,7,10 279:7,9
limit 40:23,24 79:25	lives 106:22	250:2 276:2 282:5	152:15	279:10,12,13,16,17
97:8 161:2,9 222:8	LLP 1:18 2:9,14,19	looking 16:6 17:25	Maintained 42:3	283:1
limits 40:25 161:12	local 11:14 38:24	18:16 22:1 25:24	maintaining 54:12	maps 6:21 8:3 9:9
Linda 36:18 165:10	39:16 53:17 54:4	32:16 43:21 71:8	59:12 74:20 175:15	12:1 16:25 17:3,10
165:13 200:18	57:13,19 77:17	75:18 86:14 92:6	major 79:22 214:13	30:13 38:14 39:17
line 29:22 58:19,23	78:1 85:2,3 107:15	104:15,17 134:5	majority 57:25 59:2	40:5,7 46:7,10,18
77:4 161:1,5,6,9	113:15 117:20,24	140:18 146:13	59:13,23 72:9 90:3	46:18 50:16 53:14
204:25 214:14	117:25 118:17,18	158:23 163:23	99:10 185:21	53:16 55:1,5 63:23
215:20,22,22,25	119:23 120:14	170:9 174:22,23	239:25 270:7,11	67:16 72:24 99:1
222:9,17,22 226:1	200:20	177:18 179:7	majority-black 278:5	117:7,9,15,24
229:15 233:9 234:5	locally 32:1	181:24 182:3 186:1	majority-minority	118:15 124:5
237:2 238:11,12	located 272:9	196:7 201:7 206:4	32:2 71:6,14,23	158:17 211:4,21
274:9 278:23	location 152:3 272:15	206:15 210:12	72:4 74:21 75:12	235:12 251:25
lines 39:8 40:23,24	lockstep 127:3	213:4,20 220:18	98:6 99:6 132:19	276:4 280:16,22,23
41:2,4,5,5 43:19	Locust 272:25	224:5 225:3 226:15	278:13,16 279:8,15	280:25 281:1
54:7,18 62:11	long 148:7 271:16	229:4,13 237:4	279:20	282:12,22 283:8
76:24 77:15 79:25	longer 43:5 205:16	259:15 266:8	makeup 58:10	Maptitude 8:1 16:11
82:20 142:6,7	231:25,25	looks 25:23 89:22	making 24:9 56:2	16:15 26:11 76:16
190:18 193:6,6	long-term 244:8	91:9 122:8 136:12	76:4 177:18 214:1	78:22 81:4,7 83:12
222:16 227:13,13	look 15:12 16:7,21	136:20,21 146:15	216:1 217:11	83:21 85:21 104:20
229:23	24:18,19,24 25:4	150:20,21 140:13	218:25 223:7 241:1	104:21 105:14
LinkedIn 4:16 35:10	26:10 27:3 29:15	165:4 169:22	249:18,22 264:13	131:6,8,10 138:18
39:4	29:18 30:5,6,8	181:11 209:8	Mall 243:5,6	139:18 150:9
	27.10 30.3,0,0	101.11 207.0		137.10 130.7

	1	1	1	1
231:13 232:9	205:21 206:3	166:18 168:18	minutes 9:2 10:24	20:14 155:2 282:23
March 9:3 168:11	210:21,25 218:10	173:6 186:13,17,19	14:21 20:20 245:2	283:7
189:1,5,9,10 199:8	233:17 244:13	187:3 191:9 211:2	254:6 260:8,9,16	mystery 207:25
254:3,8,12 260:10	247:8,15 248:6	211:14 247:12,16	261:2,20 262:2	N
260:24 262:8	263:10 264:2 272:9	247:17 254:22	266:4 267:12	
marginal 243:3	273:5 275:19 278:8	263:18	283:19 284:1	NAACP 1:3,5 2:2 6:8
Marietta 285:24	279:10	mentally 282:4	missed 255:13	6:10 11:1 148:1
mark 15:1 35:9 43:19	means 131:7 135:21	mentioned 20:20	misspell 245:10	name 10:24 27:16,17
46:25 48:5,7 49:3	247:12 251:22	28:10 36:4 39:15	mistake 121:21	60:18 61:5,14
50:18 60:23 95:7	meant 71:3	52:23 60:11,15	122:21 141:2,3	118:6 122:17 143:1
113:24 123:18	measure 77:12 85:22	66:24 76:8 79:3	238:10	145:22 169:24
135:3 138:11	measures 83:9,13	80:7,12 83:8 86:3	mistaken 279:19	172:10 176:5,7
139:22 142:15	84:4,7,25	90:8 91:10 104:18	mistakes 210:4	241:4
144:12,18 147:10	mediated 172:16	114:4 120:2 167:9	mixed 59:20	named 20:6,6 37:17
147:25 149:3 153:4	meet 67:4,6,12,14,15	190:11 195:15	mobile 185:12	156:3
154:4 155:7,10,16	67:18 177:23	215:1,18 245:6	modification 115:22	names 27:22
161:25 164:19	186:13 240:19	250:3 273:13 275:2	116:6	nature 211:13 281:23
170:2,4 178:15	meeting 6:18 7:13 9:3	mentions 240:6,9	modified 115:5	NE 2:20
192:6 199:11	66:24 67:8 68:8,13	243:8 245:5 251:2	262:13	near 224:18
201:21 202:25	104:2 119:15	menu 84:1	moment 221:18	nearly 272:4
212:4 235:10,25	155:17,18,23	Merritt 169:1	Monday 1:20 184:6	necessarily 43:14
239:10 247:23	156:18 188:25	messed 147:13 265:9	month 169:15	81:17 140:13 168:1
250:9 255:9 266:23	190:7,10 242:3	met 16:21 104:3,4,7	morning 10:21,22	need 43:16 162:12,13
marked 49:19 144:15	250:21 251:2,4	254:24	11:2,3 239:20	168:12 223:19
marking 87:21 130:5	254:7 260:9,13,24	meticulous 261:23	261:17,18	needed 42:20 43:22
MARLON 1:3	261:2,14 262:11	metrics 105:4	move 20:19 27:7	75:2 113:11 122:13
master 40:13	meetings 262:2	metro 145:15 147:6	32:12 49:18 58:16	166:1 285:19
master's 40:20	Meggers 36:18 37:3,7	mic 129:15,23	60:22 62:13 77:5	needs 137:24 184:13
match 26:25 234:5	37:12 200:18	microcosm 208:8,24	93:20 105:18	negative 243:19
matching 26:14	member 12:8 17:20	microphone 100:9	116:11 123:16	neighborhood 229:24 237:7,20
matter 124:13 222:14	18:16 19:19,22,25	middle 97:6 140:17	128:4 129:23 160:8	Neither 275:6
maximize 23:22	20:12 21:17 60:6	246:18 248:7	163:12,13 171:13	network 269:4
maximum 70:15	65:1,15,25 85:9,15	251:11 274:6	182:9 197:16	never 30:5 31:10 34:5
mayor 154:15	113:7 116:20	midterm 8:12 246:20	206:18 211:17	92:9 134:16 178:7
McDonald 5:11	121:11,24 124:14	247:2 278:23	214:24 217:16	178:9 281:25
37:24 38:1,7 114:1	172:17,19,25	mileage 47:24	221:17 227:9	new 2:6 19:3 41:14
114:5 115:23 116:8	186:15 242:5	miles 270:24	235:10 241:15	54:17 71:21 81:14
118:23,25 122:9	246:21,22,22 247:9	Miller 260:23 266:16	247:22 252:16	116:7 118:6 130:21
McDonough 160:21	252:23 members 12:19 16:3	mind 46:10 71:19	265:3	131:1 151:14 152:1
161:19 222:5,10,13		81:15 92:5 225:1	moved 36:1,13	152:5,18 161:7
228:3,6,12,15,22 275:15,19	16:21 17:6,16 21:14,24 22:21	mindset 194:16 mine 128:10 146:15	102:14 210:6 213:2 218:6 227:9 228:13	174:18 194:13
•		minimal 12:20 18:13		200:19 203:12,13
MCKENZIE 1:6 mean 30:10 33:24	27:13 33:3,11 38:23 41:24 54:24	minor 39:25 40:10	228:21 276:7	203:20 237:12
34:9 52:17 67:20	58:15 59:13 63:21		moving 24:23 30:20 41:4 75:15 84:11	258:6 275:4 278:11
71:11 80:18 82:17	63:22 64:7,8,10	minority 87:6 127:22 132:19 198:4 204:4	102:17 146:22	279:1,3,23
84:12 90:11 92:16	65:1,12 66:2,8,12	227:19	151:19 213:11,22	newer 25:16
111:22 119:12	66:15 67:11,21,21	minus 70:12 126:22	233:3 249:11 252:6	news 198:9,19
124:3 135:10,18	68:2,3,9,11,12,17	132:12	Moyer 1:16 287:21	Newton 270:13
136:3 139:13 142:6	78:11 85:2 104:8,9	minute 23:6 117:11	Mt 228:19 229:23	Nichols 180:10,13,14
143:2,15 150:4	118:16 122:10,11	149:16 156:5	258:4,7,9 270:23	180:20,24 181:4,19
159:15 192:24,25	127:12,12 128:1	191:11 202:10	multiple 17:23 18:19	181:20
137.13 174.44,43	121.12,12 120.1	171.11 202.10	munipic 17.23 10.19	101.20

