

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Maria M. Gonzalez, et al.,)	No. CV-06-1268-PHX-ROS
Plaintiffs,)	ORDER
vs.)	
State of Arizona, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

On August 6, 2012, the Court issued its order regarding Defendants’ handling of voter registrations using the National Voter Registration Form under the National Voter Registration Act (the “Federal Form”). The Court provided general guidance and directed the parties to attempt to reach an agreement on specific issues. The parties were not able to agree and have now submitted competing proposals. Defendants have also moved for reconsideration of certain aspects of the Court’s August 6, 2012 Order. Having considered the parties’ proposals, Defendants’ motion for reconsideration, and the entire record, the Court enters the following Order.

IT IS ORDERED the Motion for Entry of Preliminary Order (Doc. 1071) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Motion to Withdraw (Doc. 1072) is **DENIED** based on the failure to comply with Local Rule 83.3.

1 G. All state and local employees responsible for processing voter registrations must
2 immediately be informed of the change in the law and instructed to comply with the
3 new procedures.

4 H. Defendants have not presented the specific changes they propose making to the
5 Secretary of State Election Procedures Manual but some of the changes proposed by
6 Plaintiffs go beyond what is necessary to comply with the law. Defendants will be
7 permitted to make the changes they deem appropriate but they must do so on an
8 expedited basis. Therefore, within sixty days of this Order Defendants shall revise
9 the Secretary of State Election Procedures Manual to reflect compliance with federal
10 law.

11 DATED this 15th day of August, 2012.

12
13 
14 _____
15 Roslyn O. Silver
16 Chief United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28