	140.17 10 144.12	-1-4-2	224.15.266.24	140.0 141.05
nine 63:5,8 84:11	142:17,19 144:13	obtained 171:5	234:15 266:24	140:9 141:25
126:17	145:8,13 150:23	obtaining 165:4	oftentimes 152:23	142:22 143:1,11,18
Nix 7:17,19 22:14,24	152:18 173:22	obvious 182:8 219:25	oh 27:21 94:1 95:6	145:13,24 146:16
22:25 28:4 185:3	188:3 196:6,9,15	obviously 30:13,19	99:17 128:21,22	147:2,10,25 148:18
186:8,9 187:12	207:9 213:16,17	70:10 78:8 82:24	129:1 146:18	149:13,24 150:6
191:4,22 197:9,24	214:21 219:11,19	84:17 106:21	150:22 155:13	151:1,19 155:13,25
nonconsecutive 6:9	220:23 221:14,25	110:19 196:10	199:12 205:21	156:20 159:19
6:19	224:7 229:2,12	219:21 227:8	207:12,12 220:14	160:10,11,17
noncontiguous 76:18	232:9,15,19 233:5	228:23	220:15 230:25	162:22 163:1,12,12
76:19,21 79:24	242:8 243:2 253:4	occasionally 138:23	235:6 236:8 243:22	163:23,25 164:5,15
80:2,15 227:10	255:14 256:7 259:5	occasions 11:7	256:1,3,16 272:2	165:6,17 167:25
238:17	267:10 278:12	OCGA 287:2,6	274:18 282:4	169:18 170:2
nonwhite 89:20	279:8,15 285:20	October 123:24	okay 11:21 13:1,19	171:12,21,24
non-Hispanic 88:16	numbered 130:11	136:8 165:7 167:4	14:10,15 15:11,22	172:18,21 173:10
88:20,24 89:5,13	135:4 164:21 170:5	offer 238:4	15:25 16:13,24	175:25 176:25
90:19,21,25 92:7	numbering 130:10	offered 287:17	17:2 19:20,24	177:22 178:15
100:21,24 101:7,9	152:12	office 1:14 4:24 16:11	20:10,19 21:18	179:1 180:5,23
101:23 134:22	numbers 6:9,20	23:12 25:23 26:13	23:16 27:5,24 28:6	182:18 184:3
normally 70:12 78:5	15:13 19:19 30:25	32:19,23 35:21,24	32:4,9,12,18 33:15	187:19 188:24
79:15 144:5 278:25	31:1 87:15 88:16	36:1,7,16,19,25	33:19 34:7,15,21	189:12,14 193:15
north 160:21 161:15	90:7 95:5 105:14	37:4,13,19 38:6,10	35:6 36:1,24 38:20	194:20 196:21
163:14,18,24,24	106:15 107:4	50:21 51:16 52:3	39:14,22 41:13,17	197:11 201:7,9,14
164:2 222:5,10,12	109:13,21 126:18	52:14 53:1,9,16,24	41:20 42:2 45:17	201:21 203:7,24
229:17 271:19	136:23 137:6	53:25 55:1,5,5 64:1	46:20 47:25 48:19	205:4,24 206:23
272:5,7,10,13	149:15 151:9	64:14,19 67:6	49:14 50:5,11 51:3	207:12,14 208:1,22
274:11	152:15,22 156:6	72:12 73:16,25	52:11 53:8 54:3	208:25 209:10,15
northern 1:1 94:2,7	162:12,24 163:10	74:5,11,15 75:1	55:8 56:18 59:20	211:17 213:6,18
103:5,9 182:5,19	170:18 173:24	91:22 106:8 111:18	60:22 61:12,19,22	214:25 215:9
225:7 274:13,16,20	176:17,22 183:14	114:7,19,20,25	61:23 62:4 63:1,14	217:10 218:3,9,18
274:22	197:4 208:20	115:3,6,14,21	64:6 66:20 67:10	220:22 222:18,21
northwest 271:24	210:18 211:12	116:14 118:22	68:20 69:17 70:18	223:3 226:12,14
272:3 274:4	215:2 225:4 228:20	120:8,23 121:23	73:24 74:12 75:15	228:1,17 230:19
note 9:12 13:3,20,22	229:15 242:23	123:22 124:20,25	77:5 78:21 81:4	235:9,18 236:19
51:6 152:10	246:1 253:20	125:1 130:18,25	82:20 83:18,24	239:8,19 240:3,8,16
noted 156:20	255:15,16 265:12	134:15 135:14,19	86:22 87:3 88:6	240:22 241:15,18
notes 261:12,24	numeral 63:3 117:6	135:25 137:4	89:2 90:17 91:20	241:25 242:2,25
262:1	numerical 220:20	143:20,21 144:2	93:17 94:4,11,18	243:8,22 244:23
notice 7:13 15:11	NW 2:6,10,15	148:20,20 165:3,16	95:4 96:8 97:5,21	245:14,20,24
November 1:20 7:8		166:3 168:3,5	98:17,21 100:11,20	247:21 248:3,8,20
10:1,9 129:9	0	169:5 177:24 185:6	101:2 102:7,12,20	249:4,11,17 250:9
number 10:9 14:23	Oakland 229:22	185:7,12,22,25	103:1,8,15 105:17	252:1,16 253:12
15:18 28:5 34:24	oath 13:11	200:7 204:22	111:11,20 112:4,18	256:22 257:3,6
55:20 59:9 61:4	Obama 194:8,14	210:15 238:14	116:11,23 117:4,21	258:9,12,21 260:1,4
66:2 69:25 70:20	208:7,19	254:24 267:24	118:3,3 119:3	260:15,22 263:4,7
71:20 74:20 75:11	Object 182:25	officer 169:6	121:7,15,20 123:5	264:19 265:3,11,16
75:15 77:5 78:14	objected 154:16	Offices 1:18	123:15 124:12	265:24 266:2,11,22
83:2 84:11 88:25	objective 30:1,4 75:8	official 1:10 7:12	125:17 126:6 128:4	267:15,24 268:21
96:1 109:13 110:5	75:10 216:20 219:9	121:10	129:6 130:4,23	269:5,11,17,22
117:6 126:19 128:6	219:16 220:19	officials 44:24 129:19	131:11,13 132:6,15	271:1 272:16 273:1
130:1 132:9,10,12	224:3 225:15	offset 226:3	132:22 133:20	273:13 274:25
137:21 138:7 139:2	238:12 283:3	off-the-record 47:3	135:3,8,22 137:12	276:3 279:22,24
139:4 140:20	obtain 165:3 200:23	128:16 157:22	138:24 139:22	282:6 283:9,11,16
	1	ı	I	ı

	I		1	1
284:2	original 275:17	240:5 241:20 244:4	18:17 19:13,22	251:22
old 126:10 208:6,8	Outreach 36:10	244:25 246:16	20:7 25:14 29:23	people 13:5 30:20
older 139:17	outs 110:2	249:12 254:6	47:20 56:15 57:17	51:12 56:7 57:21
Olens 5:13	outside 73:21 74:1	255:10 265:12	57:24,25 58:25	59:19 61:5 67:14
once 125:21 228:21	76:5 83:3 235:3	pages 34:1,18 61:20	64:17 68:3 69:18	68:5 84:21,21,22
232:4 246:14 255:4	overall 71:20 88:8	62:1 116:15 122:7	75:18 76:16,25	85:3 89:10 90:20
ones 71:7 108:15	94:22 100:16	148:7,9 149:8,18	80:19 82:16 88:1	92:11,12 96:1 97:1
139:5 203:16 231:1	110:12 196:6,9,15	156:1 164:20	109:6,16 117:5	98:9,18 100:17
one's 256:13	220:11,18 224:7	171:13 174:1 192:7	121:11,12 135:23	102:10,16 103:8
one-page 162:1	overarching 26:19	236:1,2 260:7	135:24 150:16	109:22 110:11,12
one-person/one-vote	overriding 96:20	266:10 286:10	152:11 175:5 178:5	113:7,9,9 118:20
75:25	oversee 240:17	page(s) 285:20	184:24 185:17	119:13,15,18 126:6
one-stop 283:1	overstated 232:19	PAGE/LINE/CHA	186:16 268:18	132:3,4,10 134:12
online 88:5	O'Connor 7:15 8:5,7	285:6	particularly 182:10	135:25 185:25
Oops 33:15	8:14,17,19,21,23	pair 122:6	226:5 280:9	253:10 257:22
open 65:15 152:1	9:1 51:23,24 52:2	paired 279:4	particulars 60:14	263:23 264:4,24
186:16 245:23	120:22,25 136:10	pale 146:15	parties 124:15	percent 30:18 32:5
279:1,5 280:24	192:19,23 193:3,16	paper 34:9	186:18 286:16	70:12 91:7,8 101:7
281:21 283:15	196:21 203:5,8,19	paragraph 115:19	287:18	101:10,10 102:5,5
operating 168:6	203:25 204:11,21	166:8 168:10	partisan 58:10 60:11	108:24,25 111:4,5
267:25	206:25 240:19	203:24 207:15,16	190:18 193:17	126:22 132:7,13
opinion 82:10 84:3	241:8 245:25 248:3	208:5,25 209:16	195:24 213:10	133:5,6,9,10,12,21
205:12 206:10	248:9 250:13 253:3	240:5,8 243:1	216:9	134:6,7,20,21
208:11,12,15,24	254:1 259:17 260:7	250:19 251:12	partisanship 31:17	136:25 156:21,22
209:5,22 210:8,10	O'Connor's 219:7	252:1	parts 63:23 76:11	156:22 163:20,21
242:20	241:21 244:25	parallels 274:1	103:5 274:15	183:21 203:20
opinions 58:22	246:17	parens 246:2	party 11:23 57:24	205:7,9 206:2,13
opponent 179:15,18		Park 2:18 160:23	58:19,23 59:24	209:19 213:16
opportunity 13:15	P	161:10 222:4,7,7,14	68:5,19 98:14,16,21	215:11 218:7,14,22
opposed 117:22	P 1:16 287:21	Parkway 3:3	121:8,12 209:12	220:11 242:7,16
157:13 216:10	pace 26:22	part 12:6 15:12 16:7	234:23 287:11,11	243:14 249:7,7,14
opposite 213:22	packet 202:4 267:18	17:4 23:21 26:1,5	287:13,14	249:15 258:16,17
233:15	page 2:25 4:11,25	36:7 38:14 56:17	party's 58:25	258:17,19
opposition 127:4,23	5:21,25 6:25 7:25	57:1 64:8 65:3	pass 199:6	percentage 91:6
176:23	8:25 15:12,13,13	68:15 94:2,7 99:21	passed 4:17,19,22	101:6 102:4 106:12
opted 115:12	32:13,14,15 39:4	99:21 103:9 116:5	28:24 46:21 48:8	109:15 132:14,16
option 41:10 92:23	51:2 55:8 61:4,10	125:18 133:18	49:21 63:18 189:2	162:17 163:5,7,17
92:24 93:4	61:13,23 88:7,17	136:7 152:17	189:6,8,13 190:11	195:3,7,25 204:2
options 16:21 19:8	89:3 90:13,14,16,24	161:10 162:12	191:16 192:22	217:6 218:1,21
92:21 93:2	100:22 101:18	182:19,20 184:9	262:23 264:6,8	227:20 249:5
orange 1:7 146:3,6	113:25 116:12	204:21 206:8	passing 189:19	percentages 196:5
oranges 134:17	117:6 118:4 121:15	212:24 214:8	Pates 272:19	204:9 253:6
145:25	121:16 123:5	215:20 221:4 225:7	PATRICIA 1:4	perform 225:11
order 29:23 30:23	126:17 127:1 128:4	226:21 228:5	pay 69:11 102:20	performance 181:10
55:1 122:5 150:25	128:19,25 129:6	241:18 243:4	115:15 133:9,11,12	194:22 195:23
151:25 184:13	135:11 137:14	244:24 249:25	179:8	213:10 216:9
217:20 227:7 279:5	147:14 148:3 149:4	264:2 270:3 271:24	PAYTON 1:6	258:13
orders 54:24	150:23 153:18	271:25 272:3	PC 285:24 286:22,23	performed 39:11
ordinary 144:2	156:2,3,6,10 168:10	274:21 275:14	PDF 281:19	181:16 216:16
organization 1:3	179:14 180:6,6	284:6	Peachtree 2:10,20	231:14 259:13
19:18	188:6,6 197:5	participate 186:17,20	pending 105:13	performing 259:1
organizing 19:14	205:5 237:1 240:4	particular 16:9 18:12	195:15 217:19	performs 229:10
	l		l	I

	1	1	1	1
period 37:14,23 40:9	206:17 211:25	39:16 40:14 53:10	30:17,24 70:2,6	127:3 198:8 210:11
232:23,23	235:20 239:7	55:10 63:4,5,14,17	71:16 72:4,6,8	positions 57:21
Perkins 2:14 277:23	241:14 247:20	69:12 70:24 77:9	75:24 78:6,14,15	possibility 244:1
person 38:18 39:1	250:8 252:15	82:14 86:20 89:25	79:8 80:9 86:9,23	249:23
63:25 64:5 109:8	253:15 255:8 265:2	95:25 118:21,22	87:4,6 88:3,9,10,12	possible 21:7 30:2
111:17 121:5	266:21	126:21 127:7 131:4	88:20,21,24 89:6,9	46:3 59:3 71:15
122:16 135:24	plan 5:16,17,19,21,23	144:11 151:8	89:14,17,19,20 90:3	79:4 85:20 96:15
165:23 185:2	6:7 7:2,3 11:2	158:23 160:14	90:6,24 91:1,4,7,11	97:10 168:2,4
187:14 239:24	12:17 16:2 17:18	211:19 268:14	91:23 94:23 95:17	193:14 250:22
247:10 287:8	18:3 19:16 20:6,23	play 78:13 123:22,25	95:24 96:17,25	Possibly 142:25
personal 127:24	21:1 28:24 39:24	193:3	97:16,18 100:16,21	273:7 276:15
person's 64:13	40:20 41:3,9,14,17	please 100:8 129:15	100:24 101:3,7,9,17	potential 61:15 238:8
phone 13:5 39:2	41:21,24 63:17,24	285:19	101:19,23 102:8,13	potentially 224:6
physically 16:14	64:1,2,3,4,14 65:8	plus 70:12 126:22	102:23 103:3 105:5	244:15
pick 93:2 117:17	65:13,20 66:18,21	133:2 134:7	105:23 106:13,19	POWERS 2:4 144:25
211:14	69:13 70:14 72:11	point 12:20 15:9	131:17,18,21,22,23	155:9,13 157:18
picked 228:10,13	73:20 78:23,24	17:14 22:13 25:15	132:1,16,17 134:3	158:6 196:25
picking 228:21,23	82:5 84:14 86:25	27:11,12 37:6 41:4	136:22,23 137:5,5	202:13 234:12
picture 196:10	93:11,23,23 94:3	44:10 51:10 55:6	137:17 140:23	277:4
piece 216:25 238:17	103:18,23 104:12	63:25 64:5 76:13	141:6 151:11 152:4	practicable 70:3
270:9	104:22 105:1,12	76:20,22 82:2	153:24 154:7,11,12	pre 159:2 195:4
pieced 66:18 255:6	107:25 116:20	104:4,7 120:24	159:8,10,18,24	precede 171:22
pieces 76:18 79:25	119:1,19,24 120:4,7	143:17 167:7	161:3,17,21 162:16	precedence 82:19
239:1 283:5	120:8,18,21 122:12	173:12 174:24	162:18 163:5,7,17	preceding 279:13,17
pile 173:14 235:21,22	127:11,13 130:13	184:12,13 185:1	163:18 164:3	precinct 26:2,3,15,15
235:24	130:19 131:1 133:9	195:17 218:23	193:25 194:5 204:2	27:4 41:2,5 42:4
pink 146:15	135:16 137:18	220:9 223:16 237:1	213:23 217:16	44:9,25 45:5,24
pinkish 146:12	138:13,22 139:24	242:10 243:23	218:8,13,22 219:17	77:15,24,24 79:11
pinpoint 45:11	140:6,7,10,15 141:5	252:9 254:11	220:8 223:2 225:17	79:12,14,16,20,23
place 23:11 35:24	141:9 142:17 143:1	259:20,21 262:18	226:3 227:19	80:1,3,4,17,21
40:8 47:22 67:15	143:3,8,8 144:22	264:5 265:22	228:19 229:3,21	81:10,14,21 106:9
229:13	145:16 147:7	274:13 275:21	populations 103:11	106:18 107:9,10
places 102:17 219:21	150:11,11,14,17,18	points 218:22	139:7	108:21,22,24 109:1
Plaintiff 277:23	151:5,21 154:15,23	political 5:19 22:1,3	portion 33:1,7 64:17	109:2,18 110:12,24
Plaintiffs 1:5,8 2:2,12	158:24 159:1	22:8 24:18 25:8	88:19 103:17,18	111:2,25 112:1,5,6
162:2	162:20 167:1,2	26:6,9,10,12 28:12	109:14,17 113:12	112:7,17,20 113:12
Plaintiff's 4:13 14:14	174:19 184:18,20	29:16 30:4 52:18	163:8,18,20 186:25	141:1 142:11 159:7
35:5 47:2 48:3 49:1	185:11,18,22,24	105:21,24 106:3,25	212:24 213:11	161:1,6,12 162:4,16
49:17 50:14 87:19	186:2,8,9,16 191:21	106:25 107:1 110:8	215:15 216:10,15	163:4,24 165:5
87:19 95:2,2	192:18,22 193:4,9	110:9,16 111:5,22	216:17 217:4,6,16	169:24 170:18
113:18 123:14	193:12 195:14	112:25 138:12	217:25 218:6	179:9 180:8,12
130:3 135:2 138:9	202:7 218:1,19	139:24 175:3	224:19 225:23,24	181:2,8,8,14,15,18
139:21 142:14	221:17 222:3 223:5 226:22 230:14,23	194:16,22 219:10 219:19 224:21	226:2 227:1,4,18,20	181:19 182:2,4,5,8
144:7,7,8,9 147:9 147:24 149:1,23	231:2,7 232:10	219:19 224:21 225:4 226:6,9	228:9,11 251:10 258:5,10 266:9	182:9,15,17 183:5,7 183:9 212:6,11,19
1 1	· ·	243:13 246:10,10	267:19 272:12,23	
153:3 154:3 155:6 158:2 161:24	252:9 254:13,17,21 258:6 262:11,13,19	245:13 246:10,10	portions 28:20 97:22	212:20,24 213:2,5,8
164:18 170:1 173:9	262:20,22 269:23	politically 29:11	112:6,7 148:9	213:12,24,25 214:1 214:5,7,12,15 215:2
178:14 188:10	plans 12:14,22 15:17	175:14 224:4	151:20 227:2,24	214:3,7,12,13 213:2 215:20 216:2,3,17
192:4 197:3,15	16:16 17:23 18:1	227:16 229:7 250:5	228:3 263:21	215:20 216:2,5,17
192:4 197:3,13	18:19,24 19:9,14	pool 132:2	portraying 261:21	210:23 217:3,7 219:24 220:4,25
201:20 202:24	25:25 31:12 35:1	pool 132.2 population 5:4,8 6:16	position 84:6,10	221:3 224:19,25
201.20 202.24	23.23 J1.12 JJ.1	Population 5.4,0 0.10	Position 07.0,10	221.3 22 7 .17,23
<u> </u>				

225:17 228:9,10,12	53:2 64:22 69:15	136:13,17 144:4	20:1 116:12 143:5	31:16 33:22 34:13
228:16 229:7,10,16	111:24 113:16	160:16 186:5	144:19 150:13	58:4,9 63:16 73:6
230:6,11,14 231:7	185:1 192:25	196:20 204:8 226:8	193:6 195:6 252:22	92:18 93:1 112:15
231:21 232:10	201:15 224:12	232:18 242:23	254:12 255:11	117:13 133:25
233:8,9,14,23 234:1	229:10 261:16,19	261:15 268:9,11	257:1,7,10 281:10	134:11,18,19
234:5,6 237:6,11,12	261:23	problem 128:22	Prop1 149:6	141:24 157:7
238:10,11 246:11	previous 51:4 112:3	155:15 171:9	provide 34:4 61:5	180:17 183:1
246:21 257:19,20	231:17 249:20	238:13	114:20 115:3 124:1	236:12 248:15
259:16,16 275:25	250:3 275:22	problems 171:5	124:2,5 286:24	276:16 277:13,14
276:25	previously 51:21	procedure 117:5,8,14	287:7	278:3 280:2
precincts 7:1,2 8:9,10	87:1 151:16,25	168:7	provided 10:3 33:22	questions 14:20
26:18 27:1 44:3	152:2,5 175:2	procedures 5:11	34:4 42:18	34:20 51:5 126:16
45:15 54:13 77:8	204:10 228:3,15	116:13 117:2	public 245:19	179:12 203:11
77:11,19 79:2,5,6,9	271:4,8 278:22	267:25 268:5	pull 26:12,23,25	277:6,15,17,21
79:23 81:5 103:16	pre-2015 194:7,23	Proceedings 4:1 69:3	34:18 84:1 107:6	279:25 280:7 286:6
107:23 141:9,18,21	195:8 220:22	138:3 164:13	188:6	quick 261:16
159:3,21 160:6,17	primarily 16:4,20	187:24 221:21	pulled 236:5	quick 201.10 quicker 166:24
160:19 180:4 183:3	40:23 74:19 112:6	267:6 284:16	pulling 34:17	quickly 113:23
212:14 214:24	112:8 118:15 121:7	process 16:24 17:14	purple 223:21	137:13 144:10
219:22,25 220:23	164:3 198:16 214:8	17:24 18:2,25 24:9	purplish 146:8	261:19
221:12,13 222:4,25	primary 12:22 30:4	27:10,12 53:4	purpose 28:11 55:12	quirk 160:25
223:1 225:3,9,12,21	120:7 127:22	60:10 62:10 66:7	55:24 237:23	quite 54:11 127:25
227:8 228:25 229:5	principle 69:25 70:19	120:14 140:10,13	purposes 112:25	186:5 275:23
230:17,20,20,24	70:23 84:16 287:9	165:3 166:23	166:19 224:4,15	quiz 27:25
231:9,19 232:16,19	principles 63:4,6,9	186:14 188:18	pursuant 10:4 286:17	quote 210:20
233:2 237:15	63:10 69:12,15,20	195:18 198:3,13	pursue 263:19	quote 210.20
238:25 246:4,5,8,14	69:24 70:4,10	223:6 224:2 278:25	put 16:22 40:8 46:13	R
259:2,9,14 270:13	72:20 83:5	produce 32:20	50:15 77:24,24	race 25:4,8,16,20
271:13,22 274:16	printed 34:5 255:21	130:18 144:5	136:16 140:25	30:5,8 31:17 88:18
275:1	printed 34.3 233.21 printer 146:14	produced 14:18	165:19 199:16	89:4 90:14 92:13
precise 74:4	printer 140.14 printing 211:3	154:15	201:18 212:20	92:14 98:8,18
precise 74.4 preclearance 74:14	printing 211.3 printout 4:23 51:1	Production 6:9,11	213:7,23 215:15	100:23 101:18
predominant 180:11	282:1,17	148:2	220:2 221:8 223:13	105:25 108:2,3,10
predominantly 103:3	printouts 54:23 88:2	professional 11:10	227:18 229:16,18	108:12 129:9
230:7,7	prior 22:11 23:8 40:6	35:8	229:18 234:5	132:25 134:1,13,18
preparation 42:13	46:4 52:2 72:9	profile 4:16 5:2,4,6,8	235:12,17 257:19	171:16 173:4
53:2	81:13 117:16	6:16 35:10 39:5	258:3,4 280:18	175:18 208:19
prepare 125:15	120:11 124:10	42:3 87:22,24 95:8	283:5	243:14
prepared 125:7	128:2 136:3 141:8	95:9 154:5	putting 53:13 62:8	races 26:25 27:1 32:1
135:12 143:19	141:18,21 177:18	program 16:15 42:12	77:13 221:5 254:18	92:4 107:5,6,13,16
200:7	189:19 192:12,13	83:13	p.m 1:20 138:2,3,4,6	107:19 108:2 196:4
present 3:5 16:25	236:22 254:11,11	programer 170:12	164:12,13,14,16	196:8,12,17 210:22
65:16 198:12	prioritize 77:3 82:14	prohibited 287:2	187:23,24,25 188:2	213:19
presented 41:9 191:4	priority 59:1,5,6	project 116:12	207:8 221:20,21,22	race/ethnicity 92:20
191:21 192:1	priority 39.1,5,6 private 20:9	216:15 240:17	221:24 234:14	Race/Gender 7:8
president 194:8	private 20.5 privy 116:9 187:3	pronounce 241:4	261:15 267:6,7,9	racial 29:18,19 72:10
246:3	pro 252:20	proportion 106:21	284:15,16	104:23 105:10,22
presidential 194:18	probably 18:3 22:16	proportion 100.21	207.13,10	148:14 159:24
210:22 243:13	22:17 32:8 34:11	proposal 143:7	Q	182:14,17 193:18
presumably 251:17	34:11 40:25 53:1	281:20 282:5	quadrupled 102:1	195:22 213:10
presume 261:24	68:17 75:7 82:18	proposals 12:9	quantitative 83:9	railroad 42:24,25
pretty 12:20 52:19	104:16 123:8	proposed 6:4,5 9:5	question 14:5,8 29:9	43:2,7 47:22
pretty 12.20 32.17	107,10 123,0	Proposed O.T.J J.J	1	,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
<u> </u>				

			I	
227:11	34:25 37:6,21 38:1	247:25 255:17	redone 152:12	registration 25:19
raising 155:1	45:8 60:6,14 64:12	265:16	redraw 17:19 152:12	92:12 104:14,16
ramification 243:19	67:8 68:13 70:15	recollection 13:2	278:8,19	106:15 107:4 108:6
ran 139:12 175:21	70:17 73:18,21,25	93:13 114:23	redrawing 62:11	108:7,9 109:12
176:4,8	74:10 86:21 96:8	115:21 126:20	136:4 194:16	110:4 129:8 137:6
Randy 7:17,19	104:2,15 116:18,22	127:8 189:8 201:8	redrawn 54:6	137:17 148:15
range 30:16 70:11	116:24,25 117:1,2,8	222:1	redrew 32:10	149:15 170:17
71:25 219:4	117:14,18 123:2,8	recommendations	reduce 231:21 233:12	171:15 204:14,23
ranking 82:19,21	124:11 127:10,15	241:1,9	233:13	204:25 209:8,12,17
ransomware 281:13	150:3 152:7 154:25	reconcile 171:11	reduced 212:15,18	209:19
282:15	155:21,24 156:17	record 10:8 14:4	221:3 286:7	regular 158:14
rapid 204:3	172:8 174:21 176:3	27:18 69:2,6 138:2	reducing 217:16	184:11
rates 287:17	176:8 178:2,3,8	138:6 157:19,21,25	reelected 29:5,8 30:3	Regulations 10:5
reach 168:1 275:9	182:16 183:22,24	164:12,16 187:23	175:16	286:18 287:4
reached 167:16 168:2	183:25 184:2 185:2	188:2 221:18,19,24	reelection 177:4	REID 1:4
168:5	185:8,16,17,19	224:15 234:11,14	224:5 242:15	relate 11:10 124:15
read 13:17 63:12	186:13 187:13	234:18 235:7 267:2	reelections 176:22	124:16
117:11,19 204:6	189:18 190:6,16,17	267:5,9 281:18	refer 278:7	related 16:22 19:16
249:10 285:2 286:8	191:7,24 192:11	283:24 284:6,13	reference 24:10	20:4 33:3,9,12
reading 163:19	193:5,10 194:11	recorded 13:6	56:20 129:11	34:22 40:11 75:13
real 93:15	197:13 201:5,10,12	recreate 281:22	143:13 217:4	82:2 117:15 161:17
realize 227:17	226:5 228:4 229:6	recreated 281:22	223:16	161:22 198:14
realized 181:22	231:5 244:17 248:3	redistrict 131:23	referenced 20:21	200:18 203:13
really 37:14 64:21	248:8,11 252:11	181:12	24:13 57:3 113:20	228:20 251:23
82:23 83:4 84:16	258:15,21,25	redistricted 232:24	115:22 249:21	252:12
90:12 97:10 113:23	259:15 260:19	redistricting 5:12	260:8	relates 124:19
119:2 120:4,4	264:17,19,21	8:12,12 11:2,15	references 81:24	relation 75:4 117:20
127:19 135:10	275:23	12:12 15:17 16:2	137:15 240:25	relationship 119:1
137:12 140:17	receive 15:8 172:2,12	16:10,12 20:21,22	252:2 254:5	287:5,10
209:11 232:3 238:23 261:6	received 43:10,14	20:25 21:2 25:10	referencing 137:20 241:2 244:18	relative 272:6
271:16 274:12	114:12 180:10 181:4,5 194:9,13	25:24 35:13,16 38:22 39:7,11,16,24	referring 44:21 65:21	relatively 39:25 175:18 181:21
realm 70:9 76:5	receives 108:23,25	42:8 51:18 52:1	69:18 143:3 169:23	271:9 272:6 282:20
realms 79:9	receiving 172:8,10	53:19 54:4 59:1	172:22 174:18,20	released 236:23
reapportionment	248:4	60:10 61:25 62:6	209:1 231:16	relevant 251:25
1:14 4:23 6:19 9:3	recess 69:3 138:3	63:3 69:8,10,15,20	242:11 251:12,20	relies 124:20 125:1
36:16 50:21 61:25	164:13 187:24	72:21 73:15 76:6	refers 61:9	relocates 152:16
62:6,16 114:7,19,25	221:21 267:6	81:13 94:20 96:22	reflect 153:19 180:1	rely 73:16 76:6 85:15
116:14 135:13	recognizable 227:13	114:10,14,21 115:3	260:16	relying 69:20
143:20 155:19	229:25	117:20 118:12,17	reflected 71:22 233:4	remained 227:21
252:5,9 254:7	recognize 15:5 48:9	118:18 119:23	reflects 179:25	remedy 12:1
260:10	49:6,22 50:22 62:2	121:4 122:12 123:6	refresh 13:2 201:8	remember 23:19,20
reask 180:16	62:17 116:15	123:16 131:1,4	reg 136:24,24	93:18 104:17
reason 14:10 26:19	130:14 135:6	136:7 167:6,12,17	regarding 212:6	108:15 150:5
79:14,19 85:9	138:14 140:3 145:8	174:14,18 179:7	232:9	172:25 176:16
141:1 163:9 275:14	146:23 147:3,16	182:1,11 192:18,22	regardless 264:6	189:16 193:13
285:6	153:7 158:8 164:22	193:4 239:13	regional 58:20 66:11	248:13,14 266:17
reasons 78:13 159:18	171:18,22 174:5	240:20 246:20	158:17	remind 240:12
198:21 237:4,5	197:19 199:18	247:2 252:22	regions 80:22	remotely 185:15,17
238:6	200:13 201:24	254:13 259:19	register 92:15	remove 43:6 263:21
recall 17:1 22:24 23:2	203:1 206:20	278:24	registered 109:21	removed 212:17
23:4,10,16 24:13	236:12,14 239:17	redistrictings 86:19	195:3,25	226:21 263:15,17
			l	<u> </u>

				1 490 307
momory 115.7 11	179.1 170.17 21	magazah 121.5 262.4	75.0	214.4 0 215.7 12
renew 115:7,11	178:1 179:17,21	research 121:5 262:4	75:9	214:4,9 215:7,13
renumbering 152:22 Reock 83:18	180:10,15,20,25	reserved 286:9	retrogression 72:19	219:6 221:10,16
	181:9 182:11 183:6	reserving 280:6	retrogressive 127:1	223:1 226:9 230:2
reorganized 164:10	183:12,18 197:8	residence 80:10	returns 52:19 211:12	231:15 232:13,14
rep 250:24,25	203:6,8,10 225:9,12	121:24	reuniting 237:6,19	233:1 235:4,6
repeat 24:5 117:13	228:4,7,11,14 241:2	residents 210:6	reveal 175:8	236:6,10 239:8
replace 256:7	242:3,4,11,15	respect 12:16 16:1	review 5:15 13:16	240:12 241:15
report 6:13 7:7 55:14	245:16 250:16	17:9 20:25 21:13	29:24 30:25 33:8	244:2 246:9 247:22
55:16,16,25 56:11	252:19 257:21	22:4,6,15,23 25:3	123:21 124:6	250:12 253:16,16
56:12,18,23,24,25	258:20,22,25	30:8,11 32:20	140:16 166:17,18	254:4 256:21 260:6
57:13 81:7,8,16,22	259:13 260:15,16	33:22 45:3 64:14	167:8 168:14,19,20	262:10 266:22
130:25 131:9,15	260:19 261:3	70:14 73:19 74:24	236:22	267:11 269:22
135:8,12,24 136:1	267:20,21 269:12	76:8 86:5,18 93:11	reviewed 168:16	277:5 280:6 284:9
136:12,15,19 137:3	279:9	94:14,20 103:22,22	revised 18:5 19:3	284:12 286:8
137:8,16 138:15	representatives 6:1,3	104:20 105:11	262:11	Rights 2:5 5:14 21:4
140:5 141:11	6:19 28:7 29:7	119:22 120:8	Re-Examination 4:9	70:21,25 71:4,5
143:19 144:4	98:15 104:5 144:13	133:20 148:15	281:5	72:13 74:17,25
148:16 149:11,25	144:17 155:19	151:2 154:22	right 13:1 23:5 33:16	75:4,13 123:20
150:9,10,16 151:8	242:14	159:12 160:14	35:6,6 42:2 43:13	right-hand 47:11
153:10 158:20	represented 78:12	168:7 175:1 179:12	49:2 52:25 53:6,6	126:18 130:6,12
159:20 160:2	representing 10:25	184:11 193:9,24	60:22 61:19 66:2	135:5 136:21
163:22 170:21	12:5 228:9	194:21 216:4,8,14	66:23 68:25 69:23	144:14,16,21 149:4
171:3,14 198:22	represents 250:16	217:2 218:17,19	71:11 75:13 81:2	162:10,11 164:21
199:22,23 231:13	reps 243:10 251:4,5,8	230:13 233:20,22	85:18,20 87:21	170:5 173:15
231:15,23 232:5,8	251:9	237:10,14,18,22	93:3,6,8,19 94:8,14	risk 242:17
233:4 238:20	Republican 24:22	248:21 252:22	95:7 97:12,13	river 43:17 47:22
reported 231:6 286:5	32:6 59:13 68:11	254:16 259:2	100:13 105:6,9	road 214:13 215:23
reporter 1:17 10:3,13	98:23 108:25 111:4	264:13 267:25	106:19 108:1	215:24 229:25,25
13:14 130:8 140:2	112:8 190:24 196:5	271:1 280:17	113:19,24 114:16	Rob 36:21 111:19
198:3 283:22 286:1	205:17 213:16	respond 125:7,8	115:12 116:11	Rockdale 99:21
286:21 287:22	214:11,18,20 215:1	198:23 265:23	118:3 119:21	270:14
REPORTER'S 9:12	219:11,13 224:7	responded 183:18	123:15,18 126:2,8	Roger 5:10 65:23
reporting 10:6	229:11 230:7 243:9	responding 269:8	126:15 127:1	114:2 143:14
285:24 286:19,22	250:25 251:9,10	response 168:25	128:22 129:6,18,21	role 12:7,16,19 16:1
286:23,25 287:8	258:14 276:11	169:11 197:12	129:25 130:1,4,9	17:3,5 36:25 38:12
reports 55:10 78:25	Republicans 28:19	198:5 241:22 242:1	135:3 137:23	39:18,21,24 40:9
124:5 248:24	110:13 190:20,21	244:3,6,25 248:14	138:10 139:22	42:8 52:5 63:16
represent 11:22	216:16 243:19,24	responsive 32:25	142:8,15,17 143:7	64:13 119:9 122:22
26:17 27:23 98:9	251:5	rest 218:1 233:24	145:3,7 146:20,22	123:23,25 169:4
98:19 205:8 206:1	Republican-held	234:1	155:14 156:1	193:2 210:14,25
286:11	253:7	restate 68:6	158:22,22 160:8	252:21
representability	request 5:14 123:20	result 41:16 94:5	163:19 165:24	roles 204:14 211:1
224:22	165:19,25 172:15	110:16 220:21	166:7 168:25	Roman 63:2 117:6
representation 232:4	257:21 269:9	results 7:12 52:19	171:12 172:14	Romney 194:9,13
representative 22:10	requested 53:17	148:14 170:16	173:10,12,17	208:7,19
22:16,18,20 23:7,17	136:13 137:10	178:17 179:25	178:15 179:4,5	room 13:5 50:17
24:2 27:19,20,24,25	144:4	180:1,8 193:17	180:16 186:7	67:15 186:1,3,6
28:1,2,3,15,18 29:4	requesting 5:12	210:20,21 211:13	187:20 188:4 192:5	Roswell 285:24
152:7 174:10 175:4	requests 54:23,23	retain 23:23 210:17	196:23 199:13	roughly 89:14 101:13
175:10,15,17 176:4	55:2,3 211:2,2,6	retention 268:1,6	200:11 201:21	101:13 149:8
176:18,21,25 177:3	require 117:9 279:2	retires 245:7	205:24 206:18	218:13,21
177:12,15,17,17,23	requiring 117:15	retrogress 71:10,12	208:1 213:1 214:3	rounded 96:5
	<u> </u>	I	I	ı

	I	l	l	l
route 115:16	144:12,19,21 148:2	90:17,23 91:2	245:17,21	280:15 281:9,10,24
row 131:17,17	148:3 149:5 168:11	95:16 100:22,23	Senators 68:9	282:18
Royal 3:2	169:14 170:15,19	101:2,8,11,18	send 148:21 171:25	share 253:13
rule 246:24	172:20 174:13,14	102:16 134:4 137:8	172:2,12	sheet 4:10 9:5 130:17
rules 10:5 13:3	174:17 205:5 209:1	137:10 140:23	sending 172:8,10	131:3 147:20
286:18 287:3	209:16 210:5	146:18 149:9,18	193:16 248:4	sheets 6:21 8:3 9:9
run 76:17 78:25 81:7	240:16 242:12	150:11 156:13,15	sense 33:20 79:20	sheet(s) 285:20
82:7 129:22 140:5	245:24,25 246:2,18	156:23,25 160:10	109:23 215:24	shop 84:21
140:15 150:10	246:19 248:22	160:22 162:7	217:1 270:21	short 274:12 277:21
245:6,16 273:19	251:15 266:14	166:19 167:23,25	sent 127:21 128:3	shorthand's 143:6
running 54:25	schedule 116:19	168:20 174:15,24	165:10 166:5	show 14:15,16,17
180:25 187:21	123:9	175:7 180:3 186:21	197:24 203:5	31:19 47:16 81:16
245:21 271:15	scheduled 64:24	192:13,14 196:11	232:21 260:23	113:21 144:11
runs 271:24 274:1,9	school 85:5 251:23	200:1 202:5 214:3	261:1,12 264:10	149:3 153:11 158:3
rural 214:10	Scott 260:16,19	214:3 217:15,19,24	267:20 280:14,15	159:21 162:3
Rutledge 7:10 27:19	261:4	219:9,13,14 220:10	281:20,25 282:16	184:18,19 186:8 187:1 231:23
27:24 28:18 29:7	seat 23:23 28:16	222:12 228:18	sentence 114:16	
174:8,25 176:18,21	152:1,5 182:12	236:8 244:5,5,9,10	115:18 127:5 168:9	showed 33:17 147:21 185:5
176:25 178:1	186:4 205:17,19	244:11 249:9,12,16	206:8 208:5 245:24 246:19	
179:12,13,14	245:7,17,22,23	257:25 264:4,24 274:18		showing 17:16 89:21 137:16 138:25
183:18 228:4 242:14,15	279:1,5,5		separate 1:23 9:13	
· ·	seats 57:25 71:13	seeing 150:4,5 192:11	65:25 92:24 285:20	139:2 185:2
Rutledge's 175:12	242:18 243:3,9,21	seeking 169:10 254:20	separation 20:16 series 15:14 20:2,3	shown 91:25 255:24 shows 24:20 53:14
S	243:23,25 244:8,15 244:16	seen 23:15 60:25 62:8	158:16 178:16	95:22 105:14 139:5
SABRINA 1:6	second 14:25 33:7,15	88:2 111:13 123:6	265:20	153:13 154:9
sacrificed 244:9	55:8 76:9 88:7,17	124:10 125:13	served 35:23 275:6	163:22 199:22
sacrificing 244:15	90:13 100:22	135:8 136:19 137:3	service 39:12 256:20	249:4
safe 243:23 244:15	131:17 157:19	142:23 148:11,16	services 5:12 36:10	sic 86:4 239:21
safer 182:12 244:8	166:8 179:14 184:6	149:9,11 206:22	39:7,12 42:8 51:18	side 103:6 136:21
sake 238:20	204:7 207:14	211:7 217:12 235:2	114:20,21 115:2,3,6	162:11 223:20
salaries 115:15	209:16 214:23	236:14 239:18	286:25 287:8	225:25
Sam 5:13	226:18 234:8 240:5	248:2	serving 205:6 206:12	sign 13:18 117:18
SAMMY 1:7	240:8 242:10 244:4	sees 110:23	session 7:22 62:12	132:11 201:1,3
save 18:8 19:2 33:13	250:19 254:6 260:7	select 105:15	158:13,14 168:14	286:9
saved 19:22 33:5	262:19,20,22 263:5	selecting 105:16	168:16,22 184:4,8	signature 117:10,16
65:13 269:4	263:7 267:2	selection 108:16	184:11,14 199:25	signed 201:6,10,13
saving 115:13	secretary 1:10 26:13	Senate 12:15 41:23	239:14 240:18	201:16
saw 246:7 249:21	106:8 148:20 165:2	41:25 53:11 55:11	248:7 257:2	significance 57:7
saying 29:10 45:18	165:14 166:2 169:4	55:19 56:2,16	set 19:16 47:12,15	131:20 132:7
45:20 125:12	169:7 170:12	57:15 61:25 62:5	64:13 105:18	138:24
183:19 218:10,11	188:15	62:24 63:2 67:21	144:23 145:6 184:8	significant 30:7,10
221:7 242:13	section 5:14 72:13	68:3 69:9 108:3	184:9 203:19 269:2	30:14 39:18,21
says 42:3,11 46:20	89:5 113:20 123:20	126:21 127:2,12	settled 251:18	181:21 227:12
47:21 56:21 61:4	123:23 159:25	152:14 153:14	seven 15:14 61:10	significantly 152:23
63:4 69:25 70:23	160:1 163:6	188:16,20,25 190:8	77:6 178:17	similar 51:21 69:20
88:20 114:17	sections 72:18 80:22	190:10,13,15	seventh 153:18	88:2 127:3 145:25
115:19 116:12	see 16:6 17:7 22:2	192:10 199:6,23	Shantée 36:22 37:16	simple 283:2
119:21 127:2 130:7	24:23 25:13 32:1	200:2 262:21,24,25	136:10	simply 151:9 168:11
130:12 135:12,16	32:15 36:21 46:14	264:10 266:5	shape 33:1 82:3	SIMS 1:4
135:19 141:7	48:23 50:6 61:10	senator 188:17 192:9	133:17 182:6	single 65:1 76:13
142:16 143:1,11,19	61:14 88:9,25 89:6	198:8,25 245:8,14	200:18,23 216:2	singular 67:13
ĺ	l	l	I	I

	1	1		1
sir 158:7	space 285:19	237:6,11,12,15	212:14 214:22	stenographer 13:6
sit 64:24	speak 192:25 281:4	238:10 246:4,7,14	262:14	Steve 7:15 104:2
sitting 18:5	speaker 122:24	257:20 258:2,5	started 19:3 31:2	192:8,9
situation 151:23	240:15 252:20	splits 111:3 112:20	35:15 188:23 224:1	stick 235:23
six 4:5 145:19,20,22	259:18	140:23 222:11	starting 112:13 145:1	sticker 128:12
151:20 153:20	speaking 271:9 272:6	230:14 231:7,21	starts 149:4 184:6	sticks 275:19
154:17 157:1,5,8	speaks 75:22	232:10 233:5,13	241:22	Stockbridge 180:11
267:10 279:20	spec 136:18	splitting 79:2 109:1	stat 6:21 8:3 9:5,9	181:1,14 183:4,13
sixth 146:16	special 40:13,20	160:5	130:17 131:2	225:5,6 226:22
size 68:14 78:7 82:2	62:11	spoke 22:13 27:13	147:20	270:17,17,18,20
96:2 126:23 131:25	specialist 39:7,12,12	28:4	state 1:3,10,10 2:2	274:21,23
132:11 154:11,11	42:9 51:19,25 52:1	spoken 21:19 22:18	8:12 12:6,15,15,17	stops 128:9
223:2 271:17,21	52:3 118:12	spread 53:4 196:11	17:20 21:3 27:2,3	straight 215:22 216:1
skinny 214:12	specially 144:4	spreads 106:23	47:7 48:14,16 50:1	Strangia 36:22 38:9
slight 41:23 101:5	specific 19:5 21:21	spreadsheet 7:1 8:9	53:10,11,15 54:17	52:23 111:19 120:3
small 18:13 214:11	60:18 72:18 75:23	148:6,9,12 149:7,10	55:18,19 61:15	148:22 165:23
239:1	87:9 117:2 176:17	162:1	63:23 64:18 70:22	166:3,5 171:1
SMITH 1:4	177:8 178:11 199:7	stack 33:17 34:9,10	71:20 74:5 84:18	196:18
smooth 216:21	239:15 264:22	34:18 178:23,25	86:19 93:21 107:22	strap 97:7
SNOW 1:7	specifically 16:17	179:3	107:23 118:22	streamed 52:12
software 16:10	52:8,17 70:17 72:6	staff 12:8,9,18 16:20	119:1,24 143:4	Street 2:10,15,20
solely 25:10	74:1 177:6 190:16	17:17 33:8 40:12	145:11 152:13,17	285:24
somebody 48:19	248:9 264:18,21	40:15 51:4,5,13	158:12,16 165:14	streets 47:22
85:16 129:22	280:25	52:9 64:7,8 65:15	170:13 231:18	stretches 270:12,16
221:11	speculate 190:25	115:14 116:20	243:10 286:2	272:5,12
somewhat 274:22	speculation 31:22	118:4,16 122:12,23	stated 286:5	Strickland 1:18 2:18
sorry 27:21 31:6	speed 166:23	135:18,19 136:5	statement 156:25	2:19 28:1,15 29:5
32:14 58:5 84:12	spelled 245:12,13	187:16 193:1 211:1	204:5,19 205:12	68:21,25 73:11
91:20 93:9 95:6	Spiro 7:19 122:17,18	239:24 240:14	206:10 208:11	126:2,3,8 142:19,22
100:10 101:8 137:1	122:19 198:6 240:9	254:24 259:18	209:5,22 210:9	152:8 156:5,9,12
141:25 155:14	240:10,11,12,13,16	269:8	242:20,24	164:8 173:21
156:9 172:5 199:12	255:3	staffers 121:9	statements 199:3	175:16,18 176:4
218:15 234:9,20	split 5:19 60:11 76:25	Stagecoach 183:10	States 1:1 75:16,20	177:3,12,23 178:22
235:9 255:14 260:6	78:4,5,17,24 79:6	183:12 226:22	75:21	179:1,4 180:25
277:13,20	79:14 80:2,8,9,16	270:23	statewide 12:11	181:5,9,15 183:6,12
sort 74:23 85:14	81:6 109:6 110:13	stamp 156:11	26:24 39:16,19	202:10,14,17,21
109:6 112:15	111:7 138:12 139:1	stand 280:4	42:4 63:24 71:19	207:19,24 225:9,13
115:23 123:9	139:1,3,4,6,8,24	standard 130:17,25	107:5,13,19,21	228:11 234:8,20,24
140:10,16 173:6	140:12,21 141:5,10	131:2 136:15 144:5	108:1 117:22	235:4 236:1,4,8
182:8 204:20	141:13,18,21 159:3	150:9 166:4 168:6	118:15 120:8	242:11 245:16
212:12 242:2 248:6	159:7,21 160:18,20	170:21 267:25	138:22 195:24	250:5 253:19,23
273:19,20,25	160:24 161:1,13,15	Stanton 36:21,24	196:4,17	255:13,16 256:8
sorted 137:18	162:8,16 163:4,14	37:3,9	state's 26:13 84:16	258:20,22 259:1,13
sorts 119:16	208:6,18 212:20	staple 174:1 188:11	106:8 148:20 165:3	265:6,11 267:1
SOS 248:23	214:7 216:6 219:22	stapler 173:16	166:3 169:4	269:14,20 277:7
sought 181:12	219:23 220:1,24,25	stapling 192:7	statistical 137:16	280:2 281:1 282:9
south 258:5,10	221:3,5,8,9,12,13	start 21:22 28:9	statistics 139:7	282:13 283:16,21
271:19	222:4,8,17,19,24	64:14 74:9 88:8	stats 132:17 149:6	284:2,5
southeast 271:25	223:2 229:19 230:1	99:4 145:7 152:21	status 71:23	Strickland's 175:5,10
274:4	230:17,19,20,24	152:22 158:23,24	statute 246:24	175:12 182:12
southeastern 272:23	231:9,23,25 232:15	162:6,7 176:7	stay 129:21	241:3
southwest 224:19	232:19 233:16	184:5,8 211:20,20	stayed 209:18	strike 55:13 67:2

		Ī	1	
104:18 110:25	sure 11:19 15:20,25	106:14 119:3	technically 283:14	146:20 147:15
113:22 141:19	21:2,22 24:8 26:8	137:24 159:23	Technology 36:10	153:4 157:17 170:3
142:3 154:19	30:7 31:1,13,15	160:12 164:6,6	Telephone 2:8,13	173:10 211:17
160:18 167:3	45:9,17,19 46:12	182:1 183:4,5	tell 20:24 28:7,8	247:21 265:15
171:25 191:1 195:4	48:24 54:21 59:8	186:23,25 187:20	36:12 63:10 76:17	283:11 284:11,14
201:2 218:18	59:15,17 60:18	202:18 207:5,6	79:1 82:21 102:11	Thanks 145:6 221:16
string 17:23	68:7 70:23 74:23	211:22 216:23	112:5 127:19	theirs 191:14
strongly 229:10	75:19 76:4 80:5,7	219:18,20 227:8,15	145:16 148:5 177:6	thereabouts 70:13
studied 102:15,19	81:1 82:25 96:19	227:23 228:24,25	177:7 197:6 209:7	thereof 118:18
206:6	114:11,17 117:14	229:8,14 236:11	215:7 230:9 231:1	thereto 286:6
studies 31:18	125:8,10 128:18	242:16 251:16	253:10 265:13	thing 14:1 29:20
study 211:11	129:21 141:23	273:8	tem 252:20	47:24 51:6 53:23
stuff 54:22 226:13	142:10,12 164:8	taken 1:15 11:5,13	ten 102:2 186:6	59:9 60:7 66:11
252:12	166:24 167:22	14:11 148:8 151:4	tend 32:2,5 185:21	83:2 152:10 159:14
sub 138:25 262:25	180:19,21 183:21	152:4 191:8 212:15	tenure 52:6	160:25 161:2 173:7
subdivision 139:24	185:1 194:17,19	263:13 281:18	terms 14:3 16:13,15	196:7 204:20 214:2
140:22	195:9,11,16 213:13	takes 57:15 274:16	17:3 21:16 27:9	214:21 215:14
subdivisions 5:19	218:2 220:3 223:18	274:19	29:6 33:20,24 34:8	222:8 261:16,18
138:12 139:1	223:23 224:16	talk 15:15 52:16	34:24 39:4,4,24	280:8,9
subject 174:13	226:19 230:25	94:18 100:15	57:4,7,8 60:12	things 13:3 17:16
197:25 284:7	231:4 232:2,17	122:11 187:14	63:16 64:1 66:20	39:14 40:17 43:8
submission 5:13	234:12 239:15	214:23 223:5,25	72:5 86:14 88:3	43:22 44:23 47:15
74:14 113:21	242:7,9,12 243:7	226:17,17 240:20	95:23 106:3 108:6	48:21 50:7 53:7,25
123:17,19,23	244:1 261:6 262:15	talked 22:7 65:11	110:7,7,8,15 118:20	55:2 56:7 58:2
124:10,24 136:7	262:16 267:3	100:15 124:21	119:6 120:5 124:22	60:17 75:25 77:20
137:13	280:11,19,21	127:5 225:4 250:15	126:19 150:24	82:7 105:23 107:12
submit 44:4,6 254:23	surprised 215:9	280:10,12	154:12 159:22	108:4 115:13 116:3
subpoena 4:14 15:2	surrounding 47:10	talking 14:2 21:17	160:5 161:10,12	119:16 121:23
192:16 211:8	48:18 49:13 50:4	61:8 67:16 69:8	164:2 167:16 171:3	131:15 165:17
substance 23:17	175:4	72:14 85:24 87:25	185:10,24 188:18	204:25 205:1
257:17	suspect 105:2	99:1 106:24 118:22	205:21 214:20	210:20 211:13,15
substantially 70:3	suspects 210:5	119:12 136:2	215:14 218:12	212:10 233:12,17
substantive 268:13	SWANSON 1:6	178:18 180:23	223:6 226:8,20	233:19 238:21
successfully 278:17	Swear 10:13	222:2 233:25 241:19 248:25	233:4 238:11,14	252:11 259:22
sufficiently 80:21 Sugarloaf 3:3	sweep 18:21 switch 128:14	249:2 266:3	242:20 246:12 249:18 251:20	280:21 281:17 283:2
suggest 177:1,10	switch 128.14 sworn 10:17 286:14	talks 55:9 63:3 77:6	252:7 254:21	think 11:18 14:5
suggested 43:11	system 19:14,18	88:19 117:7 129:7	261:21 268:6 269:8	23:13,15 25:21
suggesting 178:4	25:10 26:7,10	165:18 188:25	test 76:16	28:5 29:9,10 34:12
Suggesting 176.4 Suggestion 42:12	130:10 166:17	203:25 208:5 237:2	testified 10:18 156:13	37:22 41:25 58:4
suit 124:16	171:15 281:14	243:1 250:20 252:6	182:18	78:16 80:15 82:17
Suite 2:5,14,19	282:8	254:8	testify 4:14 15:2 23:6	83:25,25 91:18
summarize 74:24		tape 137:24 187:21	124:17	96:10 97:3 98:7,12
summary 87:22	T	tasked 241:9,11	testimony 1:22	107:2 108:12
137:16 196:3	table 46:15 129:17	259:17	157:12 277:10	110:17,18 113:3
236:17 260:24	235:16	Taylor 205:15,19	285:4	116:14 118:1,6
261:25	take 18:2,3 20:11	tech 38:18	tests 82:7	119:14 120:2,20
summer 37:10	21:9 23:20 30:18	technical 11:15 40:14	text 39:6,8	124:21 125:22
supervisors 41:1 42:6	43:9 52:25 53:24	40:21 42:22 119:6	Thank 15:4 47:25	128:8 131:16 144:3
support 61:7	58:22 66:13,25	141:3 237:8,9,23	49:14 50:11,18	159:11 161:7,21
suppose 80:18	68:23 82:18 91:22	238:1,3,7,16,22	100:11 123:15	167:23 170:3 171:8
supposed 119:10	98:2,25 100:14	239:1	125:25 140:1	175:6,24,25 176:15
			l	l

100 (100 (100 10	120 16 151 14	127.5.140.20.105.2	1 240 22 240 12	1 , , , , , , , ,
180:6 186:6 190:19	129:16 154:14	137:5 140:20 195:3	248:23 249:12	unchanged 259:14
190:20 202:12	160:14 165:15	213:16 220:12	turn-out 25:19	underneath 36:9
208:23 213:14	166:17 168:12,24	232:9 248:22	Tussahaw 229:9	39:6 56:21 223:14
218:25 219:2 221:7	172:4 177:22	totally 18:22 189:17	230:4,5,6	223:15
222:9,15 224:8	185:21 188:16	218:15 totals 140:20 209:17	two 4:3 11:8,9 19:4,7	understand 13:8,10
225:18,19,23 228:5 228:20 231:5	191:4,19,21,22 194:18,25 195:6,10	touch 76:12	19:9,10 20:7 22:21 26:3 33:4,23 45:10	13:24 14:8 31:13 45:18 58:6 125:4
232:25 238:22	216:12 224:24	tough 243:2	45:12 46:6 53:20	141:23 212:2
241:20 243:15	232:13,23,23	track 65:5,8 202:20	69:25 72:13 78:11	238:24 269:3
245:2 249:20 250:2	240:23 242:16	traditionally 82:9	109:2 114:3 116:2	understandable
250:15 251:7,8,8,9	249:10,18 251:17	246:19	134:16 151:8	189:17
262:3 263:1,6,14,25	252:13 259:20,21	trail 17:25 241:19	160:22 165:25	understanding 24:16
264:2,4,23 265:4	262:18 275:12	transcript 6:18 9:13	169:21 171:13	25:18 31:23 32:21
266:7 271:15	277:6,8,9 278:13	13:15,16,21 155:17	174:1 183:3 191:8	57:23 58:9 63:11
276:12 277:2	280:4 283:17,23	156:10 276:19	191:8,9,13 198:16	70:19 71:3 76:10
third 61:4 80:12 89:3	timeline 123:6,7,10	285:4 286:12	205:25 212:3	81:25 103:2 104:11
90:24 117:5 254:6	times 68:1,8 171:4,7	Transcripts 5:22	219:25 222:24	109:7,9 150:15
260:7	timing 45:18	travel 84:22	223:1 230:14,19	164:1 198:7,24
Thompson 1:6,8 2:12	Tina 8:1	treated 92:22	233:11 236:3 237:2	203:14 204:16
156:4 277:22	tip 182:5	tremendously 86:10	237:15 239:19,20	218:20 247:1
Thompson's 157:12	title 5:21 52:12,13	trends 52:18 102:16	244:8,25 247:7	understood 29:9
thought 121:20	121:6,10	trickled 94:6	263:15,15 279:2	72:19,20 177:20
184:17 186:9 225:8	titled 8:11 171:14	tried 76:1	two-hour 116:19	unhappy 257:23
thousand 96:5,10,13	Tobelman 3:6	true 32:8 181:11	two-minute 164:6	unique 210:16,24
108:22 247:7	today 14:11 46:8	190:12 194:20	two-page 255:10	211:16 245:4
thread 7:4,6,10,14,16	100:4 124:10	243:11 285:3	type 135:17 140:5	United 1:1 75:16,20
7:18 8:1,14,16,22	192:12,13 205:6	286:11	146:5 245:3	75:21
9:1,6	206:11 208:3 209:1	Trump/Clinton	types 21:10 75:25	Unity 222:4,6,13
threatening 127:22	235:3 283:24	246:1	84:25 210:19 245:1	229:6,6
three 4:4 11:8,9 42:1	284:10	trust 205:13 206:5	typically 17:18 18:11	universal 107:24
70:20,23 77:6 80:6	told 21:14,24 23:17	209:25	38:16 133:12	University 35:22
96:16,24 97:10,12	31:10 43:16 64:16	try 18:14 28:8 40:21	138:21 166:2,15	36:5,6 115:8
98:5,10,12,15 99:5	116:18 127:25	41:3 44:6 60:17	Tyson 1:4 73:3,13	unplugged 91:18
116:15 138:7 151:4	213:16 233:10	70:8 79:4,10 85:14	T-rep 229:1,11	unusual 113:2 137:10
151:17 188:6 205:8	253:8	96:14 128:10	U	update 26:3,20
205:23 237:5	Tonya 51:14	211:21 212:11		updated 46:5
241:20 282:4	top 15:12 50:16	213:15,22 219:14	uh-huh 29:13 39:9 48:1 57:6 88:22	upper 97:7 130:6,11
throw 60:17 223:11	78:19 82:24 90:12	224:3 225:8 229:18	98:11 105:7 118:8	135:5 144:15,20
Thursday 250:21	135:16 142:16	233:12 243:23	122:20 137:1	149:4 164:21 170:5
time 10:12 11:12	143:11 148:2,10,12	trying 22:7 23:22 25:24 28:10,11	145:21 146:4,7,21	upside 100:9 use 16:9 25:10 26:6
16:17,24 17:11 18:7 19:1 30:22	149:6,8 152:21 208:20 209:7	29:3 34:2,2 59:9	156:16,24 173:20	26:24 34:12 43:18
34:12 35:7,15,20,21	216:24 226:10	65:24 70:7 71:7	214:6 227:2 230:5	44:5 62:12 83:9
36:15,17 37:14,23	241:20 272:4	74:23,25 75:1,18	231:3 250:14	84:2,2,5,9 85:19
39:17 40:8 44:10	274:14 277:1	79:7 80:19 125:2	269:25 282:11	86:13 105:12
53:7 54:14 65:14	topic 15:15	141:25 142:1 172:7	Uh-oh 91:17	109:12 126:17
68:17,21 70:18	topics 15:14	225:18 233:6	ultimate 170:11	131:10 141:16,17
71:2 73:5,8 74:8,13	total 70:2 86:9 95:23	238:11 243:17	ultimately 65:18	151:7 173:23
74:18,22 93:10	97:15 105:5 131:17	246:12 261:9,10,11	66:13	184:21 187:6 196:2
104:11 112:10	131:21,23 132:16	261:11	um 119:10,11	200:19 215:25
116:1 118:13 121:1	133:1,5,10,13	turn 61:13	unanimously 189:13	278:18
122:22 126:7 127:9	134:21 136:22	turnout 149:6,15	190:12	useful 56:20 81:23
		<u> </u>		

				rage 312
132:3	videstaned 12.7	233:8,10	136:14 139:15	weight 77:13
user 135:17,20	videotaped 13:7 view 270:1	VTDs 44:5,8,12,13	141:8,12 142:1,2	Welch 27:20 28:1,19
uses 16:11 83:22	Vincent 36:13	44:15 81:8 103:16	141.8,12 142.1,2	29:7 104:3 177:15
110:3	virus 281:13,18	139:9,10,14 231:16	168:18,21,24	179:21 242:15
usual 165:21 287:16	282:19	232:22 233:5	180:18 186:22	
		232:22 233:3		267:20,22 269:12
usually 18:13 29:24	volume 1:22,23 6:9	W	191:10 198:6 200:1	Welch's 228:7,15
74:14 105:20 121:9	6:11 9:13 33:21,24 148:2	wager 226:7	200:22 202:5	welcome 49:15 50:12 50:20 140:2
121:11 238:17		wait 156:5 168:11	252:24 254:23	
utilized 280:4	vote 24:21 56:6 57:16 57:18 58:19 92:15	199:12 202:10	257:25 262:17	went 19:2 33:2 35:12
		216:5 256:13	263:19 267:22 269:12 283:2 284:5	36:15,16 40:20 41:3 65:19 81:11
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ 2:8	108:24 109:1,4 112:22 113:9,10	want 14:25 15:1,21	wanting 58:17 79:14	91:4,7 95:20 102:4
value 77:15	127:23 128:2 132:5	17:8 20:19 27:7,16	165:19 198:23	112:6,8 124:6
VAP 109:13,20 110:3	180:11 190:15	29:11 30:13,18,25	wants 244:6 246:21	143:9 184:16,16
110:3 162:12	198:5 257:24	31:3,4,7 32:12 34:9	247:9	185:7 205:17
variation 18:23	voted 27:3 110:20	43:5 44:5,25 45:17		213:13,14 216:10
variation 18.23	112:2 127:17,20	48:5,6 49:3 51:4	Washington 2:6,15 277:24	218:16 219:2
varied 21:16	190:20,21,22,22	55:4 59:22 60:16	wasn't 62:7 64:21	220:23 223:6
varies 184:15	voter 25:19 104:13	60:22 62:13 71:12	97:6 116:9 180:21	230:19 232:7 249:6
variety 237:3	104:15 106:14,22	71:13 77:5 79:15	187:3 220:13	249:13 258:9 263:6
various 6:21 8:3 12:9	104.13 100.14,22	82:25 88:6,15	222:17 223:23	266:15 269:9
12:18 63:23 66:25	110:4 111:3 129:8	98:24 99:3 100:14	229:8,20 244:21	weren't 40:15 69:17
131:12	137:17 170:16	105:19 108:19	257:24 263:18,19	74:14 120:3 125:14
VDSP 55:7	171:15 200:24,24	116:11 118:4	way 22:2 52:13 61:21	183:20 191:14
venture 59:5	204:14,24 209:8,12	121:15 122:3	77:1 80:3 84:4,24	257:24 281:2 283:7
verify 208:17	209:18 238:9	123:16 125:11,12	85:21 86:14 92:10	283:7,10
version 19:2,3,3,12	voters 7:8 108:22	131:11 137:12	93:20 109:11,25	west 2:10 180:12
98:1 139:12,17	110:14,15,20 113:8	139:22 140:22	110:18,23 113:4	181:1,14 183:4,13
147:12 153:12	113:9,13 132:2	144:18 147:25	116:4 122:10	226:22 271:19
161:7 191:6,15	171:15 173:3 195:3	150:20 153:4 154:4	133:17 139:18,19	western 272:17,19
194:8 208:6 262:23	195:8 196:1 204:15	155:7,10,16,25	140:24 141:3	274:7
263:1,5,7	214:19 249:5	158:3 163:13	149:20 155:21	Westside 275:15,16
versions 19:5 282:25	votes 179:22 181:4,5	166:18 170:4	159:19 161:6 162:9	275:20,24 276:3,10
versus 6:8,10 134:18	183:13,13 194:9,14	178:15 181:1 187:5	162:11,19,23 163:9	276:21,25
148:1 160:1 194:23	214:11,18 246:23	187:6 188:6 192:5	193:13 213:14,24	we'll 43:17 48:4 63:1
195:4 271:19	voting 5:14 21:4	197:16 201:21	216:19 217:1,9,18	90:11 95:4,4 99:4
video 4:2,3,3,4,4,5,5	24:22 26:18 44:1,3	202:25 206:18	219:12,17 220:16	128:13,14 158:24
10:8 69:1,6 138:2,6	70:21,25 71:4,5	211:17,23 214:23	220:17 222:20	162:5,6 176:7
157:20,24 164:12	72:13 74:17,25	219:23 223:16	224:13 232:3	235:4 247:23
164:16 187:22	75:4,13 123:20	235:10,21,25	233:16 235:17,23	253:17 256:7
188:2 221:24	127:6,11,11,13	236:25 238:19	238:9 245:15	we're 13:7 14:2 53:12
234:13,17 267:4,9	129:8 131:18,21	239:9 241:15	251:18 259:16	54:4,22 55:2 61:8
284:13	132:1,17 136:22	247:22 250:9	271:16,19,20	71:25 78:7 91:20
Videographer 3:6	137:5 139:4,8	252:16 253:17	272:16,22 273:6	99:1 124:8,8
10:7,11 69:1,5	140:11 141:5	255:9 264:4,24	274:14,23 282:13	129:22 144:25
100:7,11 129:14	170:17 218:8,13,22	265:3 269:11,22,24	282:17	158:22 173:13,23
138:1,5 157:20,24	248:24	279:18 283:14	WAYNE 1:6	174:3 179:2 180:23
164:11,15 187:22	vs 1:9	wanted 20:12,13	weakest 181:9	187:20 212:1 235:6
188:1 221:19,23	VTD 43:25 44:1,19	21:15,24 28:8 43:2	web 51:2	252:8 256:8,23
234:10,13,17 267:4	44:20 47:23 81:9	48:19 50:5,18 60:8	website 4:24	267:8 284:10
267:8 283:25	81:20 222:17	64:23 66:9,16,17	week 174:16 183:20	we've 54:16 55:3,6
284:12,15	231:23,25 232:5	100:20 122:11	184:1 241:23 252:7	125:22 134:16

166:15 171:5,6	54:12 55:7 64:19	227:22 272:2	166:11,22 184:15	104 21:23 94:8,10
178:18 204:10	64:23,25 66:8	273:21	279:11	151:5 159:25 162:8
254:22 278:24	73:23 77:18 83:5	Wright 1:14 4:7,16	years 26:3 30:12	162:17 163:6
whack 216:25	85:11 93:12,14,15	7:5,7,10,17,19 8:2	36:11 45:10,12	178:21 212:21
whatnot 40:4 56:6	103:21 105:12	8:19 9:1,7,9 10:16	46:6 53:5,20 54:15	213:3 214:8 216:11
57:17 115:15 122:6	110:5,5 117:22	10:21 15:5 47:5	102:2 134:2,15	216:18 217:7 221:6
124:5 199:3 203:13	118:1,19 120:16	48:10 49:7,22	142:9 165:25	250:17
220:12	143:23 166:3 185:7	50:22 60:25 62:17	176:24 204:3	105 7:2 8:10 21:23
whichever 65:3	185:13,21 195:14	69:7 87:25 95:16	231:17 232:4 282:4	22:3,6,15,25 24:1,9
172:17 271:12	204:21 217:18	114:4 123:22 130:4	Yeomans 188:14	45:4 48:20 94:9,11
white 28:19 32:5	238:14 259:18	130:14 135:5	York 2:6	94:13 141:10,13,21
88:16,24 89:6,13	worked 12:9,18 16:3	138:10,14 140:3	y'all 212:8	142:3,4,11 150:21
90:24 93:4 98:20	29:19 36:19 38:23	142:23 145:7		151:3,4,12,24 159:2
100:21,24 101:3,6,9	38:23 40:12,14,15	147:16 148:11	Z	159:3 160:1 162:3
101:13,14 109:20	41:11 42:17 45:16	149:2,10 150:1	zero 44:14 70:8,11	162:8,18 163:2,8
110:11,14 146:13	52:3 54:11 63:21	153:6 154:6 155:21	110:11,14	167:10 178:21
146:15 149:14	63:22,23 64:8,9,21	158:3,8 159:1	zeros 106:20	191:20 193:19,25
156:22 175:25	65:2 66:12 74:6,6	164:19,22 171:19	zoomed 145:14	194:1,8,13,23,23
176:9 204:14	77:14 94:19 118:16	174:6 179:6 188:13	zoom-in 147:6	195:3,4,6,8 199:1
209:17 215:2 230:8	120:14,21,24	192:8 197:19	0	211:19,20 212:12
248:23 249:6,14,15	121:14 122:10	199:18 200:11		212:16,19,25
wide 18:21	143:21 165:15	201:24 203:1	01 40:7	215:15 216:10,16
widespread 127:20	166:24 186:14	206:21 222:1	02 40:7,7	217:5,17 218:7,12
WILLIAM 2:8	252:12 254:25	236:11 239:11	06 135:17	218:20 220:22
willing 177:19	262:4	247:25 252:18	1	221:12,14 224:9
willingly 279:2	working 18:8 19:15	255:17 256:25	1 4:14 7:8 9:3 14:14	233:22,24 237:10
win 177:3	29:24 35:17,17,19	265:16 267:11	15:1 32:14,15	237:18,22 248:10
wing 115:9	43:20 54:5,19 64:1	285:1,22 286:14	87:22 204:12	248:17 249:19,25
witness 3:1 10:14	65:14 69:13 71:18	write 124:1,4	235:25 236:1,2	1059 170:6
61:15 87:14,17	73:1 74:1,10,15	writing 286:7	260:24 275:16	1059-1060 7:9
124:18 125:12,19	78:23 82:5 89:25	written 10:3	286:10	106 151:6 1063 170:6
128:11 129:3	102:21 103:17	wrote 201:12	1st 254:8,12 260:10	
160:11 167:2 180:21 207:17	105:1 118:15,21,23	Y	1,220 181:4	1065 164:22 1065-1068 7:5
256:11,15,18,21	118:24 119:19 120:25 121:7,11	Yates 28:3,18 29:7	1,600 179:22	1067 166:14
277:10 280:5,11	120:23 121:7,11	177:17	1-5-2017 8:17	1067 166:14 1068 164:22 168:10
281:4 283:19	134:15 140:15	yeah 26:8 58:8 59:17	1-6-2015 7:7	1003 104.22 108.10 107 151:6
286:13	185:10,14,17,24	68:1,23 71:11,12	1-9-2015 7:5	107 131.0 107,000 101:3
witnesses 125:6	186:2 194:15	109:24 112:11,14	1:14 138:4,6	107,000 101.3 109 27:25 145:23,24
witness's 286:8	200:24 213:21	127:10,18 128:13	1:17-cv-01427-TC	146:5 174:11 175:1
woman 118:9	252:9 268:14	142:12 160:25	1:9	176:21 178:19
won 179:22 181:19	works 19:22 38:9	162:12 172:7	10 4:3,8 5:4 30:18	224:24 227:6,8,14
181:21 183:7,12	200:20,22 210:1	180:23 181:11,25	46:5 87:13,15,17,20	227:21 269:24
242:15 243:9,21	235:16 239:24	202:21 206:3 207:5	87:23 96:7 136:24	270:1 275:3
word 40:3 71:8,12	worry 191:14	207:13,23 215:4	136:24	11 5:6 74:9 87:13,16
202:18	worse 258:18 259:1	222:6 225:19,24	10-21-2011 5:13	95:2,8,13 107:8
words 68:7	worst 181:16,18	231:4 233:1 236:8	10-8-2015 8:5,7	215:11
work 17:6,18,20,21	worth 34:1 96:17	256:2 261:8,10	10.B 286:18	11th 189:9,10
17:22,22 18:16	97:18	264:2 268:25 269:2	10:39 207:7	11-20-2017 285:25
19:22 20:8 26:2	wouldn't 12:21 30:13	275:18 279:12	10:51 69:2,3	11-4-2014 7:12
35:12 36:16 37:3	30:17 34:5 168:23	year 20:17 36:12	100 197:17	11:01 69:4,6
37:19 38:5 45:9	180:1 209:11 217:1	37:11 44:13 166:9	101 197:18	110 28:1 145:23
	1			

	I	İ	I	I
146:17 178:20	1376 137:14	17th 169:14	2000/2010 86:7	232:7,24 233:7
275:16 276:1,5,8	138 4:4 5:19	17,000 101:20	20005 2:6	277:18 278:5,7
111 7:3 8:10 19:25	1380 128:7	170 7:6	20005-3960 2:15	279:7,13,16
20:1,4,11,16,18	1385 128:19,25	173 7:10	2004 11:19 12:23	2011-2012 61:24
22:4 27:7,9 28:2,15	1388 6:1 144:14	175 274:3	39:22 41:15,18,19	2011/2012 98:1
45:4 50:6 100:2	145:3	177 156:2,7,11	209:16 210:7	160:13
141:10,13,21 142:4	139 5:21	178 7:12 156:3,8	2005 37:8 52:10	2012 4:17,20 6:21 7:2
150:21 151:19,22	1390 6:3 144:16	18 5:21 132:4 139:21	2006 6:1,3 41:14,18	7:3 37:22 38:6
151:24 160:14,19	145:4	139:23	41:21,22 129:10	46:22 47:8 48:21
162:3 163:15,20	1392 130:11	180 66:1,4 186:18	138:13 139:11	49:5,11 50:6 51:10
176:19 178:20	1392-1404 5:16	184,000 91:4	144:13,17 145:12	62:12,15 73:15
180:7,24 181:13	14 4:14 5:13 22:12	184,122 91:1	150:18 151:5,21	93:23 94:16 98:2
182:2 191:20 208:6	46:1,5 123:14,19	188 4:4 7:13	239:21	99:24 152:9 153:13
211:19 222:3	137:14 176:16	19 5:23 128:5 129:7	2008 129:10	158:5,14,24 159:1
227:16,19 228:14	250:10 259:14	142:14,16,21	201 8:3	160:6,9 162:3,20
230:13 237:14,18	14th 239:21	191 141:5	2010 5:5,9 6:16 7:9	176:4,10 208:7
237:23 239:1,2	14.7 102:5	192 7:14	25:11,12,14 42:13	212:13,24 221:13
248:10,17 249:19	1401 2:6	1965 70:21,25	44:19 45:6 81:13	222:3 223:4 230:14
250:2 257:11,15,18	1411 135:4	197 7:16,18	81:21 86:11 87:8	249:5,14 267:17
257:19 258:10,13	1411-1416 5:18	199 7:21	87:14,23 88:12	273:2 275:5,19
259:6 260:18 261:5	1417-1437 5:20		89:2,11,18 90:22	2013 54:1,4,8,15
263:8,24 264:13,20	142 5:23	2	91:12 94:24 95:9	2014 5:22 23:1,8
271:2,2,3,24 272:9	144 6:1,2,4,5	2 4:16 35:5,9 39:3	95:13,18,21 96:6,8	45:21 47:23 142:5
273:1,22,23 275:3	147 6:7,8	61:21,24 235:25	97:14 100:18 101:2	165:5,7,20 166:12
275:15 276:1,10,11	149 6:10,13	236:1,2	101:9,22 102:8	167:6 173:2 175:17
276:13,20,21,22	15 5:16 99:5 130:3,7	2-1-2017 8:19	103:16 107:9,11	176:20 178:17
282:10,14	130:8,10 195:4	2-14-2017 8:21	129:10 130:20	179:9,15 180:24
112 173:14 174:3,4	211:23 218:7 259:8	2-15-2011 5:11	139:10,13,14	181:16 209:17
113 5:10 173:14	15th 114:2	2-24-2017 8:23	148:14 153:24	210:7 259:2,3
174:3,4	15-14-37(a) 287:2	2-27-2015 7:11	154:5,8 173:4	2015 4:19,22 8:4
114 234:2	150 231:8	2:00 164:12,13	204:1,12 215:10	15:19 16:2,10,25
1170 2:20	1523 6:4 144:18	2:10 164:14,16	233:3 278:18	18:3 20:13 21:1,14
119,000 97:2	145:4	2:46 187:23,24	279:17	27:8 28:24 32:10
119,341 95:20	1525 6:6 144:20	2:56 187:25 188:2	2011 5:21,23 6:7 38:4	48:8,15,21 49:21
12 5:8 46:5 74:12	145:4	20 1:20 6:1 10:1	38:6 62:12 63:18	50:2,7 56:22 73:19
94:3 95:3,9,14 99:4	1527-1539 6:7	130:1,5 144:7,12	63:19,21 64:3	141:9,18,22 167:18
99:5 174:2,3	153 6:14	145:1,2,4,8,8 205:9	65:23 69:9,13	179:8 181:13 182:1
176:12 211:23	154 6:16	206:1 241:16	70:14,18 71:2,18	184:1 185:16
267:18 275:17	1540 142:18	285:23	72:15,16,25 74:18	188:19 189:1
12-14-2016 8:15	1540-1546 5:24	20th 10:10,11 122:8	86:25 90:1 91:22	192:17,22 193:3
12:26 138:2,3	155 6:18	200 8:1 34:1 112:17	93:11,16,23 94:16	194:2,24 201:23
1201 2:10	1552-1506 5:21	200,000 89:10	94:20 102:22	204:12 209:1
123 5:13	158 6:21	2000 5:3,7 25:13,14	103:23 104:12	211:19 212:6,13,16
13 5:10 91:7 113:18	159 42:4,17	36:2 86:4,4,10 87:8	114:2,7,14,24	212:18 213:3
113:25 174:2,3	16 5:17 45:16,24,25	87:22 88:9,16	118:14 120:12	220:25 221:14
241:17	46:1,5 135:2,4	89:10,18 90:12,16	121:17,19 123:3,24	223:5 230:16 259:6
13th 2:15 201:2,4,11	137:20 259:14	90:18 91:12 94:24	125:8 136:2,3,8	259:10 267:19
130 5:16 28:2 178:20	16th 254:3 262:8	95:8,11,13,18,20,24	139:23 140:7	269:23 273:2,4
179:18	161 7:1	96:6,9,15,23 100:17	141:15 142:5,16	282:14,19
135 5:17	164 7:4	100:22 101:8,18,19	143:9 144:22 147:1	2016 44:20 45:19
1362-1385 5:15	17 5:19 138:9,11	102:9 139:9 141:4	147:11 150:17	249:5,15 258:23
1370 126:18	184:24 282:23	182:22 279:9,10,11	154:23 155:20	259:3
	I	l	l	l

2017 1:20 7:22 9:3	266 9:8	178:16	283:25	7
10:1,11 15:19 17:2	267 4:5	36.3 102:5	5th 169:10 246:17	
17:4 20:13 36:2	27 6:13 149:23,24	37 7:13 188:10,12	287:19	7 4:23 50:14,19 55:9
54:2 73:24 199:25	150:16 192:7	38 7:14 156:21 192:4	5-13-2015 8:2	7b 81:24
239:13 240:18,20	27th 174:13 184:1	192:6 196:24	5:00 261:15	7c 84:12
244:17 246:17	189:4	39 7:16 196:24,25	5:17 1:20 284:15,16	7th 169:19
252:8,10,22 254:8	2745 287:21	197:1,3,4 203:1	50 4:23 8:19 96:4	7,000 204:13
254:13,17 255:12	278 4:9	394,000 89:14	108:23,25 110:11	7-20-2017 9:9
257:2 262:19	28 6:14 153:3,5	394,164 88:25	110:14 111:4,4	7. C 287:3
264:25 287:19	252:17	374,104 00.23	247:20,24 248:1	700 2:15
2019 251:16,19	28.5 163:21	4	50,000 97:4	73 28:3 145:23
201 251.10,17 202 8:5	281 4:9	4 4:18 48:3,7,23	50-something 96:4	146:12 163:14,18
202.654.6200 2:16	285 4:10	4-28-2015 7:15	50-50 109:4,16	178:19 257:14,18
202.662.8391 2:7	287 4:11 286:10	4-29-2015 7:17	110:12,16 111:2,7	258:8,9 260:18
203,000 97:16	29 6:16 154:3,4 155:9	4-30-2015 7:19	112:20,22 113:1	261:5 263:8,23
203,922 95:21	155:10,10,12	4:08 234:14	208:6	264:20
206 8:7	252:18	4:51 267:6	51 8:20 250:8,10	74 128:9
21 6:2 144:8,15,18	232.10	4:59 267:7,9	515 15:19 17:4,10	74,000 101:23
145:2,4,13	3	40 7:18 163:20	254:9	76 99:7,8,8 274:16
21st 121:17,20 136:8	3 4:17 6:9,11 46:25	197:15,17 202:11	52 8:22 252:15,17	77 247:23
165:7 167:4	47:2,6,7 48:22	205:7 206:13 242:8	52.2 249:14	770-428-5801 285:24
211 8:9	62:14 148:2 158:25	266:23	52.3 249:7	770.814.8022 3:4
2190 156:11	159:2	40,000 97:1	52.5 101:10	78 99:7,13 145:23,24
22 6:4 144:8,20 145:2	3,000 204:15	40-percent 31:9	53 9:1 253:15,18,24	146:1,3 247:23
145:4 146:23	3-16-2017 9:2	40-something 96:10	260:8	78,000 91:4
147:22 156:22	3:44 207:8 221:20,21	96:12 128:20	54 9:5 255:8,9 256:23	78,224 90:15
22nd 169:3	3:55 221:22,24	400 2:5	256:25 257:4,6	79 197:5,6
22.9 91:7	30 6:18 155:6,11,16	404.572.6828 2:11	265:6,7	8
2200 2:19	206:19	41 7:21 199:10,11	54,000 96:5 97:15	8 5:1 60:21,24 239:9
221 4:5	30(b)(6) 1:13 124:18	42 8:1 200:10 202:14	55 9:6 265:2,4	8.B 10:5
23 6:5 144:9 145:2,4	125:6	202:15 225:25,25	56 9:8 236:18 266:21	80 197:5,6
147:2,22	30,000 153:25 154:8	227:12	266:23 267:12,16	80.1 101:7,10
23rd 121:18,19	30-percent 30:16	43 8:3 183:12 201:20	269:13	805,321 88:13
241:24	30044 3:3	201:22 202:8,12,13	566 15:19 16:19 17:9	84,000 100:17
235 8:11	30060 285:24	44 8:5 202:24,25	198:4 236:18	85 243:5
237 285:24	30309-3488 2:10	206:24 207:7,9	277:19 283:6	86 265:5,14
239 8:14	30309-7200 2:20	45 8:7 97:3 206:17,19	58 242:16	87 5:2,4 128:20
24 6:7 147:9,11	3084 128:24	206:20	58.2 249:6	8747 148:3
241 8:16	31 6:21 156:22 158:2	46 8:9 211:25 212:4	588,488 88:10	
247 8:19	219:2	217:3	59 266:23 283:25	9
25 6:8 141:6 147:24	32 7:1 161:24,25	47 4:17 8:11 235:20		9 5:2 87:17,19,21
147:25 250:11	33 7:4 164:18,20	235:25 236:10	6	155:7 241:17
250 8:20	170:9 206:20	47.9 249:14	6 4:21 49:17,19,20	9-11-28(c) 287:6
251 15:18	34 7:6 170:1,3,4	4799 3:3	50:8	9:37 1:20 10:2,12
252 8:22	171:13 202:25	48 4:18 8:14 239:7,10	6th 155:20	90 99:7,18,19 145:23
253 9:1	219:2	239:17	6-19-2017 9:7	146:10 265:5,14
255 9:5	35 4:16 7:10 173:9,11	49 4:20,21 8:16	60 5:1	274:19
26 6:10 149:1,3 192:7	174:4 183:16	241:14,17	600 2:14	90th 104:7
209:19	218:13,22		65 32:5	91 145:23 146:8
26th 189:1,5	354,000 89:15	5	678.347.2200 2:21	92 253:17,21,22
26,000 209:20	354,316 89:6	5 4:20 5:14 49:1,3	69 4:3 28:5	9297 149:4
265 9:6 181:5	36 7:12 101:8 178:14	50:8 123:20,23		9297-9316 6:11
	1	ı	1	1

		Page 316
93 253:21 9331-9347 6:12 9347 149:5 94 253:17,21,22 945 183:13 95 5:6,8 200:12 202:15 95,550 100:24 97 200:13 202:16		